EXHIBIT AA

Page 294

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA CHARLESTON DIVISION

IN RE: ETHICON, INC. PELVIC :MDL NO. 2327

REPAIR SYSTEM, PRODUCTS

LIABILITY LITIGATION

:VOLUME II

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES AND VARIOUS OTHER CROSS-NOTICED ACTIONS

CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

January 8, 2014

Transcript of the continued deposition of THOMAS A. BARBOLT, Ph.D., called for Videotaped Examination in the above-captioned matter, said deposition taken pursuant to Superior Court Rules of Practice and Procedure by and before Michelle L. Gray, a Certified Court Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public, at the offices of Riker Danzig Scherer Hyland & Perretti LLP, Headquarters Plaza, One Speedwell Avenue, Morristown, New Jersey, commencing at 9:07 a.m.

> GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 877.370.3377 ph 917.951.5672 fax deps@golkow.com

	Page 295				Page 2	297
1	APPEARANCES:	1				
2	AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC	2		INDEX		
	BY: DANIEL THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE	3 4				
4	17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502	4	Testimony	v of THOMAS A	A. BARBOLT, I	Ph D
5	(850) 202-1010	5	resumon		i. Britis oz i, i	
6 7	dthornburgh@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs	6	Ву М	Ir. Thornburgh	304, 630	
'	THOMAS, COMBS & SPANN, PLLC		By M	Ir. Thomas	557	
8	BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE BY: PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE	7				
9	300 Summers Street, Suite 1380	8				
10	Charleston, West Virginia 25301 (304) 414-1805	10	Е	EXHIBITS (Cont'd.))	
10	dthomas@tcspllc.com	11				
11	pcombs@tcspllc.com Appearing on behalf of the Defendants; Ethicon,	12	110	D E G G D V D TV G V V	5.465	
12	Inc.; Ethicon Women's Health and Urology, a Division	13 14	NO. T-2248	DESCRIPTION Binder Titled	PAGE 307	
13	of Ethicon, Inc.; Gynecare; and Johnson & Johnson	15	1-2240	IFU-1 Animal Studies	307	
14		16		Volume I		
15		17		Tabs 1-32		
16 17		18	T 2240	D' - 1 - T'-1 - 1	207	
18		19 20	T-2249	Binder Titled IFU-1 Animal Studies	307	
19 20		21		Volume I		
21 22		22		Tabs 33-44		
23		23				
24 25		24 25				
	Page 296				Page 2	298
1	APPEARANCES VIA TELEPHONE:	1				
2						
	AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC	2]	 EXHIBITS (Con	nt'd.)	
3	AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. BENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIDE	l .]	EXHIBITS (Con	nt'd.)	
3 4	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200	2]	EXHIBITS (Con	nt'd.)	
	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010	2	NO.	EXHIBITS (Con	nt'd.) PAC	GE
4	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com	2 3 4 5 6		DESCRIPTION Critical Review	PAC vs 350	GE
4 5	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs	2 3 4 5 6 7	NO.	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility	PAC vs 350	ЗE
4 5 6	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NO.	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3	PAC vs 350	GE
4 5 6 7	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NO.	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985	PAC 28 350	GE
4 5 6 7 8	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NO.	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3	PAC 28 350	GE
4 5 6 7 8 9	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NO. T-2250	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575	PAC 78 350 7391-453	
4 5 6 7 8	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare, Ltd., and Dr. Elisabeth G. Beyer-Nolen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NO.	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575 Long-Term Con	PAC 7350 7391-453 mparative	GE 378
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare, Ltd., and Dr. Elisabeth G. Beyer-Nolen BONNE, BRIDGES, MUELLER, O'KEEFE & NICHOLS BY: SUSAN FAYE L. FRANCISCO, ESQUIRE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	NO. T-2250	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575	PAC 7350 7391-453 mparative	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare, Ltd., and Dr. Elisabeth G. Beyer-Nolen BONNE, BRIDGES, MUELLER, O'KEEFE & NICHOLS BY: SUSAN FAYE L. FRANCISCO, ESQUIRE 3699 Wilshire Boulevard, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	NO. T-2250	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575 Long-Term Cor Study of Nonabsort	PAC 7350 7391-453 mparative	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare, Ltd., and Dr. Elisabeth G. Beyer-Nolen BONNE, BRIDGES, MUELLER, O'KEEFE & NICHOLS BY: SUSAN FAYE L. FRANCISCO, ESQUIRE 3699 Wilshire Boulevard, 10th Floor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	NO. T-2250	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575 Long-Term Cor Study of Nonabsort Sutures	PAC 7 350 7 391-453 mparative bable	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare, Ltd., and Dr. Elisabeth G. Beyer-Nolen BONNE, BRIDGES, MUELLER, O'KEEFE & NICHOLS BY: SUSAN FAYE L. FRANCISCO, ESQUIRE 3699 Wilshire Boulevard, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010 (213) 738-5842 sfrancisco@bonnebridges.com Representing Gerald Thorpe, M.D.; Keller vs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	NO. T-2250	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575 Long-Term Cor Study of Nonabsort Sutures (Postlethwait) ETH.MESH.10575	PAC 7350 7391-453 7391-453 759-64	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare, Ltd., and Dr. Elisabeth G. Beyer-Nolen BONNE, BRIDGES, MUELLER, O'KEEFE & NICHOLS BY: SUSAN FAYE L. FRANCISCO, ESQUIRE 3699 Wilshire Boulevard, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010 (213) 738-5842 sfrancisco@bonnebridges.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NO. T-2250	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575 Long-Term Cor Study of Nonabsort Sutures (Postlethwait) ETH.MESH.10575	PAC 350 7 391-453 mparative bable 7759-64 391	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare, Ltd., and Dr. Elisabeth G. Beyer-Nolen BONNE, BRIDGES, MUELLER, O'KEEFE & NICHOLS BY: SUSAN FAYE L. FRANCISCO, ESQUIRE 3699 Wilshire Boulevard, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010 (213) 738-5842 sfrancisco@bonnebridges.com Representing Gerald Thorpe, M.D.; Keller vs. Siddighi, et al., San Bernardino County Superior Court, case No. CIVDS1307951	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NO. T-2250	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575 Long-Term Cor Study of Nonabsort Sutures (Postlethwait) ETH.MESH.10575 8/10/90 Ten Year In Vivo S	PAC 7 350 7 391-453 mparative bable 7759-64 391 Suture	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare, Ltd., and Dr. Elisabeth G. Beyer-Nolen BONNE, BRIDGES, MUELLER, O'KEEFE & NICHOLS BY: SUSAN FAYE L. FRANCISCO, ESQUIRE 3699 Wilshire Boulevard, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010 (213) 738-5842 sfrancisco@bonnebridges.com Representing Gerald Thorpe, M.D.; Keller vs. Siddighi, et al., San Bernardino County Superior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	NO. T-2250	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575 Long-Term Cor Study of Nonabsord Sutures (Postlethwait) ETH.MESH.10575 8/10/90 Ten Year In Vivo S Study Scanning Ele	PAC 350 7 391-453 mparative bable 759-64 391 Suture ectron	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare, Ltd., and Dr. Elisabeth G. Beyer-Nolen BONNE, BRIDGES, MUELLER, O'KEEFE & NICHOLS BY: SUSAN FAYE L. FRANCISCO, ESQUIRE 3699 Wilshire Boulevard, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010 (213) 738-5842 sfrancisco@bonnebridges.com Representing Gerald Thorpe, M.D.; Keller vs. Siddighi, et al., San Bernardino County Superior Court, case No. CIVDS1307951	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NO. T-2250	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575 Long-Term Cor Study of Nonabsort Sutures (Postlethwait) ETH.MESH.10575 8/10/90 Ten Year In Vivo S Study Scanning Ele Microscopy Five Y	PAC 7 350 7 391-453 mparative bable 7759-64 391 Suture ectron fear Report	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare, Ltd., and Dr. Elisabeth G. Beyer-Nolen BONNE, BRIDGES, MUELLER, O'KEEFE & NICHOLS BY: SUSAN FAYE L. FRANCISCO, ESQUIRE 3699 Wilshire Boulevard, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010 (213) 738-5842 sfrancisco@bonnebridges.com Representing Gerald Thorpe, M.D.; Keller vs. Siddighi, et al., San Bernardino County Superior Court, case No. CIVDS1307951 VIDEOTAPE TECHNICIAN: Lee Bittman TRIAL TECHNICIAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NO. T-2250	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575 Long-Term Cor Study of Nonabsord Sutures (Postlethwait) ETH.MESH.10575 8/10/90 Ten Year In Vivo S Study Scanning Ele	PAC 7 350 7 391-453 mparative bable 7759-64 391 Suture ectron fear Report	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare, Ltd., and Dr. Elisabeth G. Beyer-Nolen BONNE, BRIDGES, MUELLER, O'KEEFE & NICHOLS BY: SUSAN FAYE L. FRANCISCO, ESQUIRE 3699 Wilshire Boulevard, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010 (213) 738-5842 sfrancisco@bonnebridges.com Representing Gerald Thorpe, M.D.; Keller vs. Siddighi, et al., San Bernardino County Superior Court, case No. CIVDS1307951 VIDEOTAPE TECHNICIAN: Lee Bittman	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NO. T-2250	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575 Long-Term Cor Study of Nonabsort Sutures (Postlethwait) ETH.MESH.10575 8/10/90 Ten Year In Vivo S Study Scanning Ele Microscopy Five Y	PAC 7 350 7 391-453 mparative bable 7759-64 391 Suture ectron fear Report	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY: BOBBY J. (BRAD) BRADFORD, ESQUIRE BY: D. RENÉE BAGGETT, ESQUIRE 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 rbaggett@awkolaw.com Representing the Plaintiffs FREEARK, HARVEY & MENDILLO, P.C. BY: RANSOM P. WULLER, ESQUIRE 115 West Washington Street Belleville, Illinois 62222 (618) 233-2686 rwuller@freeark.com Representing Heartland Women's Healthcare, Ltd., and Dr. Elisabeth G. Beyer-Nolen BONNE, BRIDGES, MUELLER, O'KEEFE & NICHOLS BY: SUSAN FAYE L. FRANCISCO, ESQUIRE 3699 Wilshire Boulevard, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010 (213) 738-5842 sfrancisco@bonnebridges.com Representing Gerald Thorpe, M.D.; Keller vs. Siddighi, et al., San Bernardino County Superior Court, case No. CIVDS1307951 VIDEOTAPE TECHNICIAN: Lee Bittman TRIAL TECHNICIAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NO. T-2250	DESCRIPTION Critical Review In Biocompatibility Volume I, Issue 3 1985 ETH.MESH.10575 Long-Term Cor Study of Nonabsort Sutures (Postlethwait) ETH.MESH.10575 8/10/90 Ten Year In Vivo S Study Scanning Ele Microscopy Five Y	PAC 7 350 7 391-453 mparative bable 7759-64 391 Suture ectron fear Report	

2 (Pages 295 to 298)

_		F	Page 299				Page 301
1				1			
2	F	EXHIBITS (Cont'd.)		2	E	EXHIBITS (Cont'd.)	
3				3			
4				4			
5	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	5	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
6	T-2253	10/15/92 40	03	6	T-2259	E-mail Thread	514
7		Seven Year Data for Ten		7		3/2/04	
8		Year Prolene Study: ERF		8		Subject, Reminder on Bl	ue
9		ETH.MESH.11336034-70)	9		Mesh!	
10	TI 2254	EDE A ' N 00	177 166	10		ETH.MESH.00865322-2	3
11	T-2254	ERF Accession No. 83	3-47/ 466	11	TI 2260	A T 1 1 .	521
12		Project No. 16104		12	T-2260	An Independent	531
13 14		Summary ETH.MESH.10645237-42	•	13 14		Biomechanical Evaluation	
15		ETH.MESH.10043237-42		15		of Commercially Availal Suburethral Slings	oie
16	T-2255	E-mail Thread	508	16		(Pariente)	
17	1-2233	2/27/04	300	17		ETH.MESH.01221055-5	8
18		Subject, Mesh		18		E111.WE511.01221055-5	10
19		ETH.MESH.00863391-93	}	19	T-2261	LCM Project, Photog	raphs 541
20		E111VIES11.00003371 73		20	1 2201	Comparing Laser Cut M	
21				21		vs. Mechanical Cut Mesl	
22				22		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•
23				23	T-2262	Deposition Subject M	latter 548
24				24		1 3	
25				25			
		F	age 300				Page 302
1				1			
2	I	EXHIBITS (Cont'd.)		2	I	EXHIBITS (Cont'd.)	
3				3			
4				4			
5	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	5	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
6	T-2256	E-mail Thread	511	6	T-263	Binder Titled, Seven	617
7		11/12/04		7		Year Dog Study	
8		Subject, TR: Mesh		8			
^		eraving: Lir Hherhard			Tr 264	10/15/00	(10
9		Fraying: Dr. Eberhard		9	T-264		518
10		Letter	7	10	T-264	Seven Year Data for	
10 11		•	7	10 11	T-264	Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study	
10 11 12	T-2257	Letter ETH.MESH.02180826-2		10 11 12	T-264	Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study ERF: 85-219	:
10 11 12 13	T-2257	Letter ETH.MESH.02180826-2 Telefax, 11/10/04	7 513	10 11 12 13	T-264	Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study	:
10 11 12 13 14	T-2257	Letter ETH.MESH.02180826-2		10 11 12 13 14		Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study ERF: 85-219 ETH.MESH.09888187-2	: 223
10 11 12 13	T-2257	Letter ETH.MESH.02180826-2 Telefax, 11/10/04 Letter from Eberhard	513	10 11 12 13	T-264 T-2265	Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study ERF: 85-219	:
10 11 12 13 14 15	T-2257	Letter ETH.MESH.02180826-2 Telefax, 11/10/04 Letter from Eberhard In German	513	10 11 12 13 14 15		Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study ERF: 85-219 ETH.MESH.09888187-2	: 223
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	T-2257	Letter ETH.MESH.02180826-2 Telefax, 11/10/04 Letter from Eberhard In German	513 0	10 11 12 13 14 15		Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study ERF: 85-219 ETH.MESH.09888187- Copies of Pages From Lab Notebook	: 223 649
10 11 12 13 14 15 16		Letter ETH.MESH.02180826-2 Telefax, 11/10/04 Letter from Eberhard In German ETH.MESH.02180828-3	513 0	10 11 12 13 14 15 16		Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study ERF: 85-219 ETH.MESH.09888187-3 Copies of Pages From Lab Notebook 9/22/87	: 223 649
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Letter ETH.MESH.02180826-2 Telefax, 11/10/04 Letter from Eberhard In German ETH.MESH.02180828-3 Translation of Eberha	513 0	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study ERF: 85-219 ETH.MESH.09888187-3 Copies of Pages From Lab Notebook 9/22/87	: 223 649
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Letter ETH.MESH.02180826-2 Telefax, 11/10/04 Letter from Eberhard In German ETH.MESH.02180828-3 Translation of Eberha Letter of 10/18/04	513 0	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study ERF: 85-219 ETH.MESH.09888187-3 Copies of Pages From Lab Notebook 9/22/87	: 223 649
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Letter ETH.MESH.02180826-2 Telefax, 11/10/04 Letter from Eberhard In German ETH.MESH.02180828-3 Translation of Eberha Letter of 10/18/04	513 0	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study ERF: 85-219 ETH.MESH.09888187-3 Copies of Pages From Lab Notebook 9/22/87	: 223 649
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		Letter ETH.MESH.02180826-2 Telefax, 11/10/04 Letter from Eberhard In German ETH.MESH.02180828-3 Translation of Eberha Letter of 10/18/04	513 0	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study ERF: 85-219 ETH.MESH.09888187-3 Copies of Pages From Lab Notebook 9/22/87	: 223 649
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Letter ETH.MESH.02180826-2 Telefax, 11/10/04 Letter from Eberhard In German ETH.MESH.02180828-3 Translation of Eberha Letter of 10/18/04	513 0	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Seven Year Data for Ten Year Prolene Study ERF: 85-219 ETH.MESH.09888187-3 Copies of Pages From Lab Notebook 9/22/87	: 223 649

3 (Pages 299 to 302)

	Page 303		Page 305
1		1	within the IFU, that you were designated as a
2	DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX	2	witness to discuss.
3		3	Do you recall that IFU statement?
4		4	A. Yes.
5	Direction to Witness Not to Answer	5	Q. Go ahead and take out Exhibit
6	PAGE LINE None	6	Number 2246, which is the IFU that we marked
7	None	7	
8	Request for Production of Documents	8	yesterday.
9	PAGE LINE	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.
	423 3		(Brief pause.)
10	Gring Lariance	10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the video
11	Stipulations	11	record, 9:10.
1 ++	PAGE LINE	12	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
12	None	13	Q. Doctor, do you have Exhibit
13	Questions Marked	14	Number 2246?
14	PAGE LINE	15	A. Yes.
1.5	None	16	Q. And do you recall that you had a
15 16		17	discussion yesterday regarding this claim in the
17		18	IFU?
18		19	The first claim is: Animal studies
19		20	show the implantation of Prolene mesh elicits a
20		21	minimal inflammatory reaction in tissues, which is
21		22	transient, and can and is followed by the
22 23		23	deposition of a thin fibrous layer of tissue, which
24		24	can grow through the interstices of the mesh, thus
25		25	incorporating the mesh into the adjacent tissue.
	Page 304		Page 306
1		1	Do you recall that?
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now on the	2	A. Yes.
3	record.	3	Q. From yesterday, right?
4	Today is January 8, Year 2014. It's	4	A. Yes.
5	9:07 a.m.	5	Q. And you had identified in
6	This begins Volume 2, Tape Number 1	6	Exhibit 2241 a list of I believe it was I'm
7	of the videotape deposition of Dr. Thomas A.	7	sorry. Maybe we didn't mark it yesterday the IFU
8	Barbolt.	8	binder that you have in front of you.
9		9	Let's go ahead and mark both of those
10	Please proceed.	10	binders as exhibits.
11	THOMAS A. BARBOLT, Ph.D., having	11	We'll mark the first one as Exhibit
12	- 1	12	
13	been previously sworn, was examined and testified as	13	Number 2248. MP. THOMAS: Do you mind if I
14	follows:	14	MR. THOMAS: Do you mind if I
15	CONTINUED EVAMINATION	15	identify the volumes?
16	CONTINUED EXAMINATION	16	MR. THORNBURGH: Go ahead.
	BY MR. THORNBURGH:		(Whereupon, a discussion was held off
		17	the record.) MR. THOMAS: For the record, Volume 1
17		10	
17 18	Q. Good morning, Doctor.	18	· ·
17 18 19	Q. Good morning, Doctor.A. Good morning.	19	of the documents that have been provided to the
17 18 19 20	Q. Good morning, Doctor.A. Good morning.Q. How are you doing this morning?	19 20	of the documents that have been provided to the plaintiffs in response to the notice of deposition
17 18 19 20 21	Q. Good morning, Doctor.A. Good morning.Q. How are you doing this morning?A. Very good.	19 20 21	of the documents that have been provided to the plaintiffs in response to the notice of deposition for the language in the information for use just
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Good morning, Doctor.A. Good morning.Q. How are you doing this morning?A. Very good.Q. Another cold day in New Jersey?	19 20 21 22	of the documents that have been provided to the plaintiffs in response to the notice of deposition for the language in the information for use just identified by counsel.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Good morning, Doctor. A. Good morning. Q. How are you doing this morning? A. Very good. Q. Another cold day in New Jersey? A. It will change. 	19 20 21 22 23	of the documents that have been provided to the plaintiffs in response to the notice of deposition for the language in the information for use just identified by counsel. Exhibit 2248 is Volume 1, which
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Good morning, Doctor.A. Good morning.Q. How are you doing this morning?A. Very good.Q. Another cold day in New Jersey?	19 20 21 22	of the documents that have been provided to the plaintiffs in response to the notice of deposition for the language in the information for use just identified by counsel.

4 (Pages 303 to 306)

	Page 307		Page 309
1	the record.)	1	Q. Okay. And that study is related to
2	MR. THOMAS: Exhibit 2249 is Volume 2	2	sutures, right?
3	of the studies which are responsive to the 30(b)(6)	3	A. Yes.
4	topic just discussed by counsel. And these are	4	Q. Suture packed with permeable labels.
5	Tabs 33 through 34 produced by Ethicon and as	5	I assume that's a study, but that's a suture study,
6	documents upon which Dr. Barbolt relies in support	6	correct?
7	of that designation.	7	A. Yes.
8	(Document marked for identification	8	Q. The next one is a epoxy-tipped nylon
9	as Exhibit T-2248.)	9	and Prolene biological evaluation.
10	(Document marked for identification	10	That's also a suture document, isn't
11	as Exhibit T-2249.)	11	it?
12	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. Now, Doctor, do you agree with	13	Q. The next tab in your notebook,
14	me that this claim in the IFU says that animal	14	excerpt from NDA 1634, that's just a repeat of
15	studies show the implantation of Prolene mesh	15	what's up here, it appears, but from 1973, right,
16	elicits a minimal inflammatory reaction in tissues	16	also suture?
17	which is transient. Right?	17	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
18	A. Yes.	18	the question.
19	Q. And it discusses in the first	19	THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a different
20	sentence, first part of that sentence, that the	20	version.
21	animal studies relate to Prolene mesh. Correct?	21	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. A different version, but updated
23	Q. Okay. And in the in the documents	23	version from 1973 related to sutures, correct?
24	that you submitted or the list that you submitted as	24	A. Yes, that's correct.
25	part of exhibits numbered 2248 and 2249, the vast	25	Q. The next document is the Prolene mesh
	Page 308		Page 310
1	majority of those are suture studies, right?	1	biological evaluation in rabbits, which is from
1 2	majority of those are suture studies, right? A. There are some suture studies in that	1 2	biological evaluation in rabbits, which is from 1973, which is the study that we ended talking about
	•		1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct?
2	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those	2	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right?	2 3 4 5	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that
2 3 4 5 6	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment.	2 3 4 5 6	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real	2 3 4 5 6 7	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point. Q. And, by the way, that rabbit study
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 3 is a suture study, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point. Q. And, by the way, that rabbit study that you did that formed the basis of the claim in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 3 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point. Q. And, by the way, that rabbit study that you did that formed the basis of the claim in the IFU was a short-term study, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 3 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 4 is a suture study, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point. Q. And, by the way, that rabbit study that you did that formed the basis of the claim in the IFU was a short-term study, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 3 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 4 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 4 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats—in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point. Q. And, by the way, that rabbit study that you did that formed the basis of the claim in the IFU was a short-term study, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 3 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 4 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 5, it says excerpt from NDA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point. Q. And, by the way, that rabbit study that you did that formed the basis of the claim in the IFU was a short-term study, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: It's a 28-day study.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 3 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 4 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 5, it says excerpt from NDA 16374, package insert, labeling approved 1969.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point. Q. And, by the way, that rabbit study that you did that formed the basis of the claim in the IFU was a short-term study, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: It's a 28-day study. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 3 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 4 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 5, it says excerpt from NDA 16374, package insert, labeling approved 1969. That's also a suture NDA, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point. Q. And, by the way, that rabbit study that you did that formed the basis of the claim in the IFU was a short-term study, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: It's a 28-day study. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. That's considered in the laboratory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 3 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 4 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 5, it says excerpt from NDA 16374, package insert, labeling approved 1969. That's also a suture NDA, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point. Q. And, by the way, that rabbit study that you did that formed the basis of the claim in the IFU was a short-term study, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: It's a 28-day study. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. That's considered in the laboratory science field to be a short-term study, tissue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 3 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 4 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 5, it says excerpt from NDA 16374, package insert, labeling approved 1969. That's also a suture NDA, correct? A. Yes. Q. The Postlethwait study that you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point. Q. And, by the way, that rabbit study that you did that formed the basis of the claim in the IFU was a short-term study, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: It's a 28-day study. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. That's considered in the laboratory science field to be a short-term study, tissue reaction study, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 3 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 4 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 5, it says excerpt from NDA 16374, package insert, labeling approved 1969. That's also a suture NDA, correct? A. Yes. Q. The Postlethwait study that you have listed here isn't a study that you conducted, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats—in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point. Q. And, by the way, that rabbit study that you did that formed the basis of the claim in the IFU was a short-term study, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: It's a 28-day study. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. That's considered in the laboratory science field to be a short-term study, tissue reaction study, correct? MR. THOMAS: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. There are some suture studies in that list. Q. Well, I said vast majority of those are suture studies, right? A. I didn't make that assessment. Q. Okay. Well, let's look at it real quick. Your Tab Number 1 in Exhibit 2248 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 2 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 3 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 4 is a suture study, correct? A. Yes. Q. Tab 5, it says excerpt from NDA 16374, package insert, labeling approved 1969. That's also a suture NDA, correct? A. Yes. Q. The Postlethwait study that you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1973, which is the study that we ended talking about from yesterday, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in that study, it showed that there was chronic inflammation seen in all rats in all rabbits in that study at the end period of that study, at day 28, correct? A. I would have to look at the specifics there, but there was the record of chronic inflammation in some rabbits at the 28-day time point. Q. And, by the way, that rabbit study that you did that formed the basis of the claim in the IFU was a short-term study, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: It's a 28-day study. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. That's considered in the laboratory science field to be a short-term study, tissue reaction study, correct?

5 (Pages 307 to 310)

	Page 311		Page 313
1	Q. The next study that you have listed	1	A. Yes.
2	in that binder is a Prolene polypropylene suture	2	Q. And as the ladies and gentlemen can
3	tissue response. That's another suture study,	3	see, the document I am holding up, the remaining
4	correct?	4	studies appear to be vast the vast majority of
5	A. Yes.	5	these studies are suture studies, right?
6	Q. The following study is a suture	6	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
7	study, correct?	7	the question.
8	A. Yes.	8	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
9	Q. Then there's another publication from	9	Q. Well, let's go through the exercise.
10	Postlethwait, which is also related to sutures,	10	Tab 16, Ethilon and Prolene ocular
11	correct?	11	tissue response. That's suture, right?
12	A. Well, I see Tab 14 is not the	12	A. Yeah.
13	Postlethwait. That is the next one in the list.	13	Q. The next document listed here is
14	Q. Well, Tab 14 is suture. Tab 15 is	14	another suture study, right?
15	suture, right?	15	A. Yes.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. The following study is another suture
17	Q. Tab 16, Salthouse, that's a former	17	study, correct?
18	employee of Ethicon, isn't it?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. What was that? Tab 15?	19	Q. The following study, size 5-0 and
20	Q. Yep.	20	zero Prolene cobalt and ethylene oxide sterilized,
21	A. Tab 15?	21	effects of sterilization on tissue reaction.
22	Q. The tab after Postlethwait.	22	That's is that that was not
23	A. Tab 14.	23	looking at mesh, was it?
24	Q. You said it was 15 a moment ago.	24	A. That's a suture study.
25	Let's go ahead and mark that as 14.	25	Q. Right. And we're looking at the
23	Page 312		Page 314
-		1	
1	Tab 15 is Salthouse, right?	1	effects of EO, which is a sterility method, correct?
2	A. 14 is Salthouse.	2	A. Yes. It is a sterilization method.
3	Q. Okay. Let's make sure we're on the	3	Q. The next study that you have listed
4	same page here.	4	here is another suture study that looked at Procol
5	Tab 14. Salthouse is a former	5	versus Lubrol, which are antioxidants, additives
6	employee of Ethicon, right?	6	contained within the resin, correct?
7	A. Yes, that's correct.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And that's also a suture study,	8	Q. Again, it's related to sutures,
9	correct?	9	right?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Tab 15 is another suture study?	11 12	Q. Prolene the next study is another
12	A. Yes.	l .	suture study, followed by another suture study.
13	Q. Now, we can go through all these. I	13	Now we are at the FDA
14	don't want to waste anybody's time here, but you'd agree with me that the vast majority the	14 15	reclassification of Prolene polypropylene
1 =	agree with the that the vast majority the	ТЭ	non-absorbable sutures.
15		1 6	Thotle mal-t-d tt 1.1.40
16	overwhelming majority of these studies that you	16	That's related to sutures, right?
16 17	overwhelming majority of these studies that you listed are suture studies, correct?	17	A. That's correct.
16 17 18	overwhelming majority of these studies that you listed are suture studies, correct? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form.	17 18	A. That's correct.Q. The following study is a suture
16 17 18 19	overwhelming majority of these studies that you listed are suture studies, correct? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't make that	17 18 19	A. That's correct. Q. The following study is a suture study, right?
16 17 18 19 20	overwhelming majority of these studies that you listed are suture studies, correct? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't make that statement unless I've gone through the exercise that	17 18 19 20	A. That's correct. Q. The following study is a suture study, right? A. Yes.
16 17 18 19 20 21	overwhelming majority of these studies that you listed are suture studies, correct? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't make that statement unless I've gone through the exercise that you're doing. If you've done that, then I have no	17 18 19 20 21	 A. That's correct. Q. The following study is a suture study, right? A. Yes. Q. Prolene polypropylene suture. That's
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	overwhelming majority of these studies that you listed are suture studies, correct? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't make that statement unless I've gone through the exercise that you're doing. If you've done that, then I have no reason to doubt to doubt your conclusion.	17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's correct. Q. The following study is a suture study, right? A. Yes. Q. Prolene polypropylene suture. That's another suture study, right?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	overwhelming majority of these studies that you listed are suture studies, correct? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't make that statement unless I've gone through the exercise that you're doing. If you've done that, then I have no reason to doubt to doubt your conclusion. BY MR. THORNBURGH:	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's correct. Q. The following study is a suture study, right? A. Yes. Q. Prolene polypropylene suture. That's another suture study, right? A. Yes.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	overwhelming majority of these studies that you listed are suture studies, correct? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't make that statement unless I've gone through the exercise that you're doing. If you've done that, then I have no reason to doubt to doubt your conclusion.	17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's correct. Q. The following study is a suture study, right? A. Yes. Q. Prolene polypropylene suture. That's another suture study, right?

6 (Pages 311 to 314)

	Page 315		Page 317
1	A. Yes.	1	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
2	Q. Now we're at the Prolene suture dyed	2	the question.
3	size stability study, Number 749. That's clearly a	3	THE WITNESS: To look at the tissue
4	suture study, right?	4	reaction, integration, and response.
5	A. Yes.	5	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
6	Q. Followed by the 91-day ophthalmic	6	Q. Well, it was looking at the
7	tissue reaction study in rabbits.	7	specific endpoint in that study was looking at
8	That's a suture study, right?	8	for necrosis to determine if the Prolene in the TVT
9	A. Yes.	9	was cytotoxic.
10	Q. Followed by a one-month dural tissue	10	MR. THOMAS: Object.
11	reaction study of dyed NGP. That's a suture study,	11	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
12	right?	12	Q. Right?
13	A. Yes.	13	MR. THOMAS: Objection to form.
14	Q. 182, intramuscular tissue reaction	14	THE WITNESS: That's one of the
15	study in rats is a suture study, right?	15	endpoints of that study.
16	A. Yes.	16	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
17	Q. Followed by six-month dural tissue	17	Q. Do you have that study with you?
18	reaction absorption efficacy study of ETHISORB,	18	A. Of course.
19	which isn't even Prolene, is it?	19	Q. All right. Why don't you pull it out
20	A. That is a Dormier substitute for	20	and read what the purpose of that study was.
21	ETHISORB. This is the material that is part of	21	It should be in Tab 2 of your IFU.
22	TVT-S.	22	A. I'll go to Tab 32 of my list of
23	Q. It's not my question is very	23	studies.
24	specific. Okay? It's a yes or no question.	24	Q. I meant to say Volume 2.
25	ETHISORB is not Prolene, is it?	25	A. I am looking on ETH.MESH.05315244,
	Page 316		Page 318
			rage 310
1	A. That's correct.	1	
1 2	A. That's correct.	1 2	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The
	A. That's correct.Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular		the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is
2	A. That's correct.	2	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh
2 3	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device.	2	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat
2 3 4	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at	2 3 4	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this
2 3 4 5	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory	2 3 4 5	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production
2 3 4 5 6	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right?	2 3 4 5 6	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory	2 3 4 5 6 7	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to moderate inflammatory response, which was chronic,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to moderate inflammatory response, which was chronic, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to moderate inflammatory response, which was chronic, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: To clarify, this study
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to moderate inflammatory response, which was chronic, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: To clarify, this study was conducted after an in vitro cytotoxicity test
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to moderate inflammatory response, which was chronic, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I think you're thinking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: To clarify, this study was conducted after an in vitro cytotoxicity test that showed in fact, there were two studies. One
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to moderate inflammatory response, which was chronic, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I think you're thinking of the autoclave study that we discussed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: To clarify, this study was conducted after an in vitro cytotoxicity test that showed in fact, there were two studies. One showed a moderate in vitro cytotoxicity, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to moderate inflammatory response, which was chronic, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I think you're thinking of the autoclave study that we discussed yesterday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: To clarify, this study was conducted after an in vitro cytotoxicity test that showed in fact, there were two studies. One showed a moderate in vitro cytotoxicity, and the other showed severe in vitro cytotoxicity.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to moderate inflammatory response, which was chronic, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I think you're thinking of the autoclave study that we discussed yesterday BY MR. THORNBURGH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: To clarify, this study was conducted after an in vitro cytotoxicity test that showed in fact, there were two studies. One showed a moderate in vitro cytotoxicity, and the other showed severe in vitro cytotoxicity. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to moderate inflammatory response, which was chronic, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I think you're thinking of the autoclave study that we discussed yesterday BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I'm sorry. I thought that's what we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: To clarify, this study was conducted after an in vitro cytotoxicity test that showed in fact, there were two studies. One showed a moderate in vitro cytotoxicity, and the other showed severe in vitro cytotoxicity. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. So the reason that you had decided to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to moderate inflammatory response, which was chronic, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I think you're thinking of the autoclave study that we discussed yesterday BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I'm sorry. I thought that's what we were looking at here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: To clarify, this study was conducted after an in vitro cytotoxicity test that showed in fact, there were two studies. One showed a moderate in vitro cytotoxicity, and the other showed severe in vitro cytotoxicity. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. So the reason that you had decided to conduct the study is to look at the in vivo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to moderate inflammatory response, which was chronic, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I think you're thinking of the autoclave study that we discussed yesterday BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I'm sorry. I thought that's what we were looking at here. So 28-day intramuscular tissue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: To clarify, this study was conducted after an in vitro cytotoxicity test that showed in fact, there were two studies. One showed a moderate in vitro cytotoxicity, and the other showed severe in vitro cytotoxicity. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. So the reason that you had decided to conduct the study is to look at the in vivo cytotoxicity of the TVT device, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's correct. Q. Then you have a 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study in rats with polypropylene mesh from the TVT device. That is a study we looked at yesterday that showed a moderate inflammatory response that was chronic, right? MR. THOMAS: Objection to form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I think it was described as a mild to moderate inflammatory response, which was chronic, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I think you're thinking of the autoclave study that we discussed yesterday BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. I'm sorry. I thought that's what we were looking at here. So 28-day intramuscular tissue reaction study that we discussed briefly yesterday,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the protocol. The purpose of the protocol. The purpose of the study. The purpose of the study is to assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh from the TVT (Ulmsten) device when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up to 28 days and to compare this reaction to that elicited by current production Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. And you recall that that study was conducted after the TVT device tested severely cytotoxic by one of your laboratories in Ohio, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: To clarify, this study was conducted after an in vitro cytotoxicity test that showed in fact, there were two studies. One showed a moderate in vitro cytotoxicity, and the other showed severe in vitro cytotoxicity. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. So the reason that you had decided to conduct the study is to look at the in vivo cytotoxicity of the TVT device, correct? A. Well, I just read the purpose of this

7 (Pages 315 to 318)

Page 319 Page 321 1 MR. THOMAS: Let him answer the 1 language was already in the IFU? 2 question, please, Dan. 2 Yes. By 2000 that language was 3 MR. THORNBURGH: Well, he's not 3 already in the IFU. 4 4 And the purpose of that study was to answering the question. Q. 5 MR. THOMAS: Yes, he is. 5 look at -- to see if the -- if Triclosan increased 6 MR. THORNBURGH: He knows the answer. б the inflammatory response in tissue, right? 7 He's not being straightforward with the jury. 7 Yes. A. 8 8 The reason that -- the reason why you Q. The ISO intracutaneous reactivity 9 have --9 test in rabbits of Vypro mesh, Vypro Prolene 10 MR. THOMAS: Stop just a minute. 10 composite, September 25, 2000 -- 2000, that was a --11 Stop just a minute. Just a minute. 11 that was a study that was -- well, do you know what 12 You're not going to characterize the 12 the pore size of that Vypro Prolene composite was? 13 witness's testimony for the jury or anybody. You 13 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 14 can ask him questions. 14 the question. MR. THORNBURGH: You can move to 15 15 THE WITNESS: I could determine that 16 by looking at the document, but I think it would be 16 strike. 17 MR. THOMAS: If you --17 considered a large pore mesh. 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 Q. Doctor -- Doctor, you know. You are 19 Larger pores than are contained 20 the -- you were the investigator at Ethicon who 20 within the Prolene TVT, correct? 21 ordered that this study be conducted, right? 21 A. 22 A. Yes. 22 Q. The next study is an exploratory 23 O. And you did it for the purpose of 23 91-day tissue reaction study -- let me make sure I 24 showing that the TVT device is not cytotoxic in 24 got it right -- tissue reaction study in 25 vivo. That was the reason why you did it, right? 25 polypropylene-based surgical mesh in rats dated Page 320 Page 322 1 The purpose of this study is as 1 2001, right? 2 stated in the protocol, which is the overall 2 A. 3 direction of the study. And that purpose was to 3 After that language was already Q. 4 assess the tissue reaction of polypropylene mesh 4 contained in the IFU, right? 5 from TVT when implanted in rat gluteal muscle for up 5 A. Yes. 6 to 28 days. 6 Q. And, also, not a GLP study, was it? 7 Were you not trying to determine 7 That's correct. A. whether or not the TVT device was cytotoxic in vivo 8 8 Q. Not a good laboratory practices 9 in this study? 9 study, correct? 10 Any in vivo cytotoxicity related to 10 It should be differentiated from a 11 TVT mesh would have been revealed during the conduct 11 FDA GLP study, which is in compliance with federal 12 of this study in response to the purpose to the 12 regulations. 13 study. 13 All other non-GLP studies conducted 14 Another short-term study, correct, by 14 at Ethicon are done in the spirit of GLP and are Q. 15 definition in the laboratory scientific community? 15 conducted in every manner like a GLP study, except 16 This is a short-term experiment. 16 for quality assurance unit oversight. 17 Then you have the 182 intramuscular 17 There's the -- following of the same tissue reaction study in rats using polypropylene 18 18 SOPs, the same policies and procedures are applied, 19 mesh with Triclosan. 19 and the study is conducted as it would be under GLP 20 That was after that statement had 20 other than quality assurance unit oversight. 21 21 already been included in the IFU label, right? The next study you have listed there 22 22 is a 28-day tissue reaction study of Prolene After the statement -- after the statement that animal studies show the implantation 23 23 polypropylene mesh and autoclave Prolene 24 of Prolene mesh elicits a minimal inflammatory 24 polypropylene mesh implanted intramuscularly. We 25 reaction in tissue which is transient, right? That 25 looked at that study yesterday. And that study,

8 (Pages 319 to 322)

	Page 323		Page 325
1	also a short-term study, showed up to a moderate	1	A. This would be considered relatively
2	inflammatory response, correct?	2	large pore size.
3	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	3	Q. Larger than the pores in the TVT,
4	the question.	4	correct?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes. It was up to	5	A. Yes.
6	moderate with an average of mild.	6	Q. A three-month preclinical trial to
7	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	7	assess the fixation force of a new TVT-X and a sheep
8	Q. It was mild to moderate, correct?	8	model. That was, I think, a 12-week study, right?
9	That was the summary in the study?	9	A. It says three months.
10	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	10	Tab Number 40.
11	the question.	11	Q. Yeah. It would be a short-term
12	THE WITNESS: I recall it was we	12	study, wouldn't it?
13	can check. I recall it was minimal to mild. Let me	13	A. That would be considered a subchronic
14	just look at that quickly.	14	or mid-term study.
15	Tab 36.	15	Q. Not a long-term study, correct?
16	In that summary, then, the reaction	16	A. That's correct.
17	was typical for implanted Prolene mesh and consisted	17	Q. And the primary endpoint in that
18	of an initial mild to moderate subacute inflammation	18	study was to look at the pullout force, correct?
19	which gradually changed with time into a minimal to	19	A. Let me just take a look at 40. I
20	moderate chronic form body reaction.	20	think there were other endpoints.
21	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	21	Q. Right, but the primary endpoint was
22	Q. The histological evaluation in	22	to look at the pullout force.
23	comparison to mechanical pullout strength of Prolene	23	A. Well, I'll confirm in a moment.
24	mesh and Prolene Soft mesh in a rabbit model.	24	Q. By the way, did you ever find the
25	That's dated 2002, right?	25	pathology report related to this study?
	Page 324		Page 326
			rage 320
1	A. Yes.	1	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
2	Q. How many how many days or weeks	2	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.
2 3	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study?	2	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for
2 3 4	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study?A. Let me confirm.	2 3 4	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that.
2 3 4 5	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study?A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37.	2 3 4 5	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4 5 6	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a laminated composite composed of soft Prolene mesh	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether or not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a laminated composite composed of soft Prolene mesh PDS film and INTERCEED fabric.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether or not A. Can I answer the question of a couple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a laminated composite composed of soft Prolene mesh PDS film and INTERCEED fabric. That's not TVT mesh, is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether or not A. Can I answer the question of a couple ago, and then we can move forward?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a laminated composite composed of soft Prolene mesh PDS film and INTERCEED fabric. That's not TVT mesh, is it? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether or not A. Can I answer the question of a couple ago, and then we can move forward? Q. Sure. I think my question was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a laminated composite composed of soft Prolene mesh PDS film and INTERCEED fabric. That's not TVT mesh, is it? A. No. Q. A 24-week intramuscular study in rats	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether or not A. Can I answer the question of a couple ago, and then we can move forward? Q. Sure. I think my question was MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. He's looking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a laminated composite composed of soft Prolene mesh PDS film and INTERCEED fabric. That's not TVT mesh, is it? A. No. Q. A 24-week intramuscular study in rats comparing trilaminate prototype from Project Coyote	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether or not A. Can I answer the question of a couple ago, and then we can move forward? Q. Sure. I think my question was MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. He's looking for the primary endpoint.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a laminated composite composed of soft Prolene mesh PDS film and INTERCEED fabric. That's not TVT mesh, is it? A. No. Q. A 24-week intramuscular study in rats comparing trilaminate prototype from Project Coyote of soft Prolene polypropylene mesh, that's clearly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether or not A. Can I answer the question of a couple ago, and then we can move forward? Q. Sure. I think my question was MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. He's looking for the primary endpoint. MR. THORNBURGH: I am trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a laminated composite composed of soft Prolene mesh PDS film and INTERCEED fabric. That's not TVT mesh, is it? A. No. Q. A 24-week intramuscular study in rats comparing trilaminate prototype from Project Coyote of soft Prolene polypropylene mesh, that's clearly not TVT, is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether or not A. Can I answer the question of a couple ago, and then we can move forward? Q. Sure. I think my question was MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. He's looking for the primary endpoint. MR. THORNBURGH: I am trying to refresh his memory.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a laminated composite composed of soft Prolene mesh PDS film and INTERCEED fabric. That's not TVT mesh, is it? A. No. Q. A 24-week intramuscular study in rats comparing trilaminate prototype from Project Coyote of soft Prolene polypropylene mesh, that's clearly not TVT, is it? A. That's just another variant of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether or not A. Can I answer the question of a couple ago, and then we can move forward? Q. Sure. I think my question was MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. He's looking for the primary endpoint. MR. THORNBURGH: I am trying to refresh his memory. MR. THOMAS: If he he's looking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a laminated composite composed of soft Prolene mesh PDS film and INTERCEED fabric. That's not TVT mesh, is it? A. No. Q. A 24-week intramuscular study in rats comparing trilaminate prototype from Project Coyote of soft Prolene polypropylene mesh, that's clearly not TVT, is it? A. That's just another variant of Prolene polypropylene mesh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether or not A. Can I answer the question of a couple ago, and then we can move forward? Q. Sure. I think my question was MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. He's looking for the primary endpoint. MR. THORNBURGH: I am trying to refresh his memory. MR. THOMAS: If he he's looking right now. If you want to ask him a different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a laminated composite composed of soft Prolene mesh PDS film and INTERCEED fabric. That's not TVT mesh, is it? A. No. Q. A 24-week intramuscular study in rats comparing trilaminate prototype from Project Coyote of soft Prolene polypropylene mesh, that's clearly not TVT, is it? A. That's just another variant of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether or not A. Can I answer the question of a couple ago, and then we can move forward? Q. Sure. I think my question was MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. He's looking for the primary endpoint. MR. THORNBURGH: I am trying to refresh his memory. MR. THOMAS: If he he's looking right now. If you want to ask him a different question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. How many how many days or weeks was that study? A. Let me confirm. That would be Tab 37. That study was out to 14 days. Implantation. Q. So, clearly, a short-term study, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a 90-day subchronic toxicity study after intraperitoneal implantation of a laminated composite composed of soft Prolene mesh PDS film and INTERCEED fabric. That's not TVT mesh, is it? A. No. Q. A 24-week intramuscular study in rats comparing trilaminate prototype from Project Coyote of soft Prolene polypropylene mesh, that's clearly not TVT, is it? A. That's just another variant of Prolene polypropylene mesh. Q. It's not TVT, is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: We're still looking for that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire about the lost slides yesterday? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you inquire with anybody whether or not A. Can I answer the question of a couple ago, and then we can move forward? Q. Sure. I think my question was MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. He's looking for the primary endpoint. MR. THORNBURGH: I am trying to refresh his memory. MR. THOMAS: If he he's looking right now. If you want to ask him a different

9 (Pages 323 to 326)

Page 327 Page 329 1 endpoint of the study, Dave. 1 That would be considered a short-term 2 MR. THOMAS: Please, Dan. This is 2 study, correct? 3 3 going to be a long day, and you're very contentious That would be a mid-term study. A. 4 with the witness and with me this morning. I 4 Not a long-term study, right? O. 5 5 understand we didn't end on the best of terms A. That's correct. б 6 yesterday. Excuse me --Q. How long does it take before mesh 7 MR. THORNBURGH: I am being at my 7 starts to contract? 8 8 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of best behavior right now. 9 MR. THOMAS: Well, please. Just slow 9 the question; scope. 10 down. Let the witness answer the question, and let 10 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 11 him finish his answer before you ask another one. 11 Are you prepared to answer that That's what he's doing right now. 12 12 question today? 13 THE WITNESS: The aim of this 13 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 14 preclinical study was to evaluate less invasive TVT 14 the question. 15 15 mesh, and then it goes on. THE WITNESS: No -- because it 16 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 16 depends on a lot of factors. And if there are any 17 17 specific studies you want to talk about that are in Goes on to say what? 18 MR. THOMAS: He's going to tell you, 18 the compilation of documents that we've provided, 19 I'd be glad to talk about those. 19 Dan. 20 THE WITNESS: Studying the fixation 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 21 phase divided into three components. 21 Well, Ethicon studies showed that 22 And then -- yeah. So I would 22 Prolene mesh can shrink up to 30 to 50 percent, conclude that the primary objective is biomechanical 23 23 right? 24 with a histology component included. 24 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 25 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 25 the question; scope. Page 328 Page 330 1 What steps did you take yesterday to 1 Dan, that's not even on the 2 locate the pathology report? 2 designations --3 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 3 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 4 Are you prepared to discuss that the question. 5 5 THE WITNESS: I did not take any today, Doctor? 6 6 steps. A. No. 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 7 Q. Well, I mean, what is part of the 8 8 Did you make an inquiry to Joerg designations is porosity studies. And that --Holste whether or not any of the meshes that were 9 porosity studies, clearly, one of the things that 9 10 explanted in that study showed encapsulation of the you can look at is mesh contraction. 10 11 mesh? 11 Did you look at any studies involving 12 12 mesh contraction --A. No. 13 Q. Did you make an inquiry with anybody 13 MR. THOMAS: Object. 14 yesterday as to whether or not any of the slides 14 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 15 were lost? 15 Q. -- other than -- other than the one 16 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 16 that you have listed here? 17 the question. 17 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 18 the question; scope. 19 19 During or -- during or after that THE WITNESS: This is one that we've 20 study was conducted? 20 conducted, Tab 41. 21 A. No. 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 22 22 And in the next document you have What mesh was involved in that case? Q. 23 listed here is an investigational study of Swine 23 I'll have to look at the detail. Α. 24 models to evaluate mesh contraction and tissue 24 Let me just try to simplify. Was TVT 25 integration over a 13-week period. 25 mesh involved in that case?

10 (Pages 327 to 330)

Page 331 Page 333 1 Α. Let me confirm. 1 No. it does indicate it is Prolene 2 0. Perhaps it was the heavyweight small 2 Soft. Prolene Soft is one of the meshes that were 3 3 evaluated. pore. 4 MR. THOMAS: You've asked three 4 Q. What you can say for certain is that that mesh wasn't the Prolene mesh contained within 5 questions. You haven't let him answer any of them 5 6 6 yet. Let him answer a question, please. TVT? 7 THE WITNESS: Three mesh implants 7 I can't say that for certain, but I A. 8 were studied: Prolene mesh, Prolene Soft mesh, and 8 believe it is not. 9 ULTRAPRO mesh. 9 O. You have the biocompatibility risk 10 10 Although it doesn't indicate the assessment report for Proceed's surgical mesh. Is 11 version of Prolene mesh, the date of the study, 11 that a large -- is that a lightweight large pore 6/21/07, would suggest that it's 5 mil flat mesh. 12 12 mesh? 13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 14 Which is a different mil that is 14 the question. O. used -- different Prolene fiber size than is used in 15 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 16 the TVT Prolene mesh, correct? 16 O. The Proceed? 17 17 A. This would be Prolene Soft mesh. A. Yes. 18 Do you know what the pore sizes are 18 O. So it's a 3.5 lightweight mesh, 19 in that particular Prolene mesh that was studied? 19 correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to form; asked 20 20 Α Yes. 21 and answered. 21 O. Not the same mesh in TVT, correct? 22 22 THE WITNESS: I know that it's less A. That's correct. 23 than the 6 mil TVT mesh. 23 Then you have the biocompatibility 24 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 24 risk assessment report for the Gynecare TVT product 25 25 family. That's -- that would be related to --Does it say current production, Page 332 Page 334 1 Prolene mesh? 1 that's the TVT product, right? 2 A. No, it does not. 2 A. Yes. 3 So you don't know sitting here today 3 So you would agree with me that the 4 if that's the current production at the time or if 4 vast majority of the documents that you listed in 5 5 your list regarding the statement that Prolene mesh that was some sort of prototype of the Prolene mesh, 6 do you? 6 elicits a minimal inflammatory reaction in tissue 7 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of which is transient, either were suture studies, not 8 8 the question. mesh studies, short-term studies, not long-term 9 THE WITNESS: I think if it were a 9 studies or mid term, not long-term studies, or 10 10 involved -- some of the studies involved meshes that prototype, it would indicate such. 11 11 What I have in front of me is not were large pore lightweight meshes, correct? 12 sufficient to positively identify that was 5 mil 12 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. Object to 13 mesh, but all the data points are in that direction. 13 the form of the question. 14 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 14 THE WITNESS: All of those studies 15 You can't tell from looking at that 15 are included in this list. 16 if it's a 3.5 mil Prolene mesh, can you? 16 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 17 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 17 Did you ever conduct a study or did 18 Ethicon ever conduct a study that looked at the 18 the question. 19 19 TVT -- strike that. THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. 20 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Did Ethicon ever conduct a study that 21 21 How can you tell? looked at the Prolene mesh in the TVT and compare it 22 22 Because that would be Prolene Soft to a negative control to determine the inflammatory A. 23 23 response in TVT? mesh. 24 24 And it doesn't indicate it's Prolene A. No. That would not be so useful. 25 Soft. Is that what you're saying? 25 You -- ULTRAPRO was compared to

11 (Pages 331 to 334)

Page 335 Page 337 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going 1 Prolene, wasn't it? 1 2 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 2 off the video record. It's now 9:45. 3 3 the question; scope. (Short break.) (Whereupon, the court reporter read 4 THE WITNESS: In the study that I 4 5 just mentioned, yes. 5 back the requested portion of the record.) 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the video 7 Q. Well, do you recall -- do you recall 7 record, 9:56. 8 8 doing a study that looked at --THE WITNESS: Now, it's my I just want to clarify which study 9 9 understanding that the literature search results 10 that was, because we've been talking about a lot of 10 from the two literature searches conducted have been provided to the plaintiff's counsel. That includes 11 11 studies. all the studies in their entirety that came from 12 That would be Tab 42. 12 13 O. Can you read off the name of that 13 that literature search of RDCS. 14 14 study for me? BY MR. THORNBURGH: 15 15 A. An investigational study of Swine Is that list larger than the list 16 that you provided in Exhibit 2241? 16 models to evaluate mesh contraction and tissue in 17 growth over a 13-week period. 17 MR. THOMAS: Those are the lists of 18 18 the gross searches that were provided from 1960 to I misspoke. 1980 and then the two searches from 1980 to 2000. 19 19 It's the same study, but the study 20 that I intended to call out was Tab 41. 20 Those are the lists that we're talking about. 21 Tab 42 is simply the pathology report 21 MR. THORNBURGH: I am asking the 22 22 for that study. witness. 23 23 MR. THOMAS: That's fine. Do you recall doing a study that 24 looked at the tissue response to ULTRAPRO and 24 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat? 25 compared it to the old construction heavyweight 25 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Page 336 Page 338 Prolene and found that the tissue response was --1 Yes. Is there a larger list of there's a greater inflammatory response with the old 2 2 studies than is contained in your section regarding 3 construction 6 mil Prolene compared to the ULTRAPRO 3 the minimal and transient inflammatory response? 4 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 4 Yes, there is a larger list, as I've 5 5 the question. described. 6 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so. 6 From those two literature searches, 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 7 studies were obtained from R&D central file, which 8 8 Q. Do you know if that study was ever were felt to be relevant to each of the topics under 9 conducted? 9 discussion. 10 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 10 Some of those studies turned out not 11 the question. 11 to be relevant. Those studies that were determined 12 THE WITNESS: I am not aware of such 12 to be relevant to each of the topics for discussion 13 a study. It's not a study that we provided. 13 were then compiled for this particular topic. You 14 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 14 see this list of 44 documents. 15 Like we talked about yesterday when 15 Now, if there was a study that looked 16 we talked about the porosity studies, was there a 16 at and compared ULTRAPRO, which is a lightweight larger list that was created by you or someone else 17 17 large pore mesh, to Prolene 6 mil mesh, that study which contained more studies that are currently 18 18 did not make it onto your list, did it? 19 19 listed in this section regarding the studies related It would have fallen out of the 20 to the statement that the inflammatory response is 20 original R&D central file search, and it would have 21 21 minimal and transient? been included in this list, because it would have 22 22 MR. THOMAS: I'm sorry. Object to contained TVT mesh, even though it's a comparison to 23 the form of the question. I'm trying to go with my 23 some other mesh. 24 24 screen and I've lost my --So that would have definitely been 25 (Brief interruption.) 25 relevant.

12 (Pages 335 to 338)

Page 339 Page 341 1 You don't see any study on this list 1 mesh in TVT would elicit a minimal transient 2 that you provided -- strike that. 2 inflammatory response, right? 3 3 You chose what documents -- what That 1973 study needs to be 4 4 considered in context with the NDAs for Prolene studies would be listed in your IFU list of studies 5 5 that support the claim that the inflammatory suture, where long-term studies were conducted two 6 response is minimal and transient, right? 6 years in rat, three years in dog, three months in 7 A. 7 rabbits, looking at the same polypropylene --8 8 Q. And nowhere on that list is a study Prolene polypropylene fiber that's used in Prolene 9 9 that compared ULTRAPRO to Prolene and found that mesh. 10 ULTRAPRO elicited a more minimal inflammatory 10 It's the leveraging of those 11 response, correct? 11 long-term studies and the 1973 study, which is 12 A. That is not on this list, and I am 12 relatively short term as you point out, forms the 13 not aware of such a study. 13 basis for the information provided by preclinical to 14 14 That would have been a relevant study the folks that prepare the IFU. MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike; 15 15 to include on this list if it existed, correct? 16 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 16 nonresponsive. 17 the question. 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 In that list -- in fact, in this 19 19 BY MR. THORNBURGH: entire list of 43 studies, 44 studies, that is the 20 That would have been a relevant study 20 only Prolene mesh study that formed the basis for 21 to do to compare the difference in inflammatory 21 the claim in the IFU that the Prolene and TVT will 22 22 response of a lightweight large pore mesh to TVT, elicit a minimal transient inflammatory response, 23 correct? 23 correct? 24 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 24 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 25 the question. 25 the question; scope. Page 340 Page 342 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, it would have been 1 THE WITNESS: I don't believe the 2 a relevant study. 2 results from the 1973 Prolene mesh study that went 3 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 3 for 28 days can be assessed without considering the 4 4 Of the 44 studies that made it onto long-term results from the Prolene suture studies 5 5 documented in the Prolene suture NDA. your final list to support the claim that TVT 6 elicits a minimal transitory inflammatory response, 6 MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike: 7 31 of those studies are suture studies, correct? 7 nonresponsive. 8 8 I accept your count. BY MR. THORNBURGH: A. Well, Tab 1 through Tab 31, correct? 9 9 Q. Q. Answer my question, please. 10 I've not been keeping track. 10 MR. THOMAS: He did answer your A. 11 And of the 13 studies involving Q. 11 question. 12 mesh --12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 Excuse me. Just for clarification, I 13 Q. My question is: In this list of 43 14 was just scanning the 1 through 31, and I see that 14 studies -- 44 studies, the short-term 28-day study 15 Number 10 is, in fact, a 1973 study with Prolene 15 from 1973 was the only Prolene mesh study that 16 mesh. It's the same mesh. 16 formed the basis for the claim in the IFU that the Prolene in TVT will elicit a minimal transitory 17 Oh, I'm sorry. Correct. 17 18 inflammatory response. Correct? 18 So of the first 31 studies, only one 19 involved Prolene mesh, correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 20 A. O. Of the 13 mesh studies contained 21 21 Q. And that one study in the first 31 within your IFU list of studies that support the 22 22 claim that Prolene mesh in TVT elicits a minimal was a short-term study, correct? 23 23 transient inflammatory response, approximately 12 of A. 24 24 And that's the study that formed the those were either short-term or mid-duration 25 basis of the language in the IFU that the Prolene studies, correct?

13 (Pages 339 to 342)

Page 343 Page 345 1 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 1 start over again. I have the right one now. 2 2 The designation made by plaintiffs the question. 3 3 THE WITNESS: I accept your count. states, Paragraph 3: The identity of, the location 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 of, and the substance of any and all studies, data, 5 5 You also have been designated as the and/or other evidence that form the basis of the 6 6 person most knowledgeable regarding preclinical or following claim/statement included in the attached 7 animal studies that support the claim in the IFU 7 instructions for use for the TVT products. The 8 8 that the material is not absorbed, nor is it subject material is not absorbed, nor is it subject to 9 9 to degradation or weakening by the action of tissue degradation or weakening by the action of tissue 10 enzymes, correct? 10 enzymes. 11 That's correct. 11 That's the designation. 12 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 the question. 13 So you've been designated as the 14 14 person most knowledgeable regarding studies or I think if you look at the topic that he was identified on, it was a single sentence. And 15 evidence that support the claim in the IFU that the 15 16 that is the scope of the designation. 16 Prolene mesh in TVT is not absorbed, nor is it 17 THE WITNESS: Well, I stand 17 subject to degradation or weakening by the action of 18 corrected. I have in front of me a compilation of 18 tissue enzymes. Correct? 19 19 studies that address a topic for discussion, and A. Yes. 20 that topic indicates -- and I quote: The material 20 Q. In other words, the claim by Ethicon 21 is not absorbed, nor is it subject to degradation or 21 in the IFU is that the Prolene mesh in the TVT will 22 22 weakening by the action of tissue enzymes. End not degrade, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 23 23 quote. 24 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 24 the question. 25 25 Which is the exact question I asked. THE WITNESS: It says that it's not Q. Page 344 Page 346 1 MR. THOMAS: I don't think you did. 1 absorbed, nor is it subject to degradation or 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 weakening by the action of tissue enzymes. 3 3 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Let me ask it again. I'll read from Q. the transcript. 4 4 Is it Ethicon's position that the 5 5 You also have been designated as the studies and evidence support the claim that the 6 person most knowledgeable regarding preclinical or 6 Prolene mesh in TVT will not degrade? 7 animal studies that support the claim in the IFU 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 8 8 that the material is not absorbed, nor is it subject the question. 9 THE WITNESS: In a general sense. 9 to degradation or weakening by action of tissue 10 10 enzymes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 11 11 Correct? Q. What do you mean by "in a general 12 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 12 sense"? 13 the question. That's not the designation. 13 A. Well, that statement is different 14 The designation is and it reads 14 from the statement that's in the IFU. 15 verbatim in terms that you've written: The identity 15 Part of the statement is that the 16 of, the location of, and the substance of any and Prolene mesh in the TVT will not degrade, right, by 16 17 all studies, data, and/or evidence that form the 17 the tissue enzymes in the human body. Correct? 18 basis of the following claim/statement contained in 18 A. 19 19 the attached instructions for use for the TVT Q. Is that Ethicon's position? 20 20 products. Animal studies show that implementation A. 21 of Prolene mesh elicits a minimal inflammatory --21 Q. Is it Ethicon's position that the 22 22 Prolene in the TVT is subject to degradation under I'm sorry. 23 MR. THORNBURGH: You're looking at 23 certain conditions? 24 the wrong designation. 24 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 25 MR. THOMAS: Okay. I am. Let me 25 the question.

Page 347 Page 349 1 THE WITNESS: That's not what this 1 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. I need to 2 says. 2 take a very quick break. 3 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 10:16, off the BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 4 Well, is it Ethicon's position that video record. the Prolene mesh will degrade under certain -- in 5 5 (Short break.) 6 certain environments? 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the video 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 7 record, 10:20. 8 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 8 the question. 9 THE WITNESS: It's Ethicon's 9 Q. Doctor, you would agree that the 10 position, as outlined in these two folders that 10 human body, due to the presence of O2 in various 11 11 contain 49 different studies, that the material in forms, is a potentially powerful oxidizer? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 12 TVT mesh, which is Prolene polypropylene, is not 12 13 absorbed, nor is it subject to degradation or 13 the question; scope. weakening by the action of tissue enzymes. 14 THE WITNESS: They can't be too -- I 14 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 15 would agree in general, but they can't be too 15 16 Now, you agree with me that Ethicon 16 powerful, because too powerful would be incompatible 17 has conducted studies which have shown that in vivo, 17 with life. 18 in the human body, or in animal studies, the Prolene 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 mesh does, in fact, suffer from surface cracking on 19 Q. Powerful enough to degrade 20 the outer layer of the mesh? 20 polypropylene, right? 21 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 21 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 22 22 the question. the question. THE WITNESS: You're making reference THE WITNESS: That would need to be 23 23 24 to surface changes observed in a seven-year dog 24 determined. 25 25 BY MR. THORNBURGH: study? Page 348 Page 350 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 1 Q. Well, let me look at a document I 2 No, there's more than that, but we'll 2 believe you had listed on your list of evidence. 3 3 MR. THORNBURGH: We'll mark it as talk about the dog study. 4 But you agree that there have been 4 Exhibit 2250. ETH.MESH.10575391. 5 studies conducted at Ethicon that show degradation 5 (Document marked for identification 6 of the surface layer of the Prolene mesh? 6 as Exhibit T-2250.) 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 8 8 the question. This is Critical Reviews in 9 9 THE WITNESS: I only know of one Biocompatibility. You've seen this? 10 study looking at surface changes in Prolene suture. 10 A. Yes. That would be the seven-year dog study. 11 11 Q. Before, right? 12 And that would be -- that would be 12 A. 13 Tab 33, seven-year data for ten-year Prolene study. 13 Q. It appears that the authors of this ERF 85-219 1992. document is -- C.C. Chu? 14 14 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 15 Α. 16 Did you look at the five-year data? 16 And the referee is Postlethwait. Am I Q. 17 Yes, as part -- well, the five-year 17 pronouncing his name correctly? endpoints were part of this study. 18 18 I am not certain. I don't know him. A. 19 MR. THOMAS: Just for the record, 19 That sounds good to me. that tab has been supplemented by this additional 20 20 Q. Do you know Dr. Chu? 21 disclosure. I'll make sure the witness has that 21 A. I've met him once. 22 22 available to him. Okay. And the title of this document 23 THE WITNESS: If we need to talk 23 is the degradation of biocompatibility -- I'm sorry. 24 about the seven-year dog study, this would be the 24 Strike that. 25 one to -- to discuss. 25 The degradation of -- strike that.

Page 351 Page 353 1 The title of this, what appears to be 1 chance to review this before today, right? 2 a book or a chapter in a book, is the degradation 2 I've read through this document at 3 3 of -- "The Degradation And Biocompatibility Of one point. 4 Suture Material," right? 4 Q. The authors here in this paragraph 5 A. Yes. 5 are talking about polypropylene, right? б б MR. THOMAS: Which paragraph are you Q. Where does this come from? What's the critical reviews and biocompatibility; do you 7 7 talking about? 8 8 MR. THORNBURGH: I'm sorry. The know? 9 Well, I've seen critical reviews in 9 third paragraph on Page 288, Bates number ending in 10 10 toxicology before. I think this is an attempt by 5419. 11 CRC press to put forward review articles by experts, 11 THE WITNESS: They're talking about polyethylene sutures of which polypropylene is one. 12 considered experts in the field, that would 12 13 summarize what is known about a particular topic up 13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 14 to a certain point in time. 14 Q. Okay. And in the highlighted 15 15 section, the authors write: Although this class of Q. And this is 1985, right? 16 16 polymer is resistant to hydrolysis, it is A. 17 17 susceptible to oxidative degradation. Oxidation is Q. This is before the TVT was marketed, 18 not as well known as hydrolysis in biomedical 18 correct? 19 19 Yes. polymers in 1985. The human body, due to the A. 20 Q. In fact, it's before the TVT was 20 presence of O2 in various forms, is a potentially 21 designed and developed, correct? 21 powerful oxidizer. 22 22 A. Yes. Liebert and others examine the rate 23 Q. Do you find this to be authoritative? 23 of oxidation of polypropylene fibers with and 24 Up to 1985, yes. I think it reflects 24 without antioxidants implanted subcutaneously in 25 what was generally known to be so in the field. 25 hamsters. They found that the pure fiber without Page 352 Page 354 1 And this document was -- if you look, 1 antioxidants degraded by an oxidative mechanism 2 there's an ETH.MESH. number on it, which would 2 similar to high temperature autooxidation. 3 indicate that this document was within the files at 3 The degradation began to occur after 4 Ethicon, correct? 4 only about ten days, and this initiation period 5 5 Yes. I believe it's in -- here as lasted about 108 days. 6 Tab 22 in the IFU three-folder. 6 The degradation product -- do you 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 7 know what that -- what that means right here, C 8 8 the question. equals O? 9 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 9 A. It is a carbonyl group. 10 10 How did you find this document which So: The degradation product, the 11 made it to your list of supporting evidence 11 carbonyl group, was observed in the form after 12 regarding the claim in the IFU that the Prolene TVT 12 99 days of implantation. Whether this observation 13 does not degrade by the actions of enzymes in the 13 is applicable to polypropylene suture material is 14 human body? not known and needs to be further studied. 14 15 It was one of the references that FDA 15 Do you see that? 16 provided when they reclassified Prolene 16 Yes. A. 17 polypropylene suture from Class 3 to Class 2. 17 How many studies are you aware of that Ethicon did to determine if the Prolene in TVT 18 And I think I -- yes. And that would 18 19 be Tab 28 in the folder, IFU 3, entitled "FDA 19 can degrade as a result of or including as a result 20 Reclassification Of Prolene Polypropylene 20 of oxidation in vivo inside the body? 21 Non-Absorbable Sutures, October 12, 1990." 21 There are roughly -- well, there 22 22 Now, the authors -- turn with me to are -- there are 49 documents in these two -- two 23 Page 288 of the critical reviews. 23 binders labeled IFU 3 that support the statement 24 The ETH.MESH. number is 10575419. 24 that's the subject matter topic that the material is

16 (Pages 351 to 354)

not absorbed, nor is it subject to degradation or

25

25

The authors are -- you've had a

Page 355 Page 357 1 weakening by the action of tissue enzymes. 1 crystalline regions offering the most strength of a 2 How many preclinical studies were 2 fiber compared to the amorphous regions. 3 3 done that looked at the primary endpoint degradation BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 of the Prolene fiber in TVT? 4 Q. One way of looking for degradation of 5 5 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Prolene would be through FTIR analysis, correct? 6 6 the question. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 7 THE WITNESS: Every study where TVT 7 the question; scope. 8 8 was implanted, there is an opportunity to assess THE WITNESS: That could be a way, 9 whether or not there's any degradation of the 9 and, more likely, IR microspectroscopy, where there 10 filaments and any resulting effects from that. 10 is a very specific focus on areas of interest. 11 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 11 But, again, that's an analytical 12 What types of -- what types of tests 12 chemistry kind of area. Although I have some 13 are performed to determine degradation of polymer 13 understanding of it, depending on how much detail filaments? 14 14 you would need, I may or may not be able to help. 15 15 A. The key endpoints to make a BY MR. THORNBURGH: 16 16 determination as to whether or not a material fiber And you're not at least prepared 17 would be degraded would be to look at quantitative 17 today to talk about carbonyl bands that show up on 18 parameters, like molecular weight and, perhaps most 18 FTIR microscopy which would indicate oxidation of 19 importantly, tensile strength. 19 the Prolene fibers, correct? 20 In the absence of loss of molecular 20 A. That's right. I do not have enough 21 weight and in the absence of a loss in tensile 21 depth in that area. 22 22 strength, one cannot conclude that there's been any Another way of analyzing degradation 23 of a polypropylene like Prolene would be to look at impact or degradation on a fiber. 23 24 Do you know what I mean by when I say 24 melting point, right? 25 amorphous zones or amorphous regions of the Prolene 25 Again, that's -- that's a polymer Page 356 Page 358 1 chemistry kind of term, and I'm not prepared to fiber? 1 2 A. I have a general understanding. 2 address any melting point endpoints. 3 What is your understanding of 3 Do you know -- do you know generally 4 amorphous zones or amorphous regions of the Prolene 4 what I mean by melting point? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 5 5 fiber? 6 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form; 6 the question. 7 7 THE WITNESS: It's the point -- it's scope. 8 8 THE WITNESS: They're not the temperature at which a substance melts. crystalline, and they do not offer much contribution 9 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 9 10 in the way of tensile strength. 10 Did you look at any -- before you BY MR. THORNBURGH: 11 came in today, did you look at any studies that were 11 12 They're less stable than the 12 conducted by Ethicon that looked at the melting 13 point of pieces of the outer surface of Prolene mesh 13 crystalline bulk Prolene, correct? 14 MR. THOMAS: Object to form; scope. 14 which, when the study was conducted, showed evidence 15 THE WITNESS: They're different areas 15 of oxidation of the polypropylene? 16 16 of the polymer. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 17 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: the question. 18 18 Less stable areas of the polymer? THE WITNESS: I've not reviewed any 19 19 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. Do you want melting point data. 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 him to answer your question? 21 THE WITNESS: I don't know that I 21 And in any event, these authors write 22 would characterize it as less stable. That might be 22 that the human body is potentially a powerful 23 a question for a polymer chemist. But, clearly, 23 oxidizer, right? 24 there are differences in mechanical characteristics 24 A. It's as it's stated. 25 between amorphous and crystalline regions, the 25 And there's a discussion about a

Page 359 Page 361 1 study by Liebert. Did you read the Liebert study 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 before you came here today? 2 Q. And could you explain to the ladies 3 and gentlemen of the jury what we mean by "leach"? 3 A. I am looking for it right now. Give 4 4 A. Leaching means the movement of me a moment to go through this list. 5 5 I don't see it in this list, but I substances from an implant into the surrounding have reviewed that publication. 6 6 tissue. 7 And you're familiar, then, in the 7 Q. Okay. 8 MR. THOMAS: While you're doing this, 8 Liebert study that when Liebert and his fellow 9 investigators examined the rate of oxidation of 9 are you going to ask him questions about the 10 polypropylene fibers, they found degradation in 10 leaching notebooks? 11 animal study -- in animal studies of the 11 MR. THORNBURGH: Not yet. We will be 12 polypropylene fibers which did not contain 12 asking questions about leaching. 13 antioxidants, correct? 13 MR. THOMAS: We'll put them away, 14 14 That's correct, as reflected by C.C. A. then. 15 Chu in this review article, when he says they found 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: that the pure fiber (without antioxidant) degraded 16 16 You've seen the Sunoco material by an oxidation mechanism similar to high 17 17 safety data sheet previously, haven't you? temperature autooxidation. 18 18 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 19 What he doesn't say here and what is 19 the question. 20 called out in the Liebert paper is that the fiber 20 I think this was covered at length in 21 with antioxidant did not show any evidence of 21 his prior deposition. 22 22 THE WITNESS: I think you showed this degradation. 23 Right. And one of the topics that 23 to me at the last deposition. 24 you've been designated to discuss is leaching, 24 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 25 25 Right. And this has been premarked right? Page 360 Page 362 1 as Exhibit Number T-2111. A. Yes. 1 2 And some of the studies that you 2 Now, if you turn with me to --3 looked at showed that the antioxidants, Santonox R 3 Well, do you have an understanding 4 and Lubrol, can leach out of the Prolene fiber, that this is the same Prolene homopolymer as 5 contained within the TVT Prolene? 5 correct? 6 6 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of A. Let me take a look at the... 7 Q. You don't recall that off the top of 7 the question; scope. 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's not the 8 your head? 9 9 original supplier, but those suppliers may have A. I'd rather pull the folder and be 10 changed. It may be the current supplier. I don't 10 able to give you a more complete answer. 11 know that for certain, but if you -- if you say that 11 This is a folder that contains --12 this -- this is the source of the polypropylene 12 MR. THOMAS: There are three of them. 13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 resin for polypropylene-based products, I would not 14 disagree. 14 Let me ask you this question real Q. 15 quick. 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 16 Let me finish your other. 16 And Sunoco is a petro oil company, A. 17 Well, I'm going to withdraw the 17 correct? Are you familiar with that? original question. I'm going to try to streamline Yes. Yes. It's Sun Oil company. 18 18 19 19 O. If you turn with me to the fourth these. Is it Ethicon's position that the page, which is ETH.MESH.02026594, you would agree 20 20 21 antioxidants in the polypropylene Prolene fibers in 21 with me that this MSDS for polypropylene resin shows 22 TVT can leach from the fibers? 22 that -- under the incompatibility, that the 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 23 following materials are incompatible with the 24 the question. 24 product: Strong oxidizers, such as chlorine, 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. peroxide, chromates, nitric acid, perchlorates,

18 (Pages 359 to 362)

Page 363 Page 365 1 concentrated oxygen, sodium hypochlorite, calcium 1 one before that. 2 hypochlorite, and chlorine and nitric acid, correct? 2 That answer is yes. There are two 3 3 Yes. folders --4 4 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. Let him MR. THOMAS: You left out 5 permanganates. 5 answer the question. 6 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: BY MR. THORNBURGH: 7 Q. Permanganates, chlorine, and nitric 7 My question was: Did you Q. 8 acid, correct? 8 personally --9 A. Yes. That's the list. 9 A. No. Your question was on behalf of 10 And you would agree with me that 10 Ethicon. O. 11 according to the evidence that you reviewed in 11 Did you personally? Q. 12 preparing for this 30(b)(6) deposition, that the 12 MR. THOMAS: Okay. Stop. Let's 13 human body, as a result of the inflammatory response 13 start over. And you ask a question that he can 14 to foreign objects or foreign materials, can create 14 answer. You have five pending. 15 strong oxidizers in the body? 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 16 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 16 Did you personally conduct any 17 the question. 17 studies that had the primary endpoint of looking at 18 THE WITNESS: Strong is a relative 18 degradation in animal studies? 19 19 term. But I believe that the strong oxidizers as MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 20 called out in this MSDS, that would make -- that 20 the question. 21 would be incompatible with polypropylene would not 21 THE WITNESS: Well, I understood I 22 be biocompatible in the body. 22 was here to talk on behalf of Ethicon and not myself 23 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 23 personally. 24 Well, according to Exhibit 24 MR. THOMAS: You can answer the 25 Number 2250, which you listed on your list of 25 question. Did you personally do that? Page 364 Page 366 evidence supporting your claims, the authors wrote 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 10:44. We're 1 that the human body, due to the presence of O2 in 2 going off the video record. 3 various forms, is a potential powerful oxidizer. 3 This concludes Volume 2, Tape 1 of 4 Correct? 4 the videotape deposition of Dr. Thomas A. Barbolt. 5 5 Again, in my opinion, they're not as (Short break.) 6 strong chemically as these oxidizers called out in 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now back on 7 7 this MSDS that would not be compatible with the video record. It's 10:52. 8 8 polypropylene fiber or polypropylene material. This begins Volume 2, Tape 2 of the 9 These oxidizers are not 9 videotape deposition of Dr. Thomas A. Barbolt. biocompatible. They are corrosive. They would not MR. THOMAS: There was a question 10 10 pending. Do you want him to answer it? 11 be compatible with tissue. 11 Well, have you ever personally 12 MR. THORNBURGH: I thought he did 12 13 studied -- have you personally studied -- strike 13 answer it. 14 14 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 15 Have you -- on behalf of Ethicon, did 15 Were you not finished answering my O. 16 you do any in vivo animal studies to look at, as a 16 question? I don't think so. Could you repeat? 17 primary endpoint, degradation? 17 A. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 18 18 It's not on the... 19 19 MR. THOMAS: I don't think he the question; scope. 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 answered it. 21 Q. Do you know sitting here right now 21 The question appears at Line 63, 23. 22 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 22 whether or not you ever did such a study? 23 MR. THOMAS: Which question do you 23 Did you personally conduct any 24 want him to ask --24 studies that had the primary endpoint of looking at 25 THE WITNESS: Well, I'll answer the degradation in your animal studies?

19 (Pages 363 to 366)

	Page 367		Page 369
1	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	1	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
2	the question.	2	the question.
3	THE WITNESS: All implantation	3	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the
4	studies that I have conducted and you have seen	4	question. In what context?
5	my name on a number of them in the compilation of	5	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
6	data that we provided looking at degradation of the	6	Q. Do you recall being told do you
7	implant is part of every implantation study. So	7	strike that.
8	the answer is yes.	8	Do you recall inquiring about whether
9	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	9	you should conduct animal studies with the primary
10	Q. Did you do SEM EDX analysis?	10	endpoint of degradation?
11	A. No.	11	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
12	Q. Did you do FTIR analysis?	12	the question; scope.
13	A. Is this on behalf of Ethicon or	13	THE WITNESS: Being told not to do
14	personally?	14	such studies?
15	Q. Did you personally?	15	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
16	A. No.	16	Q. Yes.
17	Q. Did you do melting point analysis?	17	A. No.
18	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	18	Q. Do you know who Dr. Ramshaw is?
19	the question.	19	A. Dr.?
20	THE WITNESS: No.	20	Q. Ramshaw?
21	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	21	A. No, I do not.
22	Q. So, clearly, the primary endpoint in	22	Q. Bruce Ramshaw from the University of
23	the studies that you conducted were not oxidation or	23	Missouri?
24	degradation studies, correct?	24	A. I don't think we've met.
25	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	25	Q. My question was: Do you know of him?
			Ç. 7 1
	Page 368		Page 370
1	Page 368	1	Page 370
1 2	the question.	1 2	A. No.
2	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation	2	A. No.Q. I've handed what's been premarked as
2 3	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation	2	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012.
2 3 4	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any	2 3 4	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123.
2 3 4 5	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable	2 3 4 5	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of
2 3 4 5 6	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants.	2 3 4	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this
2 3 4 5 6 7	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH:	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis?	2 3 4 5 6	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. How could you do a degradation study	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio? A. He was a medical director at Ethicon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. How could you do a degradation study without doing SEM analysis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio? A. He was a medical director at Ethicon. It doesn't look like I sent the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. How could you do a degradation study without doing SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio? A. He was a medical director at Ethicon. It doesn't look like I sent the message. It looks like I was copied on it. MR. THOMAS: He directed your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. How could you do a degradation study without doing SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio? A. He was a medical director at Ethicon. It doesn't look like I sent the message. It looks like I was copied on it. MR. THOMAS: He directed your attention to the very end.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. How could you do a degradation study without doing SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Well, the beauty the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio? A. He was a medical director at Ethicon. It doesn't look like I sent the message. It looks like I was copied on it. MR. THOMAS: He directed your attention to the very end. Oh, I see. Yes, I see what you mean.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. How could you do a degradation study without doing SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Well, the beauty the beauty of an implantation study is that you can look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio? A. He was a medical director at Ethicon. It doesn't look like I sent the message. It looks like I was copied on it. MR. THOMAS: He directed your attention to the very end. Oh, I see. Yes, I see what you mean. THE WITNESS: I am looking at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. How could you do a degradation study without doing SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Well, the beauty the beauty of an implantation study is that you can look at the elements of an implant to determine whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio? A. He was a medical director at Ethicon. It doesn't look like I sent the message. It looks like I was copied on it. MR. THOMAS: He directed your attention to the very end. Oh, I see. Yes, I see what you mean. THE WITNESS: I am looking at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. How could you do a degradation study without doing SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Well, the beauty the beauty of an implantation study is that you can look at the elements of an implant to determine whether or not there is cracking, there's absorption, there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio? A. He was a medical director at Ethicon. It doesn't look like I sent the message. It looks like I was copied on it. MR. THOMAS: He directed your attention to the very end. Oh, I see. Yes, I see what you mean. THE WITNESS: I am looking at the last e-mail message beginning on ETH.MESH.05588125 BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. How could you do a degradation study without doing SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Well, the beauty the beauty of an implantation study is that you can look at the elements of an implant to determine whether or not there is cracking, there's absorption, there is surface effects. All that could be visualized	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio? A. He was a medical director at Ethicon. It doesn't look like I sent the message. It looks like I was copied on it. MR. THOMAS: He directed your attention to the very end. Oh, I see. Yes, I see what you mean. THE WITNESS: I am looking at the last e-mail message beginning on ETH.MESH.05588125 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Yeah. Oddly, if you look at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. How could you do a degradation study without doing SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Well, the beauty the beauty of an implantation study is that you can look at the elements of an implant to determine whether or not there is cracking, there's absorption, there is surface effects. All that could be visualized directly under the light microscope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio? A. He was a medical director at Ethicon. It doesn't look like I sent the message. It looks like I was copied on it. MR. THOMAS: He directed your attention to the very end. Oh, I see. Yes, I see what you mean. THE WITNESS: I am looking at the last e-mail message beginning on ETH.MESH.05588125 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Yeah. Oddly, if you look at the author of this e-mail, it appears to be you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. How could you do a degradation study without doing SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Well, the beauty the beauty of an implantation study is that you can look at the elements of an implant to determine whether or not there is cracking, there's absorption, there is surface effects. All that could be visualized directly under the light microscope. BY MR. THORNBURGH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio? A. He was a medical director at Ethicon. It doesn't look like I sent the message. It looks like I was copied on it. MR. THOMAS: He directed your attention to the very end. Oh, I see. Yes, I see what you mean. THE WITNESS: I am looking at the last e-mail message beginning on ETH.MESH.05588125 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Yeah. Oddly, if you look at the author of this e-mail, it appears to be you. Hold on a second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the question. THE WITNESS: They were not oxidation studies, but they definitely were degradation studies. That is a primary endpoint for any implantation study of absorbable or non-absorbable implants. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Did you do SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. How could you do a degradation study without doing SEM analysis? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Well, the beauty the beauty of an implantation study is that you can look at the elements of an implant to determine whether or not there is cracking, there's absorption, there is surface effects. All that could be visualized directly under the light microscope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. I've handed what's been premarked as Exhibit Number T-4012. The ETH.MESH. number is 05588123. Now, if you go to the last page of this e-mail, which would be the first e-mail in this e-mail string, you write to Dr. Thomas Divilio. Do you know who Dr. Thomas Divilio is? A. Thomas Divilio. Q. Divilio? Who's Dr. Thomas Divilio? A. He was a medical director at Ethicon. It doesn't look like I sent the message. It looks like I was copied on it. MR. THOMAS: He directed your attention to the very end. Oh, I see. Yes, I see what you mean. THE WITNESS: I am looking at the last e-mail message beginning on ETH.MESH.05588125 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Yeah. Oddly, if you look at the author of this e-mail, it appears to be you.

Page 371 Page 373 1 Strike that. Strike that. 1 after ten years revealed no changes in material. 2 MR. THOMAS: The author is Tom 2 That's not actually true, is it? 3 3 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Divilio. 4 4 MR. THORNBURGH: That's why I said the question; scope. 5 "strike that". 5 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: That statement that Ethicon had 7 Q. Well, let's do it this way. Do you 7 previously implanted Prolene suture into dogs, and 8 8 recall being included in an e-mail, copied in an explants after ten years revealed no changes in the 9 e-mail, from Dr. Thomas Divilio to John Gillespie 9 material, is not a true statement, is it? 10 where you were copied --10 MR. THOMAS: Object to form; scope. 11 MR. THOMAS: Object to form. 11 THE WITNESS: There were three 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 12 elements, three important elements in that study. 13 -- as a recipient of the e-mail? 13 The key elements, as we've discussed O. 14 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 14 earlier, were molecular weight and tensile strength. 15 15 the question; scope. And in that seven-year dog study, which -- which is 16 THE WITNESS: Well, I've never seen 16 referenced as ten year here, there was no impact on 17 this e-mail chain before. I'd like to take a minute 17 molecular weight, nor tensile strength. 18 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: to go -- to read through it. 19 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 There was surface cracks observed on 20 Well, you clearly received it. You 20 the surface layer of the polypropylene in that 21 don't recall it. Is that what you're saying? 21 study, correct? 22 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 22 Surface changes were observed in some A. 23 23 of the fibers in some of the dogs. the question. 24 THE WITNESS: I see that I'm copied 24 Are you telling the ladies and 25 on it. You asked me if I knew anything about it. 25 gentlemen of the jury that when the outer surface of Page 372 Page 374 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 1 the polypropylene fibers crack and peel away from 2 Q. We'll read the e-mail. 2 the surface, that that is not degradation? 3 It says from Dr. Divilio, John --3 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 4 MR. THOMAS: I think he wants to read 4 the question. 5 5 the whole chain. THE WITNESS: I am telling listeners 6 MR. THORNBURGH: Okay. I mean, I am 6 that the key endpoint of adverse effects of 7 going to read it with him. 7 degradation are molecular weight and tensile 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. If you want to 8 strength, both quantitative measures, not subjective 9 lead it off, that's fine. 9 assessments of surface changes, but quantitative 10 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 10 measures that hold great weight and suggest that 11 Q. It says: John, Bruce Ramshaw from 11 there's no degradation to the Prolene fiber in terms 12 the University of Missouri is challenging our 12 that are significant. perception of polypropylene --13 13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 14 Polypropylene is the polymer in TVT, 14 Do you agree there's been studies 15 correct? 15 conducted that show that when the polypropylene 16 A. Yes. 16 fiber surface or lose -- or fragments come off of 17 -- is challenging our perception of 17 the polypropylene surface as a result of polypropylene as inert material after implantation. 18 18 degradation, that that increases the inflammatory 19 In a recent article, his group looked at explanted 19 response? 20 polypropylene from a Bard Composix mesh under EM and 20 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 21 found that the surface of the fibers had been 21 the question. 22 altered with respect to the pristine material, with 22 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 23 evidence of blistering and increased surface 23 You've seen those studies, haven't 24 roughness, possibly due to oxidation. We previously 24 you? had implanted Prolene suture into dogs, and explants 25 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of

21 (Pages 371 to 374)

Page 375 Page 377 1 the question. 1 in TVT does not degrade as a result of tissue 2 THE WITNESS: I don't recall those 2 enzymes is a study conducted by Postlethwait, right? 3 3 studies. However, all of those studies I do You recall this study, don't you? 4 4 MR. THOMAS: Which one are we talking recall -- and it's those 49 studies listed in these 5 two folders -- do not suggest that there's 5 about? 6 6 degradation of the Prolene polypropylene fiber. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 7 Long-term comparative study of 8 8 non-absorbable sutures by Dr. Postlethwait from 1969 Do you agree on behalf of Ethicon ETH.MESH. Number 10575759. 9 that if that -- that if the surface layer is coming 9 10 off and/or there are fragments that are being 10 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. Do you want 11 released from the polypropylene, that that would --11 to mark one of those for the record? 12 could increase -- increase the inflammatory 12 MR. THORNBURGH: Yes. Yes, I do. 13 response? 13 THE WITNESS: Did you say 59? 14 MR. THOMAS: Wait a minute. He's 14 A. 15 15 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of going to mark it for you. 16 MR. THORNBURGH: I am going to give 16 the question. 17 THE WITNESS: No, because every bit 17 you a copy so you have it. of data that Ethicon has -- and there are 49 studies 18 THE WITNESS: I have a copy here. 18 listed here -- suggest that if anything, the tissue 19 19 It's Tab --20 reaction after long-term implantation of Prolene 20 MR. THORNBURGH: I am going to mark 21 polypropylene fibers diminishes. It does not 21 this one, anyway. 22 22 increase. I'm sorry, Dave. MR. THOMAS: Can I have one, please? 23 23 And this is reflected by FDA in the 24 FDA reclassification document, where they discuss 24 MR. THORNBURGH: Yep. 25 what's known about Prolene suture and that, in fact, 25 MR. THOMAS: What exhibit number is Page 376 Page 378 that it's not absorbable and doesn't degrade to a 1 that? 1 2 significant effect. 2 THE WITNESS: 2251. 3 MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike. 3 MR. THOMAS: 2251. Thank you. 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 (Document marked for identification 5 5 as Exhibit T-2251.) It's a yes or no question, and then 6 you can explain it if you want to. 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 7 7 My question to you was: Is it Now, Dr. Postlethwait from Duke 8 8 University Medical Center in 1969, in a study Ethicon's position --MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. Just so you 9 supported by Ethicon, looked at degradation of 9 know, he said "no" and then explained. That's 10 polypropylene fibers or sutures. 10 11 And if you turn to Page 895, and if 11 exactly what he did. MR. THORNBURGH: All right. Move to 12 you go to the -- first figure six at the bottom, it 12 13 strike everything after no. 13 shows that M -- this is a hard copy to read, but in 14 14 Picture M or Image M, polypropylene -- apparently, It's going to be a long day if --15 15 Image M is showing polypropylene with some fragments counsel ---16 16 BY MR. THORNBURGH: after 18 months. 17 17 Counsel, obviously, is going to have Same at two years. Higher power of 18 18 an opportunity to ask you questions. But I asked a edges of polypropylene suture and fragments. 19 19 yes or no question. I expect a yes or no answer. Now, if we turn to ETH.MESH.0175763, MR. THOMAS: He knows the rules, Dan. 20 20 the last full paragraph on the left-hand column 21 This is his sixth day. 21 discusses Dr. Postlethwait's findings with respect to 22 22 the polypropylene sutures which were apparently BY MR. THORNBURGH: 23 Doctor, in fact, one of the pieces of 23 provided to him by Ethicon. 24 MR. THOMAS: Whoa, whoa, whoa. evidence that you included in your list of documents 24 25 related to the statement by Ethicon that the Prolene Object to the form of the question. Where can you

22 (Pages 375 to 378)

Page 379 Page 381 1 substantiate that? 1 MR. THOMAS: He already has. 2 MR. THORNBURGH: Well, it's provided 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 3 3 Now, if we go back to Exhibit in part by Ethicon. 4 MR. THOMAS: Nowhere in this article 4 Number 4012: Bruce, the e-mail from Dr. Divilio to 5 does it say these are Ethicon sutures, unless you 5 John Gillespie. 6 Who's John Gillespie? 6 can show me otherwise. 7 MR. THORNBURGH: Are you representing 7 He worked in the Gynecare group, A. 8 8 that they're not? so... 9 MR. THOMAS: I am not, but I think 9 Q. And you were cc'd, weren't you? 10 it's another thing to say that they were. 10 Yes. A. 11 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 11 Q. And the subject of this e-mail is how inert is polypropylene, right? 12 Q. Well, certainly, Ethicon is 12 13 supporting this study, right? 13 A. Yes. 14 14 Okay. Now, Dr. Divilio writes to And this study is regarding O. polypropylene degradation. And Dr. Postlethwait John: Bruce Ramshaw from the University of Missour 15 15 16 writes that in 18 months and more -- at 18 months, is challenging our perception of polypropylene as an 16 17 and even more often at two years, an occasional 17 inert material after implantation. 18 suture has started to fragment. The entire suture 18 Do you recall other experts in the 19 does not break up, but small portions appear to 19 field who have evaluated and studied the 20 separate from one edge. 20 potentiation of polypropylene degradation having a 21 Each minute fragment, although 21 different position than Ethicon has currently in 22 remaining in the vicinity, stimulates its own 22 this litigation? 23 cellular reaction. This, of course, increases the 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 24 grade of the tissue reaction so that it exceeds 24 the question. 25 25 THE WITNESS: Yeah. You'll have nylon. Page 380 Page 382 1 So Dr. Postlethwait, who personally 1 to -- are we talking about this memo, or is it a 2 studied this issue with polypropylene, found that 2 standalone question? 3 fragments, no matter how minute, increases the grade 3 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 of tissue reaction. 4 Standalone question first. 5 5 Do you disagree with Dr. A. And that would be? 6 Postlethwait's statement here? 6 O. Experts in the field who study 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 7 degradation of polypropylene have a different 8 8 position than Ethicon is taking through you in this the question. THE WITNESS: He says: This, of 9 9 litigation, correct? course, increases the grade of the tissue reaction 10 10 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of so that it exceeds nylon. 11 11 the question. 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 12 THE WITNESS: The position that 13 Q. It increases the tissue reaction, 13 Ethicon is taking, there's no impact on molecular correct? weight or tensile strength. I don't know of other 14 14 15 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 15 investigators that demonstrate with Prolene 16 the question. 16 polypropylene fiber a loss of molecular weight and THE WITNESS: To exceed nylon, which 17 17 loss in tensile strength. I know has virtually little reaction. 18 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 19 Are you saying Ethicon that is not 20 20 Q. It increases the tissue reaction, taking the position that the surface layer of the 21 correct? 21 polypropylene fibers does, in fact, crack and can 22 A. 22 peel away from the surface of the fibers? Yes. 23 You would agree with that statement, 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of O. 24 wouldn't you? 24 the question. 25 Yes. 25 THE WITNESS: We can look at the A.

23 (Pages 379 to 382)

Page 383 Page 385 1 details of the seven-year dog study which do show 1 question. 2 surface changes in some of the fibers from some of 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 3 3 the dogs. Do you agree as a spokesperson for 4 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me --4 Ethicon that the polymer fibers can crack? 5 5 THE WITNESS: In the absence --MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of б б MR. THORNBURGH: I thought he was the question. 7 7 THE WITNESS: I think I just answered done, Dave. 8 THE WITNESS: In the absence of 8 that --9 impact of molecular weight or tensile strength. 9 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 10 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 10 Yes or no? O. 11 Right. But you agree Ethicon -- as a 11 I think I just answered that those 12 spokesperson for Ethicon, that the surface of the 12 observations are in the seven-year dog study. So we 13 polymer fibers can, in fact, crack and peel away 13 can look at those details if you care to. 14 into the surrounding tissue of either the patient or 14 Q. So you would agree as a 15 15 spokesperson -- as a 30(b)(6) person for Ethicon an animal? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 16 that the surface of polymer fibers, including the 16 17 the question. 17 polypropylene fibers in TVT, can crack? 18 THE WITNESS: I recall observations 18 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 19 19 of surface cracking in the seven-year dog study, but the question. 20 I don't recall any discussion of surface peeling 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 away and -- to your -- to your detail. 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 22 22 BY MR. THORNBURGH: And you would agree that if fragments 23 Well, we'll look -- we'll look at 23 come off of the polypropylene fibers, including the 24 some other studies here in a moment. But let me at 24 polypropylene fibers in TVT, that that could 25 increase or that could cause each minute fragment to least understand Ethicon's position with respect to Page 384 Page 386 1 1 stimulate its own cellular reaction. You would surface cracking. 2 Is it Ethicon's position that the 2 agree with that, right? 3 polymer fiber surface can, in fact, crack? 3 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 4 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 4 the question. 5 5 the question. THE WITNESS: No. There's no 6 THE WITNESS: Such observations were 6 evidence that there's -- in the seven-year dog study 7 made in the seven-year dog study. 7 that material that is coming from the surface other 8 8 BY MR. THORNBURGH: than showing surface changes in the form of -- of cracking. 9 9 So it's Ethicon's position that the 10 10 polymer fibers can crack, right? I should add that in the Prolene 11 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 11 suture NDA, observations of polypropylene fragments 12 12 were observed and reported to the FDA. And they the question. 13 THE WITNESS: Again, the seven-year 13 were felt to be related to this swaging process or 14 dog study talks about surface changes. The etiology 14 the cutting of suture strands to length, and a 15 of those changes or their significance are not 15 fragment would be attached to the suture and get 16 discussed in detail other than to follow up on that 16 inadvertently implanted. 17 observation and look at more important quantitative 17 I should also point out in the 18 parameters, like molecular weight and tensile 18 Postlethwait study that we just discussed, 19 Exhibit 2251, ETH.MESH.10575764, at the top of the 19 strength, and those two parameters were not 20 page, right after the discussion section where it 20 adversely affected. 21 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: says that there are fragments which increase the 22 22 I know you want to try to frame the tissue reaction -- at the top of the page, it says: 23 position most favorable to Ethicon, but listen to my 23 In correspondence with the 24 question. Okay? 24 manufacturer, it was learned that these sutures were 25 MR. THOMAS: Please don't load the the first extruded from the first shipment of

24 (Pages 383 to 386)

Page 387 Page 389 1 polypropylene. Subsequently, changes have been made 1 products. 2 to improve the extrusion process. It is believed 2 Q. Don't you think surgeons should know 3 that fragmentation will not occur with the presently 3 that the -- that the surface layer of the TVT mesh, available sutures. Additional long-term studies 4 a device that's being implanted permanently in 5 5 have been initiated, however. women's pelvises -- don't you think they should know 6 And then, parenthetically, the 6 and be made aware that, in fact, the tissue enzymes 7 7 polypropylene did retain tensile strength. can cause the surface layer of the TVT to crack? 8 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 8 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 9 9 Q. It still increased the inflammatory the question; scope. 10 10 response, didn't they? THE WITNESS: To the first part of 11 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 11 your question, no, I don't think they care...if, 12 the question. 12 there's no impact on molecular weight and there's no increase -- there's no decrease in tensile strength. 13 THE WITNESS: An individual fragment 13 14 adjacent to a strand of polypropylene -- Prolene 14 And all the tissue reaction studies show a very polypropylene fiber will add to the inflammatory 15 minimal tissue reaction and, in fact, a diminution 15 16 reaction just like there is an inflammatory reaction 16 of that reaction over time. 17 to the suture fiber itself. 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 That's wholly different than what 18 You don't think physicians should be 19 19 you're talking about when you suggest that there's made aware of the potential of degradation of the --20 20 surface cracking and sloughing of the surface, or surface cracking of the polymer fibers that's 21 releasing many particles. 21 being used as a permanent implant in women's 22 If that's the case, that observation 22 pelvises? That's what you're telling the ladies and 23 23 gentlemen of this jury? would have been observed -- that observation of 24 increased tissue reaction would have been observed 24 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. Object to 25 in the 49 studies that we've compiled to demonstrate 25 the form of the question; scope. Page 388 Page 390 1 that, in fact, that that does not occur; and, in 1 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the 2 fact, there's a diminution of the tissue reaction 2 question? 3 over time in many cases from Ethicon's data and as 3 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 called out by FDA in the reclassification. 4 Yeah. Let me say it this way. 5 5 MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike. Ethicon chose not to include 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 information in this section from animal studies that 7 We're going to be here a long day if 7 showed that the -- that the Prolene and 8 8 you keep on going on this platform and speaking when polypropylene surface area can crack, right? 9 there's not even a question pending. 9 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 10 MR. THOMAS: Please don't lecture the 10 the question. 11 11 THE WITNESS: I believe that Ethicon witness. 12 MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike. 12 did not feel that that was important information to 13 MR. THOMAS: Please don't lecture the 13 put in the instructions for use. 14 14 BY MR. THORNBURGH: witness. 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 15 And because that information wasn't 16 Dr. Barbolt, where in this section in 16 put into the -- and because Ethicon chose not to put 17 the IFU that talks about degradation does Ethicon 17 that information in the IFU, that information, warn physicians that the surface layer of the 18 18 therefore, did not make it to the physicians? 19 Prolene in the TVT mesh can crack? 19 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 20 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 20 the question; scope. 21 the question; scope. 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 22 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 22 Q. Correct? 23 O. It's not in there, is it? 23 That level of detail was not provided A. 24 This is an IFU intended to provide 24 in the package insert. 25 the most useful information to surgeons who use our MR. THORNBURGH: I have to use the

25 (Pages 387 to 390)

Page 391 Page 393 1 restroom. 1 the question; scope. 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the video 2 THE WITNESS: I don't think oxidation 3 was an issue that needed to be corrected. 3 record. The time is 11:18. 4 4 (Short break.) BY MR. THORNBURGH: 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the video 5 Well, surface cracking was, right? б MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 record. It's 11:24. 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 7 the question. 8 8 THE WITNESS: What we were discussing Now, Doctor, you made a statement a 9 moment ago regarding the Postlethwait publication 9 before was fragmentation, and I see that as totally study, that changes were made by the manufacturers 10 10 different than observations of surface cracking. 11 subsequent to this study, correct? 11 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 12 Yes, as I read from the publication. 12 Q. Okay. A. 13 And this study was 1969, right? 13 Fragmentation is a growth fragment of Yes. A Prolene suture was just being 14 the suture. Surface cracking is a very subtle 14 A. observation of what looks like surface cracking. 15 released as a new product. 15 Okay. Now --16 You agree with me that by 1985, 16 17 MR. THORNBURGH: I'll go ahead and 17 Ethicon would have added antioxidants, like 18 mark as exhibit -- Exhibit Number 2252... 18 Santonox R and Procol or Lubrol, to their resin 19 19 (Document marked for identification during the manufacturing process to prevent 20 as Exhibit T-2252.) 20 oxidation, right? 21 MR. THORNBURGH: ... the five-year 21 A. Antioxidant package was added at the 22 data from the ten-year dog study. 22 very beginning of the development of the Prolene 23 Mr. Thomas. 23 suture and has remained basically unchanged. 24 MR. THOMAS: Can I have a copy, 24 And as we discussed earlier, you 25 25 agree that the antioxidants, including Santonox R please? Page 392 Page 394 1 1 MR. THORNBURGH: Yes. and Lubrol and Procol, can leach out of the mesh or 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 suture fibers into the surrounding tissue of the 3 3 I'm sorry. Hold on. Yeah. host, right? 4 Okay. Now, this document is the --4 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 5 is the five-year data from the ten-year dog study 5 the question. 6 that we've been alluding to all along, right? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. I think there's 7 A. 7 evidence of leaching. 8 8 And this is the study that you BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. 9 All right. And in this study, 9 testified showed cracks in the surface layer, outer 10 surface layer, of the polypropylene sutures, 10 despite the antioxidants being added to the Prolene 11 sutures, the surface layer or outer surface of the 11 correct? 12 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 12 polypropylene fibers cracked, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 13 the question. 13 THE WITNESS: As indicated in the 14 14 the question. 15 reports, right. 15 THE WITNESS: I want to look at the 16 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 16 details of the report and... 17 And this study was -- began in 1985. 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Did you see this before today? 18 Do you see that? 18 Q. 19 19 A. Yes. A. Yes. 20 Okay. That -- that's like 16 years 20 Q. Okay. 21 after the Postlethwait publication. And presumably 21 A. I've not memorized every paragraph. by this point, the manufacturers, including Ethicon, 22 22 Let's go through it together. 23 had made the necessary changes to their Prolene 23 MR. THOMAS: Well, wait. There was a suture to prevent oxidation, right? 24 24 question pending. Do you want to withdraw it and 25 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 25 ask another?

Page 395 Page 397 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 1 1 and discussion section, on Page 2 of Exhibit 2 Q. I think the question was... 2 Number 2252, which is the five-year data, the 3 MR. THOMAS: Your question at 91, 11. 3 investigator and author of this report writes that: 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 A table is included in this report which summarizes 5 5 Q. In this study, despite the the light microscopical observations. It can be antioxidants being added to the Prolene sutures, the 6 6 said unequivocally that the cracking that was seen 7 surface there or outer surface of the polypropylene 7 in any of the sutures was not introduced by sample 8 8 fibers cracked, correct? preparation, i.e., drying. 9 9 MR. THOMAS: He never answered that If cracking was observed on a dry 10 10 suture in the light microscope or in the SEM -question. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, and I want to take 11 scanning electron microscopy -- the same cracking is 12 a look at the report so I can recall just what was 12 also found on the same suture after it had been in 13 written, because I am trying to reflect the report. 13 body fluids and then in sterile water without ever 14 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 14 having dried. 15 Q. Well, we can go through it together 15 So this reporter, the researcher at 16 to help you answer that question. 16 Ethicon, wrote that it can be said unequivocally 17 A. I am looking at the bottom of 17 that the cracks were not caused by the introduction 18 ETH.MESH.11336475, and looking at the conclusions, 18 by sample preparation, right? Yes. That's what it says. 19 and then it says out of seven Prolene explants, two 19 A. 20 revealed cracking. 20 And if we go to -- on the same page, 21 Q. So the answer to my question is yes. 21 if we go to the third section regarding SEM, 22 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 22 scanning electronic microscopy, of PVDF explants, it the question. 23 23 was found that no cracking or abrasions were found 24 THE WITNESS: This is a complete 24 on the PVDF sutures, correct? 25 25 Yes. At this interval, that's answer. Page 396 Page 398 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 1 correct. 2 Q. Despite the antioxidants being added 2 But at this five-year interval, the 3 to the Prolene sutures, in two of the Prolene 3 scanning electron microscopy of Prolene explants on 4 sutures in the study, the surface layer was cracked, 4 explants from dogs 2012 and 2018, a few cracked 5 5 correct? areas were observed. Both of these sutures came 6 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 from Site 4. Do you see that? 7 7 A. the question. Yes. 8 THE WITNESS: Two revealed cracking, 8 Q. And the conclusion that we discussed 9 a moment ago was that after five years in vivo, the yes. 9 10 10 PVDF -- do you know what PVDF is? BY MR. THORNBURGH: 11 Q. And you aren't suggesting to the 11 A. Yes. 12 ladies and gentlemen of the jury that those cracks 12 That's a more stable, more inert Q. were anything other than the Prolene polypropylene, 13 13 fiber, isn't it? 14 are you? 14 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 15 A. No, I am not suggesting that, and 15 the question. 16 that's not reflected in this report. 16 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 17 Q. You would agree that the surface 17 It's a polymer? 18 layer that's cracked here is the polypropylene 18 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 19 surface layer, correct? 19 the question; scope. THE WITNESS: It is a very resistant 20 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 20 21 the question. 21 to degradation kind of polymer and resistant to 22 THE WITNESS: In reading the report, 22 mechanical damage. 23 it says that -- that's what I would conclude. 23 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 24 24 BY MR. THORNBURGH: More so than Prolene, correct? 25 And if we look back up at the results 25 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of

27 (Pages 395 to 398)

Page 399 Page 401 1 the question; scope. 1 THE WITNESS: None shown. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 3 3 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Which would be consistent with your 4 4 testimony that the PVDF polymer is a more inert And the conclusion was that after 5 five years in vivo, the PVDF 5-0 suture was the only 5 polymer than Prolene polypropylene? 6 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 explanted material from the five dogs which did not 7 show any surface damage due to degradation. Out of 7 the question; scope. 8 8 BY MR. THORNBURGH: seven Prolene explants, two revealed cracking. 9 So in this study, at the five year --9 Q. Right? 10 the two-year data in this study didn't show evidence 10 Yes. A. 11 of cracking, but the five-year data, the long-term 11 O. Finally, if we go to the conclusion 12 data, showed evidence of cracking of the Prolene 12 page on the five-year data, ETH.MESH.11336487, the 13 sutures, correct? 13 conclusion here is that after five years in vivo, 14 the PVDF 5-0 suture was the only explanted material 14 A. Yes. That's what it says. from five dogs which did not show any surface damage 15 O. And here is the table that was 15 16 due to degradation. referenced by the study investigator which shows 16 17 cracking on the Prolene fibers. Do you see that? 17 So here the study author is 18 18 discussing degradation, right? A. 19 Finally, on ETH.MESH. number ending 19 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Q. 20 in 6483, there are -- there is SEM images, though 20 the question. 21 they're black and white, they show the cracking that 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. It's as stated. 22 was observed in the five-year data. Do you see 22 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 23 that? 23 And included in his analysis of 24 MR. THOMAS: What page are you on? 24 degradation is his observation that the Prolene 25 25 explants did show signs of degradation as a result I'm sorry. Page 400 Page 402 1 1 MR. THORNBURGH: ETH.MESH.6483. of the surface cracking on the outer layer of the 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 polymer, correct? 3 3 This is an upside down page, for some As reported. A. 4 reason, but --4 Correct? Yes? Q. 5 5 A. Yes. I see it. A. Yes. 6 -- if you see Figure 6, Prolene 6 Q. O. Now, this study and the findings in 7 explants, you can see the cracking, even in this 7 the study showing that the polypropylene can crack 8 8 poor copy image, of the Prolene polypropylene that on the surface of the Prolene sutures was conducted 9 9 was cracked on the surface of the sutures, right? nine -- approximately nine -- eight or nine years 10 prior to the marketing of TVT, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 10 11 the question. 11 A. Yes. August 10, 1990 is the date of THE WITNESS: Yes. I see that. 12 12 the report. 13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 And prior to Ethicon's claim in the 14 Figure 4, ETH.MESH.6481, we have the 14 1999 label that the material is not absorbed, nor is 15 PVDF explants, which you testified was a more inert 15 it subject to degradation or weakening by the action 16 polymer than polypropylene and Prolene 16 of tissue enzymes, correct? 17 polypropylene, which shows, really, fibers that look 17 A. One cannot look at this -- this 18 almost pristine, right? 18 observation. 19 19 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Q. Yes or no, sir. I can't give a "yes" or "no" answer. 20 the question. 20 A. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 Q. It's a really easy question. 22 22 BY MR. THORNBURGH: A. No, it's not. 23 No crack, no surface cracking on the 23 The study -- the 1990 study was O. 24 PVDF? 24 conducted nine years before the 1990 label which 25 MR. THOMAS: Same objection. 25 included the claim that the material is not

Page 403 Page 405 1 absorbed, nor is it subject to degradation or 1 is not absorbed, nor is it subject to degradation or 2 weakening by action of tissue enzymes, correct? 2 weakening by action of tissue enzymes. Correct? 3 3 MR. THOMAS: He's just asking you now Yes. A. 4 4 And additional studies were performed about the date, Tom, nothing more. Q. 5 5 THE WITNESS: The date is August 10, on the Prolene sutures at this seven-year interval, б 1990. 6 correct? 7 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: For example, IR microscopy was used 8 8 to examine cracked areas in Ethilon, Novofil, and Nine years prior to this claim in the 9 IFU, correct? 9 Prolene explants. And the conclusion written here 10 10 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of or the findings summarized here is that the IR spectra obtained for cracked Prolene specimens, 11 the question. 11 12 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. Figure A, showed possible evidence of slight 13 MR. THORNBURGH: Let's go ahead and 13 oxidation with a broadened weak absorbance at about 14 14 the 1560 range. Do you see that? mark the seven-year data. MR. THOMAS: 1650 range. 15 (Document marked for identification 15 16 as Exhibit T-2253.) 16 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 17 Yeah, 1650 range. Q. 18 18 Q. I marked the seven-year data A. Yes. 19 19 ETH.MESH.11336034 as Exhibit 2253. You see that, right? O. 20 Doctor, you've had an opportunity 20 A. Yes. 21 prior to coming into this room for your deposition 21 Q. So not only were -- did the sutures 22 to review the seven-year data for the ten-year 22 show evidence of surface cracking, but the IR 23 23 Prolene dog study, correct? spectra also showed that there was evidence of oxidation? 24 A. Yes. 24 25 25 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of And the seven-year data --Q. Page 404 Page 406 1 1 MR. THOMAS: Just -the question. 2 MR. THORNBURGH: Sorry? 2 Read the complete sentence, please. 3 MR. THOMAS: There's additional data 3 MR. THORNBURGH: Dave, you'll have a 4 reported at seven years. This is not the totality 4 chance to make representations. I am showing the 5 5 of the data. I wanted to make sure that you weren't jury IR spectra obtained for cracked Prolene 6 representing that to be the totality of the data. 6 specimen showed possible evidence of slight 7 MR. THORNBURGH: Well, that's -- in 7 oxidation. 8 8 MR. THOMAS: That is a proper the report. This is the report. MR. THOMAS: It's not the totality of 9 9 reading --10 10 MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike the data. There's seven-year data that's also been 11 11 produced to you. your -- move to strike your -- Dave, if you're going 12 MR. THORNBURGH: Well, I understand 12 to try to make these speaking objections and suggesting answers to the witness, then I am going 13 that. I understand that. We're going to talk about 13 14 this report currently, and if there's a need to, 14 to call the Judge. 15 I'll go to the other -- the other additional data. 15 MR. THOMAS: You call the Judge --MR. THORNBURGH: Okay? 16 I don't know that there's a need to do that, but 16 17 we'll get there, Dave. Don't worry. 17 MR. THOMAS: -- because you are 18 18 And if I don't cover something that representing this to be something else. 19 MR. THORNBURGH: Because speaking 19 you think is important, Dave, you'll have a chance 20 to make those representations to the jury during 20 objections -- because speaking objections are 21 21 your cross-examination or direct examination. inappropriate. The question remains especially when 22 22 they suggest answers -- okay? BY MR. THORNBURGH: 23 23 MR. THOMAS: I certainly know the Dr. Barbolt, October 15, 1992, that 24 24 again is several years prior to the claim that was rules, Dan. I certainly know the rules. Thank you. 25 made in the IFU that we looked at that the material 25 Let's move on.

29 (Pages 403 to 406)

Page 407 Page 409 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 IR spectra showed possible evidence 2 Q. And that's Ethicon's position as 3 3 of slight oxidation, correct? you -- as the spokesperson for Ethicon, it's 4 4 Ethicon's position that degradation, surface A. Yes. 5 5 O. Okay. Now, there's also an degradation, can occur, correct? 6 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 observation regarding the other Ethilon and Novofil, 7 7 which differed from uncracked areas. And the the question. 8 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. conclusion was, expected IR absorbances for 9 9 oxidation would be masked by strong carbonyl BY MR. THORNBURGH: 10 Q. And this was known well in advance of 10 absorbances normally observed in these sutures. 11 So there's a discussion here that --11 this statement that the material is not absorbed, 12 nor is it subject to degradation, correct? 12 of the -- what would be expected to be seen could be A. Yes. This is from 1992. 13 masked by strong carbonyl absorbances. Do you see 13 14 14 MR. THORNBURGH: Okay. Lunch break 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going 15 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 16 the question. 16 off the video record. It's 11:48. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 (Lunch break.) 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 19 And at the seven-year data, Ethicon's 19 video record. It's now 12:43. 20 investigator found degradation in Prolene is still 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 21 increasing in PVDF -- even though a few cracks were 21 Q. Now, Doctor, I'd like to turn your 22 found, is still by far the most surface resistant 22 attention back to the e-mail that we began to 23 23 in-house made suture in terms of cracking. discuss earlier in your deposition, Exhibit 24 That's the findings by Ethicon's 24 Number T 4012. 25 investigator, right? 25 (Whereupon, a discussion was held off Page 408 Page 410 1 A. Yes. 1 the record.) 2 An employee for Ethicon who actually 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. 3 investigated degradation of Prolene sutures and came 3 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 to the conclusion that degradation is occurring in 4 O. Now, this e-mail --5 5 Prolene, right? MR. THOMAS: Give me just a half a 6 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 second to get back on the same page. 7 the question. 7 Thank you. I am ready. 8 8 BY MR. THORNBURGH: BY MR. THORNBURGH: 9 9 Q. Do you see that? This e-mail is again from 10 Yes, I see that. Surface 10 Dr. Divilio, and you were copied on this e-mail, 11 degradation, and they're making a reference to 11 right? 12 surface degradation. Yep. I see it. 12 A. Yes. In 2007, correct? 13 So you agree as the person for 13 Q. 14 Ethicon who's looked at these studies that surface 14 A. Yes. 15 degradation can occur on the Prolene polypropylene, 15 And the e-mail says: Bruce Ramshaw 16 correct? 16 from the University of Missouri is challenging our 17 That was a surface change observed in 17 perception of polypropylene as an inert material 18 18 this report and so reported. after implantation. In a recent article, his group 19 And so you agree that surface 19 looked at explanted polypropylene from a Bard degradation can occur in the Prolene polypropylene 20 20 Composix mesh under EM, electron microscopy, and 21 21 that's contained in the TVT meshes, correct? found that the surface of the fibers had been 22 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 22 altered with respect to the pristine material with 23 23 evidence of blistering and increased surface the question. 24 24 roughness, possibly due to oxidation. THE WITNESS: That's the data in this 25 report reflecting the SEM parameters evaluated. 25 Now, this is the same finding or

30 (Pages 407 to 410)

Page 411 Page 413 1 similar findings, at the very least, that were made 1 no changes were in molecular weight and tensile 2 in the five-year and seven-year, ten-year dog study, 2 strength. So they might have been in this memo 3 3 making reference to the more important quantitative correct? 4 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 4 parameters like molecular weight and tensile 5 the question. 5 strength. б б BY MR. THORNBURGH: THE WITNESS: No. In that study, 7 there was descriptions like surface cracking. I 7 Well, Dan Burkley found in the 8 8 seven-year data that there was degradation in the don't see that here. 9 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 9 Prolene, right? 10 10 Well, it says: The surface of the MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 11 fibers had been altered with respect to the pristine 11 the question. 12 material. 12 THE WITNESS: That's in the report. 13 That could include and would include 13 That's an observation. That's a component of the parameters investigated in this study. 14 14 surface cracking, wouldn't it? 15 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of BY MR. THORNBURGH: 15 16 16 Q. The statement made by Dr. Divilio the question. 17 THE WITNESS: As I read forward, it 17 that we had previously implanted Prolene suture into 18 says -- and they define what they mean by alteration 18 dogs, and explants after ten years revealed no 19 changes in the material, is not a completely true by saying evidence of blistering and increased 19 20 surface roughness, possibly due to oxidation. 20 statement, is it? 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 21 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 22 22 Q. Like surface cracking, sir, correct? the question. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 23 23 THE WITNESS: I don't know what he 24 the question. 24 meant by that statement. I can't speak for him. 25 THE WITNESS: I see that the words 25 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Page 412 Page 414 1 1 Well, there are certainly changes are different. 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 seen by Dan Burkley in the study, correct? 3 3 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Nevertheless, it goes on to write: 4 We previously had implanted Prolene suture into 4 the question. 5 dogs, and explants after ten years revealed no 5 THE WITNESS: Surface changes were 6 changes in the material. 6 observed. 7 That's not a true statement, is it? 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 8 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 8 Q. Degradation was observed, correct? 9 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 9 the question. 10 THE WITNESS: Well, as we discussed, 10 the question. 11 11 there were some changes that were observed on the THE WITNESS: As noted in the report. 12 surface. 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 Degradation was observed? Yes or no? 14 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 14 Surface degradation, correct? 15 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 15 the question. 16 16 THE WITNESS: Could you pull up that the question. 17 THE WITNESS: I think that's part of 17 previous screen? BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 that report. 18 19 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 Q. Degradation in Prolene? 20 So that's not a true statement, that 20 A. 21 Ethicon had not seen changes in the material, in the 21 Q. The e-mail goes on by Dr. Divilio, 22 22 ten-year data, correct? who says: I am wondering if the effects that 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 23 Ramshaw, et al., are seeing are due to the abrasions of fiber against fiber in a mesh construct due to 24 the question. 24 25 THE WITNESS: Well, where there were flexing that occurs after implantation, trauma to

Page 415 Page 417 1 the mesh as a result of implantation from a patient, 1 don't understand the question. Object to the form 2 or actual oxidation. I think it's important that we 2 of the question. 3 3 understand what they're seeing, as this group has a BY MR. THORNBURGH: well-funded lab that will be looking at explanted 4 4 Q. You're talking about generic with 5 mesh in great volume over the next couple of years, 5 respect to additive packages. You'd agree that the б б Prolene that was used in the seven -- the five-year, and our current concepts are going to be challenged. 7 Do you see that there? ten-year results, and the seven-year, ten-year dog 8 8 results also had the antioxidant additives, correct? A. Yes. 9 9 Q. Do you recall this e-mail? Yes, and I believe the additive 10 10 No, I do not, although it's important package is what prevented a loss of molecular weight 11 to note that they're talking about Bard Composix 11 and tensile strength. 12 mesh, which is a multi-component mesh, and it's not 12 Q. It didn't prevent surface 13 Prolene polypropylene mesh. 13 degradation, did it? 14 14 Well, you're familiar with the MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 15 Costello studies that found degradation of the 15 the question. 16 16 THE WITNESS: Well, there is evidence polypropylene, correct? 17 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 17 that it did not. 18 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 19 So Dr. Dieter -- am I pronouncing his name correctly? 20 You understand that Costello was 20 21 working with the Ramshaw group? 21 Dieter Engel. A. 22 22 Dieter Engel? Dr. Engel, he's a MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Q. 23 23 doctor from Germany, right? the question. 24 THE WITNESS: I am trying to recall 24 A. He was head of the R&D group for a 25 the detail. Let's look at the Costello paper. 25 while. Page 416 Page 418 1 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: O. For Ethicon, correct? 2 Q. Well, I'm just asking you -- we'll 2 A. Yes. 3 3 look at the Costello paper. Q. And Dr. Engel, on July 6, 2007, 4 Okay. Okay. 4 responds. And you're copied on this e-mail, right? A. 5 5 I'm asking you: Are you aware Do you see that? 6 sitting here right now, based on your memory, 6 A. Yes. 7 7 whether or not the polypropylene in the Costello Q. Tom, thanks for checking back and 8 8 study showed evidence of surface degradation? asking for my scientific perspective. 9 9 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of There have been a number of anecdotal 10 10 the question; scope. reports that polypropylene mesh shows some changes 11 THE WITNESS: First, I thought it was 11 in the surface with time, including Ethicon's own 12 12 internal studies. the Bard product. You can correct me --13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 Correct? 14 Polypropylene. My question to you is 14 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Q. 15 polypropylene. 15 the question; scope. 16 Polypropylene -- polypropylenes are 16 THE WITNESS: Anecdotal reports? 17 17 not generic substances. They're very different, BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 18 depending on an additive package that's required to You'd agree that the seven-year --19 provide stabilization, manufacturing process, aid, 19 the five-year data and seven-year data from the 20 20 so on and so forth. So I would not equate Prolene ten-year dog studies isn't anecdotal; that's an 21 polypropylene with any other manufacturer's 21 actual scientific experiment that found surface 22 22 polypropylene. degradation. Correct? 23 23 Yes. There were observations of Q. Like the additive package in the 24 Prolene? 24 surface cracking and degradation. 25 MR. THOMAS: What's the question? I Dr. Engel goes on to say the Aachen

32 (Pages 415 to 418)

Page 419 Page 421 1 group -- which would include Doctors -- Professors 1 tests in-house with accelerated aging, too, and 2 Klinge and Klosterhalfen, right? 2 found microscopic changes in the surface of the mesh 3 3 A. Yes. They were with the Aachen group fibers. 4 4 for some time. So there are additional studies 5 5 The Aachen group, who has so far according to Dr. Engel of -- by Ethicon which also 6 collected more than a thousand explanted meshes, 6 showed surface degradation, correct? 7 showed examples many years back. Do you see that? 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 8 8 A. Yes. the question. 9 Q. You understand, don't you, that the 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. He's talking 10 10 Aachen group, including Klinge and Klosterhalfen, about accelerated aging in conditions of increased 11 were consultants paid by Ethicon to evaluate 11 temperature with the intention to increase any 12 polypropylene meshes, don't you? 12 impacts of aging. 13 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 14 14 Q. Did you include any of those in-house the question. 15 THE WITNESS: That's my 15 accelerated aging studies in your list of studies 16 16 regarding degradation that found microscopic changes understanding. 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 17 in the surface of the mesh? 18 18 I am not aware of them. I did not And when -- during the time that 19 19 Dr. Klosterhalfen was a consultant for Ethicon, he include it in any of these documents. 20 evaluated a thousand explanted meshes which also 20 In fact, you did not include those 21 showed degradation? 21 studies in your material related to this question of 22 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 22 degradation, did you? 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 23 the question. 24 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 24 the question; asked and answered. 25 Do you understand that, sir? 25 THE WITNESS: I just said that. I Page 420 Page 422 1 These are human -- I am understanding 1 just said that. 2 that they're human explants that he's then 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 3 investigated. I don't know who the manufacturers 3 Why didn't you include those studies 4 were, what products they were, but I see the 4 in your list --5 5 statement, and it stands as is. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 Human explants evaluating who? 6 the question. 7 7 Human explants will provide more BY MR. THORNBURGH: 8 8 reliable clinical evidence, both of degradation and Q. -- or in your binder regarding the 9 9 the materials than your animal studies, won't they? statement or the claims by Ethicon that the Prolene 10 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 10 in the TVT will not degrade? 11 the question; scope. 11 The literature searches conducted THE WITNESS: No. No, I do not 12 12 that form the basis for the documents that are 13 believe that, because, typically, these are meshes 13 compiled here were a search of the Ethicon corporate 14 or products explanted for a particular reason. 14 R&D central files. I was not aware of any studies 15 Likely, they failed. It could be an infected site. 15 done in Germany that might have impact or contribute 16 The best way in a preclinical way to 16 knowledge about these topics. If I had, they would 17 understand the intrinsic characteristics of 17 have been included. 18 18 materials is to implant them in very controlled They're not included, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 19 19 animal model systems. the question; asked and answered. 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 21 Did you ever look at any explanted 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 22 meshes from humans? 22 You haven't even had a chance to 23 No, other than photographs or photo 23 review those studies, have you? 24 micrographs and publications discussing such cases. 24 Well, the first question is that I 25 Dr. Engel says: We did different have not -- they're not included.

33 (Pages 419 to 422)

Page 423 Page 425 1 And the second. I've not reviewed 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 them. 2 Well, this would certainly indicate 3 3 MR. THORNBURGH: Counsel, I'd like that Dr. Engel is requesting that no additional 4 4 production of these in-house studies that showed studies be done to generate extra data, correct? 5 microscopic changes in the surface of the mesh 5 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 6 fibers using the accelerated aging method. the question. 7 MR. THOMAS: As I told you yesterday 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. And with good 8 at the conclusion of the deposition, if you'd remind 8 reason. 9 me what you've asked me for, we'll respond 9 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 10 appropriately. 10 Because you already knew that the 11 MR. THORNBURGH: I had to make a note 11 surface layer of Prolene polypropylene is 12 so I could remember to remind you to produce those. 12 susceptible to surface degradation, correct? 13 MR. THOMAS: I won't do it unless you 13 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 14 remind me. I'll forget. 14 the question. MR. THORNBURGH: Well, they should 15 15 THE WITNESS: No. He says we 16 16 understand the mechanism pretty well. No need to do have been produced already. 17 MR. THOMAS: Please. 17 further studies. 18 MR. THORNBURGH: Well, they should 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 19 Because Ethicon already knew that the have. 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 surface layer of Prolene polypropylene is 21 We did different tests in-house with 21 susceptible to surface degradation, correct? 22 accelerated aging, too, and found microscopic 22 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 23 23 changes in the surface of the mesh fiber. the question. 24 What is happening is related to the 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25 specific stretching of the fibers when producing 25 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Page 424 Page 426 1 1 sutures. As you know, you have to stretch the What is the future? We will change 2 fibers to a very high degree to get the required 2 the material of our mesh and move to Pronova as the 3 breaking strength. That leads to a very high 3 future material platform for mesh. Pronova has a 4 orientation of the polymer chains and, in turn, 4 reduced foreign body reaction compared to Prolene, 5 5 makes the surface, the outer fibrils of material as shown in several animal studies. 6 relatively susceptible to damage from mechanical 6 Did you include the animal studies 7 7 that showed that Pronova has a reduced foreign body stress. 8 8 Do you see that? reaction compared to Prolene in any of the studies A. 9 9 Yes. you list in any of the binders that you brought with 10 You haven't looked at those studies, 10 Q. you today? 11 have you? 11 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 12 12 A. No. the question; scope. THE WITNESS: Yes. I've included 13 Q. He goes on to write: All in all, I 13 14 believe we understand the mechanism pretty well and 14 three studies, one looking at Pronova suture 15 wouldn't suggest to generate extra data. 15 compared to Prolene suture and Dormier repair in Do you see that? 16 16 rabbits, intramuscular implantation study for six 17 A. Yes. 17 months in rats, and ophthalmic tissue reaction 18 Were you told by Ethicon -- you were 18 studies for 90 days in rats. 19 included as part of this e-mail string. Were you 19 BY MR. THORNBURGH: told not to generate additional data regarding the 20 20 Q. Do you agree that with this 21 21 potential degradation of Prolene polypropylene statement, that Pronova has reduced foreign body 22 22 meshes? reaction compared to Prolene --23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 23 No, I did not. 24 24 -- as shown in several animal studies the question. 25 THE WITNESS: No. 25 conducted by Ethicon?

34 (Pages 423 to 426)

Page 427 Page 429 1 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 2 You haven't considered those studies the question. 3 3 THE WITNESS: I've not seen those before you walked in today as the person most 4 4 studies. The three studies that Ethicon has knowledgeable about the tissue response and tissue 5 5 conducted that I just mentioned show comparable reaction, correct? 6 6 tissue reaction to Prolene suture. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 7 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: the question; scope. 8 8 THE WITNESS: Studies to support the You did not include in any of your 9 binders that you brought with you the several animal 9 biocompatibility of Pronova suture were conducted in 10 studies that show that Pronova has reduced foreign 10 comparison to Prolene suture in a standard tissue 11 body reaction compared to Prolene, did you, sir? 11 reaction study, a protocol, as required by ISO 12 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 12 10993, Part 1, and G95 FDA guidance on 13 the question; scope. 13 biocompatibility testing. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know the 14 15 details of these studies. Standard biocompatibility 15 Q. And --16 16 studies were done looking at tissue reaction to A. And other studies that might have 17 Pronova suture compared to Prolene. 17 been conducted for other purposes, I don't know. 18 These studies may be surgical 18 They're not necessary to support the 19 functionality studies with different prototype 19 biocompatibility of -- of a Pronova suture. But 20 meshes. I don't know. I can't respond to that 20 there are other studies that that have been 21 question specifically unless I see the studies that 21 conducted. 22 22 he's making. If they provide evidence to counter 23 23 BY MR. THORNBURGH: the study results from the three Pronova studies 24 This really is a "yes" or "no" 24 that I've just mentioned, I'll be glad to look at 25 25 question. those. Page 428 Page 430 1 1 A. No, it's not. So the answer to my question is that 2 Q. You did not provide in any of the 2 you have not considered before you walked in here 3 binders that you brought with you today the studies, 3 today the Pronova studies that showed less foreign 4 the several animal studies, that show that Pronova 4 body reaction and better biocompatibility, correct? 5 5 has a reduced foreign body reaction compared to MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 Prolene, correct? 6 the question; scope. 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 7 THE WITNESS: I'd have to look at 8 8 those studies to make that conclusion. the question. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 10 10 BY MR. THORNBURGH: You didn't look at those studies 11 11 He goes on to say that Pronova will before you walked in here today, did you? 12 improve the perceived biocompatibility of our mesh. 12 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 13 Do you see that? 13 the question. 14 14 THE WITNESS: No, I did not. A. Yes, I see that, but don't agree. 15 Of course. 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: O. 16 We've got three studies that 16 Besides, Pronova is much less 17 demonstrate that the tissue reaction to Prolene 17 susceptible to mechanical damage. 18 suture is comparable to Prolene -- to Pronova 18 As you testified to earlier, PVDF, 19 19 which is part of the copolymer of Pronova, is a more suture. 20 You haven't even seen the studies 20 inert, more stable material than Prolene, correct? 21 that Dr. Engel is referring to that show that 21 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 22 22 Pronova has a reduced foreign body reaction. the question; scope. 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 24 the question; scope. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 25 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 25 It is much easier to process in the

35 (Pages 427 to 430)

Page 431 Page 433 1 knitting machine, less quality issues. Do you see 1 interface between implanted material and surrounding 2 2 3 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I've got to change 4 4 the question; scope. the tape. 5 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. It's now 1:08. Going off the video 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 record. 7 Did you talk to -- as the person that 7 This concludes Volume 2, Tape 2 of 8 the videotape deposition of Dr. Thomas A. Barbolt. 8 was designated as the person most knowledgeable 9 under the designated topics, did you talk to 9 (Short break.) 10 Dr. Engel about his experience with PVDF sutures and 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 11 Prolene sutures and that Prolene sutures induce a 11 video record. It's 1:14. 12 greater inflammatory response than Pronova or PVDF? 12 This begins Volume 2, Tape Number 3 13 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 13 in the videotape deposition of Dr. Thomas A. 14 14 Barbolt. the question. THE WITNESS: No. 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 15 16 Dr. Barbolt, we talked briefly about 16 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. 17 Q. Don't you -- you agree as a scientist 17 Dr. Ramshaw and Dr. Costello. Do you remember that? that generation of data that could help better 18 A. 18 19 And your e-mail -- the e-mail that 19 answer questions, safety questions, is important, Q. 20 right? 20 you were included on discussed studies that were 21 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 21 done by Ramshaw's group that found degradation of 22 the question. 22 polypropylene? THE WITNESS: That's why we have 18 23 23 A. Yes. 24 binders of studies surrounding us that contain 24 Q. And you had indicated that you had 25 studies conducted in the mid 1960s. 25 reviewed this study, correct? Page 432 Page 434 1 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 O. Vast --2 the question. It's not in preparation for this 3 3 And continue to this day. deposition. A. 4 Vast majority of those are suture 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. 5 5 studies, correct? Q. Are you not prepared to talk about 6 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 the Costello studies? 7 7 No. That's not one of the studies the question. 8 8 that I brought with me today. THE WITNESS: We'd have to do the 9 9 exercise. Just so the record is clear, because 10 10 BY MR. THORNBURGH: I think you were indicating that maybe it was the --11 You didn't do the exercise before you 11 because there was a composite mesh that may have 12 been studied, that you weren't aware whether or not 12 came in here today? 13 No. I didn't think it necessary, 13 that was polypropylene, so I just want to point out because I believe that the data that's generated for 14 in the record this conclusion. 14 15 suture containing the same Prolene polypropylene 15 Overall, the results support our 16 fiber as in mesh are directly applicable and 16 hypothesis that in vivo -- inside the body, right? 17 relevant. 17 A. 18 General scientific principle: The 18 Q. -- oxidation plays a role in the O. 19 greater the surface area of an implanted medical 19 degradation of polypropylene. 20 device, the greater the inflammatory response. 20 Do you see that? 21 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 21 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 22 22 the question. the question. 23 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. And as I pointed THE WITNESS: There's some 24 relationship to increased surface area and 24 out earlier, that's not Prolene polypropylene. 25 increasing tissue action, because that's the 25 That's Bard polypropylene.

36 (Pages 431 to 434)

Page 435 Page 437 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 1 weight or tensile -- tensile testing. That's the 2 Well, it's polypropylene, 2 kind of information that's useful to surgeons, not O. 3 3 any other observations that might be observed but nonetheless. 4 4 There's a big difference, because as don't translate into significant impact on 5 we discussed earlier, polypropylene without an 5 mechanical characteristics. appropriate antioxidant package is susceptible to 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 7 degradation. And if you add an appropriate 7 Q. That's absurd. 8 antioxidant package, it is resistant to oxidation. 8 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. 9 Well, we know from the ten-year --9 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 10 the five-year data, from the ten-year dog study, 10 You're not even -- you're not a 11 Ethicon study, seven-year data from that study, the 11 clinician, are you? Prolene polypropylene was susceptible to surface 12 12 MR. THOMAS: Please. Stop, stop. 13 cracking, right? 13 Stop. 14 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 14 Thomas, let's take a break. 15 the question. 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 16 THE WITNESS: It was susceptible to You're not a clinician, are you? 16 17 surface cracking, but it did not result in loss of 17 MR. THOMAS: Back up. Don't tell my 18 molecular weight or impact on tensile strength, key 18 witness his testimony is absurd. You can ask mechanical properties of polypropylene fibers. questions and get your answers, and we'll object to 19 19 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 form, but you just ask him straight questions, and 21 In this statement, in this claim in 21 you'll get straight answers. 22 the IFU, it doesn't say that the material is 22 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 23 susceptible to surface degradation, does it? 23 You're not a medical doctor, are you? O. 24 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 24 A. That's correct. 25 25 You've never treated patients, have the question. Q. Page 436 Page 438 1 1 vou? THE WITNESS: No, it does not. 2 This is an instructions for use. 2 A. Of course not. 3 3 It's trying to relay to the end user of the product You've never looked at an IFU and 4 important information, and for surgeons. No matter relied on an IFU in having a risk/benefit discussion 5 surface changes -- if there's no impact on molecular 5 with patients, have you? 6 weight or tensile strength, the surface changes are 6 A. That's not my role in preclinical. 7 7 of no consequence. Q. But, yet, you're here telling the 8 8 ladies and gentlemen of the jury that information BY MR. THORNBURGH: 9 This is important -- the IFU provides 9 about the surface degradation of Prolene that's important information to physicians, correct? 10 implanted permanently in women -- women's pelvises. 10 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of is not important? 11 11 12 the question; scope. 12 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 14 That's what they just said, right? 14 That's the position that you took? Q. MR. THOMAS: You're arguing with the 15 It's intended to relay to the end 15 16 users, the surgeons, information that they would 16 witness. MR. THORNBURGH: I am not. 17 find most useful. 17 18 MR. THOMAS: Yes, you are. And we're 18 And Ethicon did not relay any 19 not going to argue with him. And I object to the 19 information to the physicians in this IFU that the Prolene in the TVT mesh is susceptible to surface 20 form of the question. 20 21 degradation, did they? 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 22 22 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of You're taking the position on behalf Q. 23 23 of Ethicon -the question. 24 24 THE WITNESS: That is not useful MR. THOMAS: His position has been 25 information in light of no impact on molecular taken. His answer has been given.

37 (Pages 435 to 438)

Page 439 Page 441 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 1 nonresponsive. 2 You're taking the position --2 MR. THOMAS: Did you finish your 3 MR. THORNBURGH: Dave, you can 3 answer? Did you finish your answer? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 object. 5 5 MR. THOMAS: You're asking the same MR. THOMAS: Okay. Thank you. 6 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: question three times. 7 MR. THORNBURGH: Dave, you can 7 You defer to a clinician about 8 8 whether or not surface degradation is important object. 9 MR. THOMAS: I can stop the 9 information that they need when having a 10 10 risk/benefit discussion with their patients, deposition, too. 11 MR. THORNBURGH: Dave, you can 11 correct? 12 12 I think a preclinical scientist will object. A. 13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 always defer to a clinician in making those 14 14 judgments with patients. Mr. Barbolt, you're taking this 15 15 position as the company spokesperson for Ethicon You made a statement earlier, general 16 16 scientific principle, that medical devices with a that information about surface degradation is not 17 important to clinicians when they're relying on the 17 larger, greater surface area will have a greater information for use and having risk/benefit inflammatory response than one with a lower surface 18 19 19 discussions with their patients who will be area. Do you remember that statement? 20 implanted with this medical device for the rest of 20 A. Yes. And let me --21 their lives in their -- in and around their sexual 21 O. General scientific principle, right? 22 22 Right. And let me remind you. It's and reproductive organs. That's the position? A. 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 23 a general scientific principle. And the exact 24 the question; scope. 24 tissue reaction to an implant needs to be determined 25 25 by an implantation study, the results of which will THE WITNESS: The IFU is not the Page 440 Page 442 1 responsibility of folks in preclinical. The IFU is 1 overrule any general scientific principle and will 2 put together by regulatory and medical professionals 2 rely on the specifics of real and actual data 3 gathering input from all areas of manufacturing, 3 generated from a study. 4 4 preclinical, physical testing, whatever is necessary And in this study regarding surface 5 5 area, these investigators, who actually, by the way, in their minds to provide the most useful 6 information to the end users as possible. 6 study degradation, found that degradation -- that in 7 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: vivo oxidation plays a role in the degradation of 8 8 polypropylene hernia mesh materials and that there So would you defer to a clinician 9 may be a difference in the degree of oxidation 9 about whether or not information about surface 10 10 between a heavyweight material and a lightweight degradation of products that are being implanted 11 permanently in and around the sexual and 11 material because of a reduced inflammatory response. 12 reproductive organs of women is important 12 Do you see that? 13 information to have? 13 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 14 14 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. 15 15 THE WITNESS: This is not an Ethicon the question; scope. 16 THE WITNESS: Would I defer to 16 product. 17 clinicians to make that judgment? With the 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 information that's been provided in this case by 18 Q. That wasn't the question. I am here to talk about Ethicon 19 19 A. preclinical relating to three things in that study; 20 one, observations of surface degradation; two, 20 products. 21 quantitative measurements of molecular weight; and, 21 Polypropylene is contained within 22 22 Ethicon products, correct? three, quantitative measures of tensile strength. 23 Molecular weight and tensile strength 23 As I indicated earlier, all 24 testing indicate there's no evidence of degradation. 24 polypropylenes are not the same. Polypropylenes 25 MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike; with no additive package are susceptible to

38 (Pages 439 to 442)

Page 443 Page 445 1 oxidation. And I got to imagine that polypropylene 1 record. It's 1:34. 2 resin with varying kinds of antioxidant packages 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 3 3 would have varying protective actions against Dr. Barbolt, you've also been 4 4 oxidation. designated by Ethicon to discuss or testify 5 O. These are antioxidants that you 5 regarding the specifics of all testing related to 6 6 testified earlier that there's evidence that those the TVT products during the design and development 7 additives leach out of the polypropylene that's used 7 stages, including but not limited to leaching, 8 8 in the TVT devices, correct? correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 9 9 A. Yes. 10 10 MR. THOMAS: Do you want those the question. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. I think there's 11 notebooks now? 12 evidence that they leak out. 12 MR. THORNBURGH: I don't know that we 13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 necessarily need all of them, so why don't we -- why 14 14 don't we move forward, and if we need them, we'll --And would you agree that there would 15 15 be a difference in the degree of oxidation between a THE WITNESS: Let me get this first 16 one, which is an index. They're -- the index is all 16 heavyweight material and a lightweight material 17 because of the reduced inflammatory response as a 17 the same. 18 result of a reduction in the surface area that we 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 So let's -- first let's talk about 19 discussed earlier? the submission to the FDA, October of 1997, the 20 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 20 21 21 five -- the 510(k) for the TVT-Retropubic. the question; scope. 22 THE WITNESS: It's a theoretical --22 Did you bring that with you today? 23 23 it is a theoretical discussion. MR. THOMAS: Maybe. Do you have one 24 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 24 handy? 25 25 MR. THORNBURGH: I think I do. Yes or no? Q. Page 444 Page 446 1 1 THE WITNESS: Do you want to bring up I don't know what materials they're 2 talking about. I don't know what additive packages 2 the --3 they're talking about. 3 MR. THOMAS: Let him give you one. 4 How about polypropylene? 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay. 5 5 BY MR. THORNBURGH: MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. Let's slow 6 down a little bit. You're running into each other, 6 It's been premarked as Exhibit 7 and the record is terrible, and I don't get a chance 7 Number T-2017. The Bates number is 8 8 to object, and I need my chance to object. Let's ETH.MESH.00019863. 9 9 Now, before I get into the discussion slow down so everybody gets a chance to say what 10 10 about the topics and studies regarding leaching -they need to say. 11 MR. THOMAS: I'm sorry. This begins 11 MR. THORNBURGH: I'll withdraw and 12 move to strike everything after, it's a theoretical 12 with Attachment 5. And the bottom of it says Page 3 13 discussion. 13 of 69. Do you know if this was the complete --14 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. I need to 14 MR. THORNBURGH: Oh, you know what? 15 15 Sorry. I may have given you the wrong -say something. 16 I said the record is terrible. I 16 If you want to give that back to me. 17 should have said we risk creating a terrible record, 17 I am not exactly sure what I just handed you there. 18 because I am confident that our court reporter is 18 MR. THOMAS: Me either. 19 19 doing absolutely the best that she can. BY MR. THORNBURGH: MR. THORNBURGH: Off the record for a 20 Q. Okay. Let's do this again. I am 20 21 moment. 21 going to hand you what's been premarked as Exhibit 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the video 22 Number 2105, which is related to the 510(k) 23 23 submission regarding the TVT-Retropubic system. record, 1:26. 24 24 (Short break.) MR. THOMAS: May I have one, please? 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the video MR. THORNBURGH: Yes.

39 (Pages 443 to 446)

Page 447 Page 449 1 MR. THOMAS: Thank you. This one is 1 about this before. 2 highlighted. Is it supposed to be? 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 3 3 MR. THORNBURGH: That's okay. Correct? Q. 4 4 And as I indicated before, there were BY MR. THORNBURGH: A. 5 5 Now, this is a submission that three endpoints in that experiment that are б б Ethicon made to the FDA regarding the TVT device, important: Subjective observations, observations by 7 a human being about what's on the surface of the 8 8 suture, and then quantitative assessments of A. Yes. That's what it looks like. 9 Q. And before we get into a discussion 9 molecular weight, and quantitative assessments of 10 10 about the cytotoxicity testing and the leaching tensile strength. 11 issues, I just want to turn your attention to 11 In terms of surface changes, surface 12 ETH.MESH.00371515. 12 changes were reported. In terms of molecular weight 13 A. 515. 13 and tensile strength, no impact on either of those 14 parameters, which would lead one to conclude that 14 Okay. 15 there's no evidence of degradation that's 15 O. Now, this is the statement that we've 16 16 meaningful. discussed over the last two days regarding minimal MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike; 17 inflammatory transitory tissue reaction and that the 17 18 material is not absorbed, nor is it subject to 18 nonresponsive. 19 19 BY MR. THORNBURGH: degradation. Right? 20 A. Yes. 20 Sir, do you think it's okay for 21 Q. Now, the statement, the material is 21 Ethicon to misrepresent information in a 510(k) 22 22 submission to the FDA regarding surface cracking? not absorbed, nor is it subject to degradation or 23 weakening by the action of tissue enzymes, was 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 24 provided to the FDA in the 510(k) submission on 24 the question. 25 October 29, 2007, correct? 25 THE WITNESS: I don't think they've Page 448 Page 450 1 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 1 done that. 2 the question; scope. 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 3 3 THE WITNESS: 2007? Regarding surface degradation? Q. 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 5 5 Q. I'm sorry. October 29, 1997. the question. 6 Correct? 6 THE WITNESS: I do not think they've 7 Okay. That would be the time of the 7 done that. 8 submission of the 510(k) for TVT original or 8 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 9 9 retropubic. This statement says the material is 10 Right. So October 29, 1997 Ethicon 10 not subject to degradation. submitted to the FDA the 510(k) submission related 11 11 That's what it says, right? 12 to the TVT-Retropubic, correct? 12 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 13 A. 13 the question. 14 THE WITNESS: I've already explained Q. And in that submission, Ethicon 14 15 stated that the material is not absorbed, nor is it 15 that the IFU is not the responsibility of 16 subject to degradation. 16 preclinical science. Preclinical scientists provide 17 Do you see that? 17 information to regulatory folks and medical affairs 18 A. 18 people and clinicians, their findings. And those 19 19 folks put together the most useful information for But as we've already established, by 1990 and 1992, Ethicon was aware from its own 20 20 the end user, the surgeon. 21 internal studies that the Prolene in the TVT was 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 22 22 It would be inappropriate for the FDA subject to surface degradation, correct? 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 23 to permit -- to misrepresent information about 24 the question. 24 degradation to the FDA, wouldn't it? 25 THE WITNESS: We've talked a lot 25 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of

40 (Pages 447 to 450)

Page 451 Page 453 1 the question. 1 contact with, correct? 2 THE WITNESS: I don't think they've 2 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 3 3 done that. the question. 4 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: BY MR. THORNBURGH: 5 5 Well, the 1990 and 1992 internal There's a question pending. б 6 studies showed surface degradation of the Prolene MR. THOMAS: He's answered this same 7 mesh, did it not? 7 question twice today. 8 8 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of THE WITNESS: First -- first, I've 9 9 the question. not seen the peeling that you're talking about. 10 THE WITNESS: I've already 10 And, second, all the data that we've 11 11 brought here today, some 49 reports, suggest that explained --BY MR. THORNBURGH: 12 12 the tissue reaction to Prolene polypropylene suture 13 Q. Yes or no? 13 in mesh is relatively mild and in some cases reduces 14 14 in severity over time. A. I've already explained the -- my 15 15 reasonings of this in answering this question on a So if there are any peeling off of 16 number of occasions. And I can only conclude that 16 pieces of the suture, as you would suggest, it's not 17 the regulatory folks and clinical folks took the sum 17 having an impact on the tissue action. 18 total of the results from that study and said, you 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 19 know what? There's no impact on molecular weight. We saw in the Postlethwait paper that 20 There's no impact on tensile strength. So there's 20 even minute fragments can cause independent 21 no degradation. And that is what is reflected in 21 inflammatory responses, right? 22 22 this IFU. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 23 That statement, sir, that you just 23 the question. 24 made is inconsistent with the conclusions by the 24 THE WITNESS: The macro fragments 25 Ethicon employee who wrote that degradation in 25 that's discussed in the Postlethwait paper are not Page 452 Page 454 1 1 the same as what you're describing comes off the Prolene is still increasing, right? 2 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 2 surface of a Prolene fiber, which we've not seen any 3 3 of that in the images that we've discussed today. the question. 4 THE WITNESS: All degradations are 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 5 5 not created equal. Degradations that are important So Ethicon chose not to warn doctors 6 are changes in molecular weight and tensile 6 or disclose to the FDA that the Prolene mesh is 7 strength. Anything less than that is uneventful 7 subject to surface degradation, correct? 8 8 trivial response, a trivial change, that has no MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of impact on important mechanical characteristics like 9 9 the question; scope. 10 10 the tensile strength. THE WITNESS: Ethicon is trying to 11 11 BY MR. THORNBURGH: provide to the surgeons the totality of the result 12 Do you think -- do you think that 12 and the most significant result that they would be surface degradation of Prolene mesh would be 13 13 concerned about, and that is a breakdown of the 14 unimportant to the FDA? 14 polymer chains, which would be reflected in a loss 15 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 15 of molecular weight and a loss of tensile strength, 16 16 which would not be useful for a suture, a single the question. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, as long as there 17 strand suture, that's used for cardiovascular were no impact on tensile strength and no impact on 18 18 repair, of which surgeons rely on to maintain its 19 19 tensile strength for the life of the patient. tissue reaction. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 21 You have to agree with me, sir, that 21 Are you done, sir? Are you done, Q. 22 22 if the material is peeling away and coming off of sir? 23 the Prolene fibers, that those -- those shards that 23 Dr. Barbolt, are you finished? 24 24 peel away will increase or by itself cause an A. Yes. 25 inflammatory response to tissue that it comes in 25 MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike;

41 (Pages 451 to 454)

Page 455 Page 457 1 nonresponsive. 1 wrote. 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 MR. THOMAS: I don't think he -- I 3 3 Ethicon chose not to warn doctors or don't believe he cut and pasted. 4 4 MR. THORNBURGH: Well, now you're to disclose to the FDA that the Prolene mesh is 5 5 subject to surface degradation in their 510(k) doing another speaking objection. 6 6 MR. THOMAS: You asked him about this submission, correct? 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 7 at length in his last deposition. That's why I 8 8 the question; scope. remember it so well. 9 He's not designated on this, Dan. 9 MR. THORNBURGH: Well, the subject 10 THE WITNESS: It's not in this action 10 matter that he's been designated to discuss is 11 11 leaching, which is covered by -- which is part of section. 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 12 the cytotoxicity, is it not? 13 If I can turn your attention to Bates 13 MR. THOMAS: But you've asked him Number ETH.MESH.00371544, this is the 14 14 what he's done personally so far, and you've covered 15 this at length at the last deposition. 15 biocompatibility test results, correct? 16 Yes. 16 Go ahead. It's your deposition. A. 17 Q. And you drafted this, didn't you? 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 This is likely cut and paste from a 18 Q. Sir, are you prepared -- did you document that I would have provided, and it's part 19 19 prepare for this 30(b)(6) deposition to discuss the 20 of a 510(k) submission. This looks like my 20 cytotoxicity testing that was done at Ethicon? 21 21 Are you the person most knowledgeable 22 Q. And on Page 41, ETH.MESH.00371545, 22 and have you been prepared on that subject for this 23 there's a discussion about cytotoxicity testing that 23 30(b)(6) deposition? 24 was performed by Ethicon through NAMSA under the 24 MR. THOMAS: He's been designated on 25 ISO 10993-5 guidelines which showed that the topic as identified in the notice, and leaching Page 458 Page 456 1 1 polypropylene mesh was moderate to severely is one of the topics, and cytotoxicity comes within 2 cytotoxic in vitro, correct? 2 that topic. 3 A. Yes. 3 MR. THORNBURGH: Okay. 4 And the polypropylene mesh component 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: O. 5 of the sterile sheet -- this is apparently what you 5 Now, sir, I know that you're here. 6 wrote -- the polypropylene mesh component of the 6 You've been designated by Ethicon as a company 7 sterile TVT device was cytotoxic, and only the 7 spokesperson to discuss this issue. 8 Elution test suggesting cytotoxic potential in this 8 Were you the person who wrote this 9 9 sensitive test system. section of the biocompatibility testing results? 10 So you would agree with me that based 10 I'm not certain, but it's likely. on the Elution test, there was evidence of 11 11 And you wrote that: The long history 12 cytotoxicity in vitro, correct? 12 of safe clinical use of polypropylene as mesh in 13 A. 13 suture products suggest strongly that the material 14 is inherently biocompatible and that the potential O. And then you wrote: However, the 14 15 long history of safe clinical use of polypropylene 15 cytotoxicity observed is self-limiting. 16 as mesh and suture products suggest strongly that 16 What did you mean by "self-limiting"? 17 this material is inherently biocompatible, and the 17 Not progressive beyond the potential cytotoxicity observed is self-limiting. implantation period. Something that's not likely to 18 18 What do you mean by "self-limiting"? 19 19 exacerbate a tissue reaction response. You'd agree with me that MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 20 20 21 the question; scope. 21 cytotoxicity, even at the implant level, could 22 Have you established that he wrote 22 increase the inflammatory response, right? 23 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of this part? 24 MR. THORNBURGH: He said -- I thought 24 the question. 25 he said it was cut and pasted from something he 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. If there's death

42 (Pages 455 to 458)

Page 459 Page 461 of cells, and it's simply cytotoxicity, if there's 1 1 information as you see here, and they made the 2 death of cells in the tissue surrounding the 2 judgment. I am not sure how -- how that went, where 3 implant, it's very likely to increase the tissue 3 it went, and where they went to get information, but 4 4 they had access to this information. reaction. 5 5 BY MR. THORNBURGH: BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 6 Q. And some of the symptoms that you And that's despite the fact that your 7 would expect to see if a mesh material or the 7 study showed the potential, at least in vitro, for 8 8 additives in the mesh material were cytotoxic would cytotoxicity, correct? 9 be delayed wound healing and ulcerations, correct? 9 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 10 10 Well, certainly delayed wound healing the question. 11 and increased tissue reaction. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. And at the 12 The relationship to ulceration is not 12 same time, as I've indicated here, they've relied on 13 a direct one. It doesn't usually happen. However, 13 clinical data in ETH.MESH.00371546 to address any 14 it can occur in some animal studies because of the 14 potential in vivo cytotoxicity by talking about 15 nature of animals. But the two key endpoints would 15 their experience in the field. 16 be increased tissue reaction and delayed wound 16 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 17 healing response. 17 In fact, I'm going to go ahead and --18 And in the actions animal section of 18 I am going to give you what's been premarked as the IFU --19 19 T-3185. 20 MR. THOMAS: What page are we, 20 Who's Cary Linsky? 21 please? 21 I think he was the project leader for A. MR. THORNBURGH: ETH.MESH.1515 of the 22 22 TVT original. 23 exhibit, 2105. MR. THOMAS: Just for the record. 2.4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 24 this is marked 3186? 25 In the action section in the animal 25 MR. THORNBURGH: I'm sorry. Yes. Page 460 Page 462 section of the IFU, there is no disclosure to 1 1 Premarked Exhibit 3186. 2 physicians that there is evidence in vitro tests of 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 3 cytotoxicity associated with the Prolene mesh in 3 And this is dated 9/11/97, correct? Q. 4 TVT, correct? 4 A. 5 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 5 And this discusses how there was a 6 the question; scope. 6 decision to delay the TVT device from August to 7 THE WITNESS: I don't see it here, 7 September as a result of the cytotoxicity results 8 8 but as I indicated before, for end users -- and, from NAMSA, correct? 9 9 again, this is not a preclinical document. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 10 10 Preclinical folks provide information for the people the question; scope. 11 responsible for this document. 11 THE WITNESS: I would have to read 12 But in the absence of increased 12 this document. I've not seen this before. 13 tissue reaction and in the absence of impact on 13 Yeah. I see that. I totally agree. 14 wound healing, there's no need to put additional 14 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 15 information in the action section. So that would be 15 It says: The TVT data is vitally 16 my recommendation. And, again, it's the clinicians 16 important for two reasons. It is the only 17 and regulatory folks who make the final call. 17 functionality data we have, i.e., no animal studies. 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 Two, the toxicity position paper draft heavily 19 Did you make that recommendation --19 relies on the clinical data to place in perspective did Ethicon make that recommendation or did you make 20 20 the cytotoxicity profile of the device. 21 that recommendation to the individuals who were 21 For the above reasons, we need to 22 deciding on what language goes into the IFU? 22 have good assurance for the integrity of the data 23 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of that we put into our submission. 24 the question. 24 Do you see that? 25 THE WITNESS: I provided the 25 Yeah, absolutely. I totally agree.

43 (Pages 459 to 462)

Page 463 Page 465 1 Okay. So there was already a 1 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 2 toxicity position paper that was drafted before the 2 the question; scope. 3 3 clinical data was even available? THE WITNESS: No, I do not know that. 4 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 5 the question; scope. 5 Do you know how much money -- what 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 the financial interest was for Ulmsten, who was the 7 7 inventor of TVT, that the results would be O. Right? 8 8 Well, the toxicity position paper is A. favorable? independent of any clinical data. It was based on a 9 9 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of compilation of all the cytotoxicity studies that 10 10 the question. 11 were conducted previous to the 510(k) submission and 11 THE WITNESS: No, I do not. 12 for the 510(k) submission. 12 MR. THOMAS: Scope. 13 So that happens -- that's a 13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 14 preclinical issue that happens independent of 14 Do you know how much Ethicon was clinical. 15 15 paid, or are you prepared to testify how much 16 And the clinical data that Ethicon Ethicon paid to Ulmsten throughout the years for 16 17 was waiting on before submitting the 510(k) 17 positive results in the Scandinavian multi-center 18 submission with your biocompatibility assessment was 18 trial? the Scandinavian multi-center trial, right? 19 19 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 20 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 20 the question; scope. 21 the question; scope. 21 THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it 22 that information. 23 says. They need to finalize that data. 23 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 24 MR. THOMAS: Wait a minute. He's 24 I've just handed your counsel 25 asking you whether you know this, not what you're 25 opposite an exhibit marked as 2254. Page 464 Page 466 1 1 reading off the paper. MR. THORNBURGH: I have a copy for 2 THE WITNESS: No, I'm reading it. 2 you, Counsel. 3 MR. THOMAS: Okay. Because if he's 3 MR. THOMAS: This is the version that 4 going to be a corporate representative, he's not 4 you've already highlighted? 5 5 MR. THORNBURGH: Yes, sir. prepared on this, and this is not part of his 6 designation. So if you want to --6 (Document marked for identification 7 MR. THORNBURGH: He refers to -- part 7 as Exhibit T-2254.) 8 of the designation is the biocompatibility 8 MR. THOMAS: Did you say 2254? assessments. And he -- he just deferred to the 9 9 Thank you. 10 clinical data available to support the non-cytotoxic 10 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 11 effect or the self-limiting effect of the 11 Q. Have you seen this document before? 12 cytotoxicity in the TVT material. 12 A. 13 So if that's a position he just took, 13 Q. And this is a Prolene suture to which 14 then I ought to have an opportunity to cross-examine surface additives had been applied or evaluated to 14 15 him on that issue. 15 determine their tissue response characteristic in 16 MR. THOMAS: We've told you what he 16 rat gluteal muscles at three, 14, and 28 days post 17 has prepared to talk about cytotoxicity. This goes 17 implantation. Do you see that? 18 well beyond it. I am not going to argue with you. 18 A. 19 You ask your questions, but --19 And the finding from this study is O. BY MR. THORNBURGH: that two of the additives, Lubrol PX and Santonox 20 20 21 Before I do, are you aware of how 21 R -- those are antioxidants, correct? 22 22 much money -- strike that. A. 23 23 Are you aware that Dr. Ulmsten was Q. And those antioxidants, as you 24 the primary clinical researcher in the Scandinavian 24 testified previously, can leach out of the Prolene 25 multi-center trial? 25 mesh, correct?

44 (Pages 463 to 466)

Page 467 Page 469 Yes. 1 Α. 1 MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike. 2 O. And this study found that two of the 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 3 additives, Lubrol PX and Santonox R, elicit tissue 3 We're going to discuss the 28-day 4 4 study, but my question is: Was the Lubrol and the responses significantly greater than controls. Do 5 5 you see that? Santonox R -- will leach out of the mesh fibers, 6 Yes. 6 A. correct? 7 Did Ethicon disclose in the 510(k) 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Q. 8 8 submission that the antioxidants that leach out of the question. 9 their mesh when tested against negative controls 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. I've already 10 elicited a tissue response that was significantly 10 admitted that these agents can leach out. This 11 greater? 11 experiment is not relevant to that question. 12 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 the question; scope. 13 Well, this experiment does show that BY MR. THORNBURGH: 14 14 Lubrol and Santonox can elicit a greater tissue 15 15 Q. Doctor? response, correct? 16 16 A. Let me just read the comments A. Only when smeared on the surface of a 17 17 Prolene suture. section. 18 Okay. This is an exploratory study 18 Now, you talk about the 28-day study. where they coated the Prolene suture which already Before we go there, I just have a couple questions 19 19 20 contains additives, but with additional additives on 20 for you about that, that I want to get my hands 21 the surface. 21 around. 22 22 Q. To mimic leaching, right? The 28-day study that you are 23 No, to load up the suture with some 23 referring to is a study that compared Prolene flat A. 24 components of the antioxidant package to see if 24 mesh raw material to the TVT finished product, 25 there had been any impact on tissue reaction. 25 correct? Page 468 Page 470 1 And the finding was that there was an 1 As I recall, that was Prolene flat 2 impact on tissue reaction. There was, in fact, a 2 mesh finished goods, the final product, compared to 3 significantly greater reaction in the controls, 3 TVT mesh, final product. 4 correct? 4 Which would have also contained 5 Santonox R and Procol and Lubrol, correct? 5 Yes, that's the case, but it's not 6 relevant to Prolene suture or Prolene mesh, because 6 Α. 7 the Prolene suture and Prolene mesh is not coated 7 0. Okay. So you tested a mesh device 8 8 with additional additives like what was done in this that already had additives in it to another mesh experiment. 9 9 device which already had additives in it, correct? 10 10 So it's an exploratory study to Yes, that's right, the difference 11 understand irritant potential of various 11 being that the Prolene flat mesh is not cytotoxic in 12 antioxidants, but it has no relevance to current 12 vitro, and the TVT mesh is cytotoxic in vitro. 13 production products, the suture or mesh. 13 Now, I hear what you're saying, that 14 Well, with all due respect, sir, the 14 there were studies done of the Prolene flat mesh, Lubrol and the Santonox R will leach out of the mesh 15 15 not the TVT, but the Prolene flat mesh used in 16 fibers, correct? 16 hernia repair, that tested negative for It's possible that they will leach 17 17 cytotoxicity; is that what you're saying? out of the mesh fibers. I think they do. As I've Yes. The same Prolene mesh that's in 18 18 19 indicated, there's evidence for that. 19 TVT mesh was negative. At the same time, I've also indicated 20 Was there a NAMSA Elution test done 20 21 that in the 28-day Prolene mesh TVT mesh experiment. 21 in that set of studies similar to the Elution test 22 there was no increased evidence of tissue reaction 22 that was done in the TVT product which found 23 indicating that if any of the additives were to 23 moderate to severely -- severe cytotoxicity? 24 leach away, it had no impact on the surrounding 24 We'd have to look at the individual 25 tissues. 25 studies in the 510(k), and the summaries may be

Page 471 Page 473 1 sufficient here, but I might need to go to the full 1 mechanisms of cytotoxicity and a summary of the 2 study reports in the binders that we've brought. 2 tests that were performed by Ethicon, correct? 3 3 But let me take a look. A. Yes. 4 On ETH.MESH.00371569, there is a 4 And this says that: As part of the Q. 5 summary of the study that I am making reference to. 5 overall assessment of biocompatibility of the TVT 6 In fact, two studies were conducted with the normal б device, a number of cytotoxicity studies were 7 production Prolene flat mesh. 7 conducted. Right? 8 8 And can you give me -- I don't have Q. A. Yes. 9 your binder. 9 Q. And it goes on to say: After an 10 MR. THOMAS: He's testified from your 10 evaluation of all the test results, only the 11 exhibit. 11 polypropylene mesh component of the sterile TVT 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's your 12 device was considered to be cytotoxic, and the 13 exhibit. 13 severity was moderate to severe. 14 14 MR. THOMAS: It's the 510(k). Do you see that? 15 15 2105. A. Yes. THE WITNESS: ETH.MESH.00371568. 16 16 Q. In the ISO Elution testing using USP 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 17 scoring system as slight, mild moderate, and severe. 18 15 --18 Now, what does it mean to be 19 19 1568 and 1569. These were the moderately cytotoxic in terms of the number of cells A. 20 cytotoxicity studies conducted with Prolene flat 20 that will die when they come into contact with the 21 mesh. But one, an agarose overlay, was 21 offending agent? 22 22 non-cytotoxic, as it was for the TVT flat mesh. Yeah. I -- I know in -- I could pull A. 23 What you're referring to is the 23 up the study to find the detail. 24 second study on Page 65 of that. That's 24 MR. THOMAS: If you need to do that, 25 ETH.MESH.00371569. This is a filter paper method, a 25 do that. If you want that detail --Page 472 Page 474 1 1 little bit different than the ISO Elution method. THE WITNESS: Actually, let me get 2 The ISO Elution method is taking an 2 that detail. Let me look at a cytotoxicity study as 3 extract of the mesh and put it into contact with 3 an example. 4 cells. In this case -- and it's a cytotoxicity 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 5 5 assay that's commonly conducted for medical devices. Well, just hold on a second. You 6 In this case, an extract is placed on 6 don't know right now sitting here from your memory 7 a filter paper, which is then placed on an agarose 7 what the USP scoring system says concerning the 8 8 overlay. And in that study, the test article was number of cells that will die when they come into 9 9 non-cytotoxic. contact with the cytotoxic agent? 10 10 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Q. That was a different method? 11 the question. That's why he's prepared with all 11 Slightly different. Slightly these notebooks, because he can't remember 12 different, but very similar in that both used 12 13 extracts, such that if there were leachables from 13 everything. 14 the device, they would have gone into the extract 14 MR. THORNBURGH: Well --15 and either the extract placed in contact with the 15 MR. THOMAS: So if you want the 16 cells or the extract pipetted onto filter paper put 16 answer to the question, he's going to consult the 17 onto cells. Similar, but they're different. 17 study. MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike, 18 18 MR. THORNBURGH: Number 4 on 19 nonresponsive, after they're slightly different. 19 leaching. 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 MR. THOMAS: Do you want him to look 21 I'll hand you what has been premarked 21 at it? 22 22 BY MR. THORNBURGH: as T-2132, which is a document draft entitled 23 "Mechanisms Of Cytotoxicity In TVT Polypropylene 23 You're going to pull up some study. 24 Mesh." 24 I'm asking what under the USP system, right? 25 Now, this is a discussion of the 25 It's greater than 50 percent of the

46 (Pages 471 to 474)

Page 475 Page 477 1 cells, right? 1 characterization person, Mr. or Mrs. Rippy? 2 MR. THOMAS: He'll check here and 2 If it was finalized, it would have 3 3 gone to her, as well as the distribution on the make sure. 4 THE WITNESS: For a moderate 4 page. 5 5 response, not more than 70 percent of the cells O. That's what I'm -- I am trying to 6 6 would be rounded and/or lysed, which would be understand. 7 evidence of cytotoxicity. 7 Do you know if this information was 8 I should point out that a mild 8 ever provided to the product characterization 9 response, which is acceptable, results in not more 9 person, Mr. or Mrs. Rippy? 10 than 50 percent of the cells having evidence of 10 Is it Mr. or Mrs? 11 cytotoxicity. 11 A. Marian. 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 12 I do not know that. A finalized copy 13 So at moderate cytotoxicity, up to 13 has not been located. 14 70 percent of the cells die that come into contact 14 Do you know what her responsibility 15 with the offending agent, correct? 15 was as the corporate product characterization person 16 16 Yes. at Ethicon? 17 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 17 She was the director of the group A. 18 that included a biocompatibility surgical 18 the question. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's in functionality, laboratory animal resources, product 19 20 accordance with the scheme. Not more than 70. So 20 performance evaluation, and materials 21 between 50 and 70. 21 characterization. 22 22 BY MR. THORNBURGH: And that role is important in 23 23 understanding the -- for future reference, Okay. And for severe cytotoxicity, 24 70 to 100 percent of the cells that come into 24 understanding the safety and biocompatibility of 25 contact with the offending agent die, correct? 25 Ethicon's products, correct? Page 476 Page 478 1 1 A. Yes. A. Yes. She was the leader of the 2 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 2 group. 3 3 the question. Q. Now, it says additional studies were 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 conducted -- it goes on to say there was another --5 And under the testing conducted by 5 it says: However, cytotoxicity of the testing of 6 NAMSA of the TVT finished product, between 50 and a 6 the polypropylene raw material also used in the 7 hundred percent of the cells that came into contact 7 manufacture of Prolene indicated that it was 8 8 died, right? non-cytotoxic. 9 9 A. That's correct. One thing we've established is that 10 10 both of those -- both of those products contained Now, in your mechanism of -- this is 11 your draft, right? This is your -- you wrote this; 11 Santonox and Lubrol, which we've seen are cytotoxic, 12 is that correct? 12 or cause an increase in tissue response, correct? 13 A. Yes, that's correct. 13 The Santonox R was. And I think 14 Q. And so you discuss -- who's M. Rippy? 14 there may have been a change from Lubrol to 15 She was a director of corporate 15 Santonox R because of a change in supplier. 16 product characterization at that time. 16 I think there was a change in Lubrol 17 Q. Director of corporate product? 17 to Procol. Right? 18 18 Corporate product characterization. Well, no. I think the Procol LA-10 19 That was the preclinical sciences group. 19 was a non-ionic surfactant. It was a processing Was there ever a final? Because I 20 20 aid, I believe. 21 could only find the draft. 21 And so it was the antioxidant, 22 No, I don't have a final. I have not 22 Santonox R and Procol LA-10 that had the most 23 been able to locate a final signed copy. 23 potential for in vitro cytotoxicity. 24 24 Did you ever provide or did Ethicon All right. And you discuss -- you go 25 ever provide this document to the corporate product on to discuss: Additional studies were conducted to

47 (Pages 475 to 478)

Page 479 Page 481 1 1 better understand the nature of the cytotoxic Were you concerned that using a heat 2 potential of the polypropylene mesh under different 2 shrink tubing -- that that additional heat that's 3 3 conditions. Individual components of the applied could cause the additives to leach to the 4 4 surface of the Prolene mesh? polypropylene resin additive package used in the 5 5 manufacture of the mesh were also evaluated to You would call that blooming. In the 6 6 determine if any single additive might be package, it would be a blooming of those additives 7 contributing to the cytotoxic potential of the 7 of the surface, where in the body, it would be a 8 8 material. leaching. 9 9 Now, you say cytotoxic testing of the That was the -- that was the 10 10 polypropylene mesh from this device was -- resulted hypothesis at the time. 11 11 in severe cytotoxicity. And so even with the low and high tubing process, there's still heat being applied 12 Do you see that study, 196? 12 13 Hang on. Let me put it into context 13 which could cause additives to bloom to the surface 14 14 so that we're -- we look at this entire document. of the mesh, correct? 15 Since there was the possibility of 15 A. That's correct. 16 16 the use of localized high temperature during O. And you go on to say: Cytotoxicity 17 application of the heat shrink tubing might be 17 testing of the finished nonsterile TVT device 18 contributing to the cytotoxicity of the 18 resulted in slight cytotoxicity, which met USP 19 19 polypropylene mesh, a study was conducted using low acceptability criteria. 20 temperature heat shrink tubing to manufacture the 20 You go on to say: The material 21 TVT device. 21 safety data sheet for the individual component of 22 22 polypropylene resin additive package used to And so you're able to rule out the 23 23 use of the high shrink tubing as the cause for stabilize the polypropylene mesh were evaluated, and 24 cytotoxicity, because when you used low temperature 24 ISO Elution cytotoxicity testing was conducted for 25 shrink tubing to manufacture the TVT device, the 25 some of them, using maximum concentrations of these Page 480 Page 482 1 1 studies confirmed again that there was severe materials added to the resin, and then, if 2 cytotoxicity in the polypropylene mesh, correct? 2 necessary, at the concentration of these chemicals 3 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 3 which could be extracted from the polypropylene 4 4 the question. resin by water --5 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. You would MR. THOMAS: By mesh. 6 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: conclude that there was either no impact or the heat 7 applied even to the low temperature heat shrink 7 -- polypropylene mesh by water at 8 8 37 degrees Celsius for 24 hours to mimic the tubing was insufficient. 9 9 cytotoxicity extraction conditions. Right? BY MR. THORNBURGH: 10 10 That's exactly right. Okay. Now, we know from two tests, 11 that it's still the TVT mesh that is cytotoxic, 11 All right. And you talk about 12 12 another antioxidant, which is DLTDP, was tested and right, not the process of the heat being applied to 13 the heat shrink tubing, correct? 13 found to be non-cytotoxic, right? 14 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 14 A. Yes. 15 15 And Santonox R, another antioxidant the question. O. 16 THE WITNESS: Well, there's still 16 was tested 3 milligrams per milliliter and resulted 17 some heat to shrink a low temperature heat shrink 17 in severe cytotoxicity, right? 18 tubing, but not as high as for a higher temperature 18 A. Yes. 19 19 And then you ran that test again with heat shrink tubing. Q. 20 So that's directional information, 20 a lower volume of Santonox, which resulted from aqueous extraction of the polypropylene mesh, right? 21 and it's -- the relevance, obviously, is that it's 21 22 uncertain. There's still temperature added, but, 22 A. apparently, it's sufficient to cause an in vitro 23 23 And found no cytotoxicity when you O. 24 24 cytotoxicity result. lowered the level? 25 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Yes. This would be a level to

Page 483 Page 485 1 approximate what might come out after extracting the 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 mesh in the manner for the original cytotoxicity 2 We'll probably look at the e-mail 3 work. So this would -- you would conclude here that 3 first, because attached is a copy of J. Karl's memo. 4 Santonox R is not the element that is contributing 4 Who's J. Karl; do you know? 5 5 to in vitro cytotoxicity. A. John Karl. 6 Santonox at .2 milligrams per 6 Q. And what was his position at Ethicon? 7 milliliter was found to be non-cytotoxic, right? 7 Polymer engineer. A. 8 Yes. Yes, that's correct. 8 A. Okay. And J. Karl's memo indicating Q. 9 O. Santonox at 6 milligrams per 9 the R&D specifications for the various additives 10 milliliter was -- Santonox at 3 milligrams per 10 used in Prolene resin. 11 milliliter was cytotoxic, right? 11 I've seen this. A. 12 Yes, and probably as much as could be 12 It says: If there is any Q. 13 dissolved in water. It's relatively nonpolar. So 13 biocompatibility and/or safety documentation for this is the maximum amount that could be Prolene, it should have addressed the additives and 14 14 15 15 solubilized. made some worst case estimates. 16 Then the second attempt was to 16 Do you see that? 17 approximate what might come out under actual 17 Yes. A. 18 extraction conditions, such that would occur as in a 18 Then there was a memo attached from 19 cytotoxicity study. 19 John Karl, an engineering fellow at Ethicon, who 20 Q. And then you went on and tested 20 does an in-depth discussion of really the history of 21 Procol LA-10. 21 Prolene and the manufacturing process. You've read this document before, 22 22 Do you understand that Procol and Lubrol are essentially the same antioxidant agent? 23 23 right? 24 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 24 A. Yes, I've seen this. 25 25 MR. THOMAS: When you're talking the question. Page 484 Page 486 1 about this document, you are talking about the THE WITNESS: I didn't appreciate 2 2 that, but... e-mail and the memo? 3 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 3 MR. THORNBURGH: I am talking about 4 You don't know that? 4 the memo -- the memo attached, which is 5 5 ETH.MESH.02268619, dated January 23, 2003 addressed MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 the question; scope. 6 to Dan -- Mr. Dan Burkley at Ethicon from a Mr. John 7 THE WITNESS: No. I know it as a 7 Karl, engineering fellow from Ethicon. 8 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 8 Procol LA-10 here. 9 Q. You've seen this before, right? 9 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 10 10 I've seen the memo you've pointed Before you came here today -- before you came here today, had you seen this document out. I don't believe I've seen the e-mail on the 11 11 12 authored by Dan Burkley dated February of 2003? 12 first page. 13 13 MR. THOMAS: May I have a copy of it, Q. Sure. It talks about how Ethicon had 14 basically obtained the Prolene mesh from Montecatini please? Company. Did I pronounce that correctly? 15 MR. THORNBURGH: I'm sorry. We'll go 15 16 ahead and mark it as an exhibit. 16 A. I don't know. That was well before 17 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: my time. Q. It's been premarked as T-305. 18 18 Okay. It goes through, really, the 19 in-depth background. We don't need to cover it all. 19 Is this the first time that you've 20 But it does talk about how Prolene -- how Ethicon 20 seen this document? 21 MR. THOMAS: Are you talking about 21 came to purchase Prolene from the original company, 22 22 which was Montecatini, in it looks like New York -the e-mail or --23 MR. THORNBURGH: The e-mail and the 23 it looks like the offices were in New York City. 24 He goes on and talks about their document attached to it. 24 25 MR. THOMAS: Separate documents. plant in West Virginia. And it goes on and talks

49 (Pages 483 to 486)

Page 487 Page 489 1 about some of the changes in the company, of the 1 Lubrol and using the polypropylene form -- from a 2 polypropylene resin was still being sold to Ethicon 2 continuous reactor versus the original batch 3 3 from these various companies throughout the years. reactor. 4 A. Yeah. I think the original supplier 4 Do you see that? 5 5 was the Novo Mont plant, as I read this document. A. Yes. 6 And they came from -- apparently, they bought the 6 It says: We substituted Procol LA-10 7 resources of Montecatini. 7 for Lubrol solely because the Lubrol became no 8 8 It goes on to say: The objective to longer available. However, prior to consummating 9 9 every polymer resin run has been to duplicate the the substitution, we validated that the Procol was 10 original formulation as exactly possible, warts and 10 the same material as the Lubrol but from a different 11 11 vendor. 12 12 Do I read that correctly? Do you see that? 13 A. 13 A. Yes. That's my understanding. 14 14 Okay. So does that help you O. Do you know what warts Ethicon O. continued to include in their Prolene resin and 15 understand that the Lubrol and the Procol are really 15 manufacture of the TVT devices? the same thing, just from a different vendor? 16 16 17 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 17 Okay. Thanks, Dan, for that A. 18 18 clarification. the question; scope. 19 THE WITNESS: No, although I think 19 Q. Okay. And it goes on to say the 20 that knowing John, I think what he was saying was 20 added -- it goes on and lists the additives that 21 we're going to keep this original formulation as it 21 were added. 22 22 It says: The additive package in use is. 23 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 23 today is the same as was used in the original 24 No matter what bad things are 24 formulation from years ago with the two exceptions 25 25 noted above. associated with it, right? Page 488 Page 490 1 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 1 In addition, 1991, the Santonox 2 the question; scope. 2 levels were reduced slightly. Santonox is an 3 THE WITNESS: I can't put words in --3 antioxidant that protects the resin from thermal 4 we have to think through where he's going with this. 4 oxidation during extrusion. 5 And that is -- and I've made this statement before. 5 So you see, actually, in 1991, after 6 And that is we need to maintain the original 6 the ten-year dog study was started, that Santonox, 7 formulation because we're accumulating a large 7 an antioxidant, was actually reduced from the resin. 8 8 database of preclinical and clinical experience that Do you see that? 9 demonstrates the safety and functionality of this 9 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 10 10 product. the question. 11 BY MR. THORNBURGH: THE WITNESS: I see the statement. 11 12 Long-term clinical data from folks 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 like the Scandinavian folks, who were paid \$400,000, 13 So the -- the Prolene resin that was 14 as long as they -- the adverse events didn't change 14 used in the ten-year study by Ethicon actually had 15 in their follow-up studies, correct? 15 less antioxidants in it than the sutures that are --16 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 16 strike that. 17 the question; scope. 17 According to this document, the THE WITNESS: Well, no. I was 18 history is correct. The Prolene sutures that were 18 19 in the study conducted by Dan Burkley, the ten-year thinking of the beginnings of Prolene suture in 19 20 study, had more antioxidants than current production 20 21 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: TVT, right? 22 22 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of In any case, they continued to 23 manufacture the same Prolene resin, warts and all. 23 the question; scope. 24 No changes have ever been made in the chemistry with THE WITNESS: It says they were 24 25 the exception of substituting Procol LA-10 for 25 reduced slightly.

Page 491 Page 493 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 1 1 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 2 So there's less Santonox R in the 2 the question; scope. 3 3 Prolene polypropylene to protect against oxidation THE WITNESS: This would indicate 4 than existed prior to 1991, right? 4 that. 5 5 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of It also indicates that when this б the question; scope. This is not a designation for 6 minor change was made, the suture extrusion 7 him at all. 7 processes were fully validated to demonstrate that 8 8 MR. THORNBURGH: Well, he was no adverse effect on the suture properties resulted 9 designated as the person to talk about degradation 9 from this change. 10 10 and degradation studies, so I think it's important MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike; 11 for him to understand that --11 nonresponsive. 12 MR. THOMAS: I am not going to argue 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 with you. 13 There wasn't even another question O. 14 MR. THORNBURGH: -- the ten-year data 14 pending. You've got to wait for me to ask a 15 had more antioxidants in it than -- than the TVT 15 question. 16 16 mesh. Yet, it still showed surface degradation. You were designated as the person 17 Right? 17 regarding the additives and leaching, right? 18 MR. THOMAS: You're just not going to 18 MR. THOMAS: No. 19 establish that through this witness. He's not been 19 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 designated as a corporate representative on the 20 Q. Leaching of additives, right? 21 chemical composition of the mesh. 21 MR. THOMAS: Leaching, period. 22 MR. THORNBURGH: He has been 22 THE WITNESS: I understand that I am 23 designated for degradation. He's been designated as 23 to address biocompatibility issues related to 24 the person who will discuss --24 leachables, both in terms of local tissue reaction 25 MR. THOMAS: I'm not going to argue 25 and any impact on cytotoxicity. Page 492 Page 494 1 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: with you. 2 MR. THORNBURGH: -- the, you know, 2 And this would indicate that one of 3 biocompatibility of this mesh. 3 the antioxidant additives, Santonox R, which -- do 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 you have an understanding that Santonox R is used to 5 5 prevent oxidation during the manufacturing of the So according to this document, you'd 6 have to agree it's based on this document and based 6 Prolene meshes? 7 on what you have seen, the ten-year study, that 7 A. I've answered all that I can answer 8 8 showed surface degradation in the Prolene sutures about this line of questioning. A polymer 9 that were tested had greater antioxidants to protect 9 chemist -- need to be discussing these specifics 10 against oxidation than current TVT? 10 with a polymer chemist or an engineer. 11 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 11 Q. Well, you rely on a lot of studies the question. 12 that were conducted prior to -- for your -- for 12 your -- the studies related to degradation that 13 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 14 That's what this document would 14 predate 1991, which show that in 1991, there was a 15 15 reduction of antioxidants in the Prolene suture. suggest, right? 16 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. You've asked 16 right? 17 about three questions and haven't let him answer any 17 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 18 18 of them. Do you want to start over again? Which the question; scope. THE WITNESS: That's correct, and at 19 question do you want him to answer? 19 Excuse me. Stop. Just --20 20 the same time, there are plenty of studies conducted 21 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: after 1991 that address these same endpoints. 22 22 MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike According to this document, the 23 sutures that were tested by Dan Burkley in the 23 everything after, that's correct. 24 24 ten-year data would have more antioxidants than the We've got to change the tape. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going 25 antioxidants in the TVT, correct?

	Page 495		Page 497
1	off the video record. It's now 2:40.	1	the question.
2	This concludes Volume 2, Tape	2	THE WITNESS: That's what it says.
3	Number 3 of the videotape deposition of Dr.	3	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
4	Thomas A. Barbolt.	4	Q. And we know from your prior testimony
5	(Short break.)	5	that the additives, including Santonox, Lubrol,
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the	6	DLTDP, those additives can bloom to the surface of
7	video record. It's now 3:00 p.m.	7	the polypropylene sutures and meshes, correct?
8	This begins Tape Number 4, Volume 2	8	A. Yes, they can.
9	of the videotaped deposition of Dr. Thomas A.	9	Q. And can leach out of the out of
10	Barbolt.	10	the fibers in vivo, correct?
11	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	11	A. Yes. I think that's likely.
12	Q. Okay. Dr. Barbolt, before we went	12	Q. It says calcium stearate is another
13	off the record, we were talking about a change, a	13	additive; DLTDP, an antioxidant to improve long-term
14	reduction in the levels of Santonox after 1991. Do	14	storage of the resin.
15	you remember that?	15	Do you see that?
16	A. Yes.	16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And this document goes on to say that	17	Q. So this is an antioxidant used,
18	the Santonox is an antioxidant that protects the	18	according to this document, used to prevent
19	resin from thermal oxidation during extrusion.	19	oxidation during the storage of the product,
20	According to this document, the	20	correct?
21	Santonox is only there to protect against oxidation	21	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
22	ex vivo, right?	22	the question.
23	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	23	THE WITNESS: I see that.
24	the question.	24	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
25	THE WITNESS: I really can't address	25	Q. Again, Santonox R is an antioxidant
23	·		-
	Page 496		Page 498
1 1		1	4
1	the intention of the inclusion of the Santonox R as	1	to promote stability during compounding and
2	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it	2	extrusion, correct?
2	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat,	2	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
2 3 4	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against	2 3 4	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical	2 3 4 5	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it
2 3 4 5 6	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function.	2 3 4 5 6	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says.
2 3 4 5 6 7	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for	2 3 4 5 6 7	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. During the manufacturing process?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And the SCP pigment is a colorant to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. During the manufacturing process? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And the SCP pigment is a colorant to enhance visibility.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. During the manufacturing process? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And the SCP pigment is a colorant to enhance visibility. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. During the manufacturing process? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Extrusion occurs during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And the SCP pigment is a colorant to enhance visibility. Do you see that? MR. THOMAS: Same objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. During the manufacturing process? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Extrusion occurs during the manufacturing process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And the SCP pigment is a colorant to enhance visibility. Do you see that? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. During the manufacturing process? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Extrusion occurs during the manufacturing process. BY MR. THORNBURGH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And the SCP pigment is a colorant to enhance visibility. Do you see that? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. During the manufacturing process? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Extrusion occurs during the manufacturing process. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. So according to this document, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And the SCP pigment is a colorant to enhance visibility. Do you see that? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. So according to this document, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. During the manufacturing process? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Extrusion occurs during the manufacturing process. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. So according to this document, the Santonox is an antioxidant that protects the resin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And the SCP pigment is a colorant to enhance visibility. Do you see that? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. So according to this document, the DLTDP and the Santonox are antioxidants used to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. During the manufacturing process? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Extrusion occurs during the manufacturing process. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. So according to this document, the Santonox is an antioxidant that protects the resin from thermal oxidation during the extrusion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And the SCP pigment is a colorant to enhance visibility. Do you see that? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. So according to this document, the DLTDP and the Santonox are antioxidants used to prevent oxidation during either the manufacturing,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	an antioxidant, but, clearly, as it's stated, it helps prevent oxidation during extrusion from heat, but it may have other purposes to protect against any other oxidation. Since it's a free radical scavenger, that would be its function. But short of that, this would be for a polymer engineer to address more specifically. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Well, extrusion happens outside the body, right? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. During the manufacturing process? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Extrusion occurs during the manufacturing process. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. So according to this document, the Santonox is an antioxidant that protects the resin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	extrusion, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it says. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And Procol LA is a lubricant to help reduce tissue drag and promote tissue passage. Do you see that? A. Yes. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. And the SCP pigment is a colorant to enhance visibility. Do you see that? MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. So according to this document, the DLTDP and the Santonox are antioxidants used to

Page 499 Page 501 1 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 1 non-cytotoxic polypropylene mesh, Prolene. 2 2 The tissue reaction in TVT mesh was the question. 3 3 THE WITNESS: That's what's stated in characterized generally by mild, chronic 4 4 inflammation during the 28-day study, which was this document. 5 5 BY MR. THORNBURGH: comparable to the tissue reaction observed for б 6 So let's go back to Exhibit T-2132. Prolene mesh. 7 Again, this document is the mechanism 7 Do you see that? 8 of cytotoxicity for TVT polypropylene mesh that we 8 A. Yes. 9 were discussing, which you drafted sometime while 9 Q. That was a short-term study, correct? 10 you were employed with Ethicon, correct? 10 A. 28-day study. It would be considered 11 A. Yes. 11 short term. 12 Q. And we discussed how Santonox R 12 Q. And that was a study that looked at 13 tested severely cytotoxic at 3 milligrams per 13 inflammatory -- or tissue response differences 14 milliliter, but non-cytotoxic at 2 milligrams per 14 between two mesh devices, both of which contained blooming and leaching additives, including Procol, 15 milliliter, right? 15 16 MR. THOMAS: Object to form. 16 correct? 17 It's .2 milligrams per milliliter. 17 A. Yes, but likely to different extents. 18 MR. THORNBURGH: .2 milligrams per 18 You're comparing apples to apples --O. 19 milliliter. Thank you, Counsel. 19 apples to apples in this experiment, weren't you? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. 20 20 A. Apples to apples? 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 21 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 22 And you go on to say that the Procol, 22 the question. which is the compound here, is the polyoxyethylene BY MR. THORNBURGH: 23 23 24 lauryl. 24 Yeah. 25 25 Do you see that? A. I don't understand. Page 500 Page 502 1 Yes. 1 A. Well, we've already -- you've already 2 And the Procol was tested at 2 established, and these documents establish and your 3 3.5 milligrams per milliliter and resulted in severe 3 testing established, that Procol, which was 4 cytotoxicity. 4 contained in both of these products, was severely 5 Severe -- so then, you ran another 5 cytotoxic, even at very low levels, right? 6 test, reducing the volume of Procol, which again 6 A. Yes, as we discuss in the paragraph 7 tested severely cytotoxic, correct? 7 at the top. 8 8 A. Yes. Q. So you are testing two mesh products, 9 And then you reduced it yet again. 9 both of which contained a severely cytotoxic Q. 10 And the third test further confirmed the severe 10 additive, to compare the difference in tissue 11 cytotoxic potential of Procol, correct? 11 reaction, correct? 12 Yes. 12 A. A. Yes. 13 And Procol is an additive that can Q. 13 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 14 bloom to the surface during the manufacturing 14 the question. process and leach out while implanted in a woman's 15 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 16 body, correct? 16 Now, one of the differences I assume MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 17 17 that you'll testify to is -- well, strike that. 18 18 In summary, this data suggests that the question. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 the probable mechanism of cytotoxicity of the BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 polypropylene mesh from the TVT devices is the 20 21 It says: To evaluate the 21 presence of Procol LA-10, a potent non-ionic 22 significance of the cytotoxicity in a clinically 22 surfactant, with the ability to disrupt cell 23 relevant in vivo system, an intramuscular 23 membranes and cause cell death in in vitro systems. 24 implantation study was conducted in rats using 24 Right? 25 cytotoxic polypropylene mesh from the TVT device and 25 That's correct.

53 (Pages 499 to 502)

Page 503 Page 505 1 1 The increased cytotoxicity of Do you recall writing a 2 polypropylene suture -- and this is a question I 2 biocompatibility assessment where you say 3 3 specifically that the -- what you'd expect to see in have for you. 4 The increased cytotoxicity of 4 vivo if TVT was cytotoxic would be delayed or wound 5 5 polypropylene suture after autoclaving can be healing defects or ulcerations? б 6 attributed to the increased amount of Procol LA in I don't recall that specifically. 7 aqueous extracts. Thus, any treatment in 7 Certainly, the adverse impact in wound healing. And 8 8 polypropylene mesh which would result in more or I guess if it's severe enough, it might cause 9 less of Procol LA-10 available for extraction would 9 ulceration of overlying tissue, but I don't recall 10 be expected to result in greater or lesser 10 that specifically. 11 11 cytotoxicity respectively. You would agree that based on the evidence, TVT, the Prolene in TVT, showed evidence 12 Do you know if the polypropylene in 12 13 TVT is autoclaved? 13 of cytotoxicity --14 14 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of No. Sterilized by ethylene oxide. A. 15 Q. Okay. But the issue with autoclaving 15 the question. 16 was the additional heat that is applied to sterilize BY MR. THORNBURGH: 16 17 the mesh, right? 17 -- at least in vitro? 18 The suture and -- yes, that's 18 Yes. It showed evidence of A. cytotoxicity in vitro. 19 19 correct. 20 Q. Which can cause blooming of these 20 Q. And nowhere in the IFU are those 21 additives at the surface of the polypropylene. Is 21 findings disclosed to physicians, correct? 22 22 that correct? Yes. And that's because there's no 23 A. Yes. That's the hypothesis. 23 translation to increase tissue reaction or adverse 24 Now, what we know from your prior 24 impact in wound healing. 25 testimony is that the TVT device undergoes the heat 25 Have you seen the studies that show Page 504 Page 506 that the Prolene mesh can cause chronic wound 1 shrink tubing, which also can cause blooming of 1 2 antioxidants like -- or the additives like Procol to 2 healing problems? 3 the surface of the TVT fibers, correct? 3 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 4 Yes, that's correct. 4 A. the question. 5 5 THE WITNESS: No. I'd have to see And if the Procol blooms to the 6 surface during the manufacturing process, it can 6 the specific reports that you're talking about. 7 increase the risk of cytotoxicity, correct? 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 8 8 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Q. I am asking you: Do you recall 9 9 the question. seeing any studies as you sit here -- did you review 10 10 any studies before you came in here today that THE WITNESS: It can increase the showed that the Prolene -- that the polypropylene 11 risk of cytotoxicity in vitro. However, all of the 11 in vivo implantation studies suggest that that's not 12 meshes can lead to chronic wound healing problems? 12 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 13 the case; that the substance that might cause severe 13 14 in vitro cytotoxicity is not making a contribution 14 the question. 15 to increased tissue reaction in vivo. 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 16 BY MR. THORNBURGH: BY MR. THORNBURGH: 17 Well, some of the things that -- some 17 Did you review any studies before you 18 of the symptoms that we would see if polypropylene 18 came here today that show that the Prolene in TVT 19 19 can cause erosions and extrusions through the in TVT is cytotoxic would be increased tissue 20 reaction, wound healing defects, and ulcerations, 20 vaginal wall? 21 correct? 21 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 22 22 the question. I think certainly increased tissue 23 reaction and adverse impact in wound healing. The 23 THE WITNESS: No. And that would be 24 24 in the clinical area, and my responsibility here is ulceration question, it kind of depends. I 25 generalized by saying that. 25 to address preclinical questions.

54 (Pages 503 to 506)

Page 507 Page 509 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 Did you look at any -- any of the 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: explant reports that Ethicon received that showed 3 3 And it will relate preclinically. Q. 4 that women who had mesh devices explanted, also, 4 A. Okay. Fine. 5 some of those women had ulcerations? 5 O. We'll talk about it and refresh in 6 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 the preclinical context. 7 7 Okay. Fine. the question. A. 8 8 Now, this is a document that THE WITNESS: There would be a Q. 9 clinical explant, and I have not reviewed any of 9 discusses problems with particle loss that were being experienced -- were experienced by Ethicon 10 that information. 10 11 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 11 regarding its TVT products, correct? 12 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 12 You have also been designated as the 13 30(b)(6) witness to discuss the specifics of all 13 the question. testing related to TVT products during the design, 14 14 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I was kind development stages, including but not limited to 15 of reading through here, and I see that I have 15 16 porosity testing, particle loss, degradation, and 16 looked at it before. 17 leaching. We'll shorten that up. 17 Could you please repeat that 18 You have also been designated as the 18 question? BY MR. THORNBURGH: Ethicon person who will testify regarding all 19 19 20 testing related to the TVT products and particle 20 0. Yeah. This is an e-mail from Dan 21 loss. Correct? 21 Smith to Janice Burns which discusses problems of 22 22 particle loss that were being seen by doctors in the A. Yes, that's correct. field who were using the TVT product, right? 23 MR. THORNBURGH: Off the record. 23 24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the video 24 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 25 25 record, 3:18. the question. Page 508 Page 510 1 1 (Short break.) THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what it 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the video 2 looks like. 3 3 BY MR. THORNBURGH: record, 3:24. 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 Q. And in that context, Dan Smith says: 5 5 This is not going away any time soon, and O. Doctor, I want to mark as -- give me 6 one second. 6 competition will have a field day. Major damage 7 There we go. I am going to mark as 7 control offensive needs to start to educate reps and Exhibit Number 2255 an e-mail dated February 27, 8 surgeons upfront they -- that they will see blue 8 9 9 shit, and it is okay. This is why I wanted to 2004. 10 (Document marked for identification 10 launch TVT-O in clear. as Exhibit T-2255.) 11 Do you see that? 11 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 12 A. Yes. 13 This is an e-mail from Dan Smith to a 13 Q. And when you worked for -- as 14 number of -- or to Janice Burns dated February 27, 14 Ethicon, you recognize that there is -- at least 15 2004, discussing issues with TVT and particle loss. 15 during the mechanical cut days of TVT mesh, there 16 Right? 16 was a problem with particles falling away from the 17 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 17 mesh, right? 18 the question. 18 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 19 THE WITNESS: I've not seen this 19 the question; scope. THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 memo, and I am not sure that it relates to the 20 21 biocompatibility or particle loss in a preclinical 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 22 arena. I have to read through here --22 In fact, that same month -- I've 23 MR. THOMAS: I think they showed it 23 handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 24 to you at your last deposition. 24 Number 2256. 25 MR. THORNBURGH: Yeah. 25 (Document marked for identification

55 (Pages 507 to 510)

Page 511 Page 513 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 1 as Exhibit T-2256.) 1 2 MR. THOMAS: May I have one, please? 2 What's been marked as Exhibit 3 3 Number 2257 is a document or a fax that was received BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 4 That same year, in November of 2004, by Basso Sibylle to David Menneret, who said: 5 Ethicon received an e-mail concerning complaints 5 Attached is Dr. Eberhard's letter regarding TVT blue б 6 from Dr. Eberhard. tape. 7 It says: Dear all, please see 7 Do you see that? 8 8 attached below a letter with pictures of Yes. A. 9 competitor's device and its translation from Dr. 9 (Document marked for identification 10 Eberhard, an important customer in Switzerland, 10 as Exhibit T-2258.) 11 regarding mesh fraying. Regarding the mesh frayed 11 BY MR. THORNBURGH: I've marked as Exhibit Number 2258 12 complaints, decision is not open corrective 12 13 action -- a decision to not open corrective action 13 the translated letter from Dr. Eberhard, who writes: 14 14 Dear Emilie, Business Unit Manager Gynecare is based on the following memo. Could you please Switzerland. Please find attached a TVT tape which 15 give feedback? 15 16 16 was used as a demo unit for patients before they had So this is an e-mail regarding 17 Dr. Eberhard, who had written a letter to Ethicon 17 their operation. Already at the operation, it is regarding problems with the mesh devices, right? 18 embarrassing to see how the tape is crumbling. It 18 19 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 19 gets worse if there is stretch on the tape. 20 the question; scope. 20 I can't understand that no one will 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. It looks that to 21 solve the problem for such a long time. At least as 22 22 the tape has becoming blue, everyone has realized be the case. 23 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 23 that the quality of the tape is terrible. A tape 24 And David Menneret on November 9th --24 has to be weaved and should not crumble. Please try 25 of November 12th of 2004 wrote that: We already 25 one and you will see that the tape is crumbling. Page 512 Page 514 received similar complaints. This kind of issue is 1 1 Did I read that correctly? 2 usually attributed to over-tensioning of the tape 2 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form; 3 during the procedure. Fraying is inherent in the 3 scope. 4 4 product based on the mesh construction. When any THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 5 amount of tension is applied to the mesh, fraying (Document marked for identification 6 occurs. Stretching of the mesh increases the 6 as Exhibit T-2259.) 7 7 probability of fraying. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 8 8 Marked as Exhibit Number 2259 a Do you see that there? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 9 9 compilation of e-mails --10 10 the question; scope. MR. THOMAS: May I have one, please? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. THORNBURGH: I'm sorry, Counsel. 11 11 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 I am going to put it in the scope of 13 Q. -- a string of e-mails in which the deposition. So according to David Menneret, one 14 Charlotte Owens was one of the recipients and 14 15 of the problems with fraying and particle loss was 15 authors of the e-mails. 16 from tensioning of the mesh and specifically 16 Do you know who Charlotte Owens is? 17 tensioning of the TVT tape or the tape that was 17 I think we overlapped a little bit. being used by Ethicon, correct? 18 18 Obviously, she is a medical director of Gynecare. 19 MR. THOMAS: Same objection. 19 So she was in charge, the director of THE WITNESS: Yes. I think that's 20 20 the medical affairs part of Ethicon, right? 21 what they're referring to. 21 A. Yes, for Gynecare. 22 (Whereupon, a discussion was held off 22 For Gynecare. Q. 23 23 And she received, according to this the record.) 24 (Document marked for identification 24 document, an e-mail from Dan Smith, who appears to as Exhibit T-2257.) 25 have included an e-mail or an excerpt from something

56 (Pages 511 to 514)

Page 515 Page 517 authored by Steve Bell of Gynecare. 1 1 the question. 2 It says: Dear all, as more and more 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 3 customers now move to TVT blue and TVT-O with blue 3 Yes, Doctor? Q. 4 mesh, you may sometimes hear, I can see small blue 4 A. Yes. 5 pieces come off the mesh. What's wrong? 5 O. This doesn't -- this summary doesn't 6 The key points, it says, number two, 6 say remind physicians that Prolene mesh is 7 the same -- number one, Gynecare blue TVT mesh and 7 susceptible to surface degradation, does it? 8 Gynecare clear TVT mesh are exactly the same. 8 I don't know that I should be even 9 Number two, the same number of 9 commenting on this exchange between a marketing 10 particles came off the clear mesh when it was 10 person and the field. 11 stretched. 11 Well --Q. Do you see where it says "when it was 12 12 A. First, he's not a scientist. Second, 13 stretched"? Do you see that? 13 I am not sure what it's got to do with the 14 A. Yes. 14 preclinical data that we brought here to talk about. Okay. It's just that you see them 15 15 Q. I am going to put it all into 16 against the tissue and skin more when they are blue. 16 context. I assure you. 17 This is no different to what has happened in the 17 A. Okay. 18 past seven years with TVT. 18 But it says -- it doesn't say remind 19 physicians who are purchasing these permanent Reassure your doctors that this is 19 part of the success of TVT. The way we have cut the 20 20 implants which are going to be put into -- in and 21 mesh makes the edges softer, and we feel that this 21 around the vaginal area of the woman's body, that 22 has been a crucial success factor in TVT. Reassure 22 the surface area or the surface layer of the Prolene 23 23 that Prolene has proven to be inert. in the TVT is susceptible to surface cracking or 24 Do you see that? "Proven to be 24 surface degradation, right? 25 inert." Right? 25 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Page 516 Page 518 1 Yes. I see that. 1 the question. Scope. 2 In summary, be proactive. The 2 THE WITNESS: I want to make a 3 competition will try to target this, especially 3 distinction between particles shed from the mesh, Bard, as they have a sealed edge tape, and remind 4 4 which I consider a macroparticle, and the kind of 5 your customers it is the same as clear. It is 5 microparticles that you're alluding might shed from 6 proven safe implant. In the blue format over 6 or as a result of some sort of surface cracking 7 100,000 have been implanted worldwide. Remind them 7 observed on the Prolene fiber. Two different that the benefits -- of the benefits of blue mesh. 8 8 issues. 9 Remind them it is inert Prolene with over 25 years 9 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 10 of health. Remind them our wealth of clinical data 10 Both --11 with ultra low complication rates. 11 MR. THOMAS: Are you finished? 12 Do you see that? 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 13 A. Yes. I can read it. 13 MR. THOMAS: Sorry. 14 Okay. So number one is -- there's 14 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 15 particle loss being seen when the tape is stretched. 15 Both of which, by themselves, can 16 Do you see that? 16 elicit a -- an inflammatory response. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 17 17 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 18 the question; scope. 18 the question. THE WITNESS: Yes, I see it. 19 19 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 In fact, nanoparticles or 21 Okay. And, number two, we know from 21 microparticles will excite macrophages more than 22 what we've seen in the internal studies by Ethicon 22 macroparticles will. 23 that the Prolene in the TVT mesh is susceptible to 23 MR. THOMAS: Which question do you surface degradation, correct? 24 24 want him to answer? 25 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 25 BY MR. THORNBURGH:

57 (Pages 515 to 518)

Page 519 Page 521 1 O. Correct? 1 It's not the same implant condition 2 MR. THOMAS: Which question do you 2 that is occurring in women who are having these 3 3 want him to answer? You posed two of them. implants put in their bodies for the rest of their 4 MR. THORNBURGH: Both. 4 lives --5 MR. THOMAS: One at a time. 5 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 б MR. THORNBURGH: My last one first. the question. 7 THE WITNESS: So the first part, the 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 8 8 fragments that we've talked about that have been Q. -- right? 9 9 observed alongside the suture and in what I call MR. THOMAS: Scope. 10 10 macroparticles have a tissue reaction to them very THE WITNESS: I don't know all the 11 similar to the polypropylene fiber. 11 parameters of that condition that you make reference 12 And the second question in terms of 12 to, okay, because I suspect that each patient has 13 these microparticles that I make reference to that 13 different issues. 14 14 And this study was an attempt to make you allude would come off the surface as a result of 15 surface cracking, there's been no evidence in any of 15 the implantation procedure very consistent so that 16 16 the 49 documents that I've brought today that we could determine whether or not there is 17 there's an increase in tissue reaction over time. 17 stretching of the tape or deposition of particles in 18 And, in fact, in many studies, there's a diminution 18 the surrounding tissue. 19 19 of the tissue reaction over time. So there's no BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 evidence to support that second piece. 20 Q. You didn't answer my question 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 21 completely. 22 22 The truth is the testing that you and It's not the same implant condition 23 Ethicon were doing preclinically was really 23 that is occurring in women who are having these 24 marketing studies. They were studies to -- that 24 implants put into their bodies for the rest of their 25 were being conducted because of the threat from 25 lives. Page 520 Page 522 1 1 competitors like Bard. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 2 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 2 the question; scope. And, also, he did answer your 3 3 question. the question; scope. 4 THE WITNESS: Absolutely not. The 4 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 5 preclinical studies conducted by Ethicon were either 5 Well, number one, rabbits are 6 for regulatory submission or for internal 6 quadrupeds, not bipedal, right? 7 information to advance product development. 7 Well, I thought we were talking about 8 8 BY MR. THORNBURGH: the conditions of implantation, and it would have nothing to do with the number of legs. 9 9 When you did rabbit studies that 10 looked at particle loss in rabbits, the tape that 10 Well, we're talking about -- we're 11 was being implanted in the rabbits was not 11 talking about the condition, the real human 12 undergoing the same type of stresses and strains 12 condition, compared to the animal condition where 13 that the tape undergoes in the human environment or 13 you conducted these studies. 14 the human condition when the device is being 14 MR. THOMAS: He's not a clinical guy. 15 15 MR. THORNBURGH: Number one -- I implanted, correct? 16 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 16 think he can say pretty easily that rabbits are 17 the question; scope. 17 bipedal -- or quadrupeds, not bipeds. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 THE WITNESS: As I recall in that 18 19 study -- and we could make reference to it, and I 19 Q. Right? 20 20 probably should go to it -- that they implanted the A. I said I don't know all the 21 mesh in a manner that the mesh might be implanted in 21 conditions in the clinical situation that you're 22 22 patients; that is, insertion, passage through alluding to and whether or not they would compare 23 23 with the passage of mesh through skeletal muscle of muscle, which would offer up some tension, and then 24 24 implantation. rabbit. 25 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 25 Your rat study, which has previously

Page 523 Page 525 1 been marked as T-2133, ETH.MESH.05316775 --1 musculature. 2 MR. THOMAS: Which one are we talking 2 Okay. And how much mesh is implanted O. 3 3 in women during the implant process? about, Dan? 4 4 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of MR. THORNBURGH: Sorry. 5 5 MR. THOMAS: Which study? the question; scope. 6 MR. THORNBURGH: Yeah. The 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know that 7 histological evaluation and comparison of mechanical 7 number. That's a clinical issue, and it would 8 pullout strength of Prolene and Prolene Soft mesh in 8 depend on which TVT product you're talking about. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 9 a rabbit model. 9 10 Let's go ahead and mark it as an 10 Well, the more mesh, the more 11 exhibit. 11 particles there are to flake off of the mesh device, 12 It's already been marked, Exhibit 12 13 Number 2133. Sorry. 2133. It was marked at a 13 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of prior deposition. 14 14 the question. MR. THOMAS: Oh, okay. 15 THE WITNESS: I don't know that for 15 16 Do you have another one? 16 certain. 17 MR. THORNBURGH: Yeah, I do. Sorry. 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 I think I left the extra copy -- oh, found it. 18 You don't know that? O. 19 19 2133. A. 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 Q. Did you look at the Pariente study 21 Now, Ethicon was concerned about 21 before you came here today? 22 the -- what the competition would say about the TVT 22 A. No. 23 products as a result of the particles that were 23 Q. Do you recall discussing the Pariente 24 being seen with the TVT blue, correct? 24 study during your deposition last time? 25 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 25 The name sounds familiar. Page 524 Page 526 1 1 Do you recall that in the Pariente the question; scope. 2 THE WITNESS: Yeah. And I guess I 2 study, it was found that 8.5 percent of the 3 can't really address what Ethicon was thinking and 3 particles in the TVT mesh fell away from the TVT 4 why they did stuff, only to -- insofar as it 4 product? 5 reflects the documents that we brought here today to 5 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 6 talk about biocompatibility or any preclinical 6 the question; scope. 7 7 studies. THE WITNESS: I don't recall that 8 8 BY MR. THORNBURGH: information. BY MR. THORNBURGH: So you conducted a 14-day rabbit 9 9 10 10 study, right? Q. Did any of your studies try to mimic 11 A. Ethicon conducted such a study. 11 the stresses and strains that were used in the And women who have these devices 12 Pariente study during the implantation of the mesh 12 O. in rabbits, and in this case, in rabbits for 13 implanted in their bodies are -- the intention is 13 14 that these implants will remain in their bodies for 14 14 days? 15 the rest of the woman's life, correct? 15 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 16 A. 16 the question; scope. Yes 17 Now, how much mesh -- what was the 17 Do you have one to show him? size of the mesh implanted in the rabbits? THE WITNESS: Was it a clinical study 18 18 19 The mesh was -- the TVT tape width, 19 or a preclinical study? A. about 10 millimeters. That's what was implanted. MR. THOMAS: That's why I want you to 20 20 21 And samples of Prolene Soft mesh and ultrasonically 21 see it. 22 cut mesh were done in a very similar way. 22 MR. THORNBURGH: It was an ex vivo 23 And as I look on Page 23 study. 24 ETH.MESH.05316780, the intention was to leave 3 24 THE WITNESS: It could be ex vivo 25 centimeters of that mesh within the epaxial 25 from animals or humans.

59 (Pages 523 to 526)

Page 527 Page 529 1 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Well, then, you didn't consider the 2 Do you know sitting here today 2 level of force used when implanting a TVT-Retropubic 3 3 in women to try to mimic the same loads being whether the studies that you did were -- whether or 4 4 applied to the one and-a-half inch piece of mesh not you used the Pariente study to determine 5 5 particle loss in any of the studies that you did? that you're implanting in these rabbits, did you? 6 6 I can't speak to anything that was MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 7 7 done in the clinical environment. the question; scope. 8 8 THE WITNESS: It's not indicated in Did you ask anybody from the clinical 9 the study report, any reference to the Pariente 9 environment: Hey, you know what? We want to try 10 study. 10 to, in the preclinical environment, to test this 11 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 11 issue. We want to determine the amount of force or 12 What loads were used when implanting 12 loads that are being applied during the implantation 13 the 3-centimeter by 1-centimeter samples in these 13 of a larger piece of mesh in women so that we can 14 14 mimic that condition in the preclinical studies that MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of we're doing with one and-a-half piece of mesh? 15 15 16 16 That was not done -the question. 17 THE WITNESS: As indicated in the 17 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 18 18 study report, the mesh was drawn through the the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 epitaxial musculature, and whatever forces that 19 20 would offer the mesh, that's what happened. 20 You did not. Did you have any 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 21 discussions with anybody in the clinical arena to 22 22 determine the implant conditions in women to try to And can you hold up for the ladies 23 and gentlemen of the jury approximately 3 23 mimic those implant conditions in the animals that 24 centimeters? 24 you were testing this mesh in? 25 25 That's not indicated in this report. A. Maybe an inch and-a-half. A. Page 528 Page 530 1 So your study in rabbits was about an 1 Those discussions may have taken place. 2 inch and-a-half piece of mesh that was implanted in 2 Did you do that? Did you try -- did 3 the muscle of the rabbit for 14 days max, right? 3 you understand or try to understand the amount of 4 That's correct. 4 force or loads in any of the studies that you did A. 5 5 Did you measure the force by Newtons in -- that were -- that were needed for implantation 6 or the load by Newtons that would be used or was 6 in women so that you could mimic the same implant 7 used during the implantation process to determine 7 condition in your preclinical studies? 8 8 whether or not it would mimic the implantation MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 9 9 conditions in human women? the question. 10 10 No assessments of force required to THE WITNESS: Again, you're talking 11 implant the mesh samples was recorded, only the 11 about data that would be collected in a clinical 12 12 environment, and I am not here to address that other explant tensions. 13 Do you know what forces are used 13 than the preclinical data that we brought and 14 14 during the implantation process in women? anything that's relevant to it. 15 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 16 the question. Scope. 16 Did you discuss with anybody for any 17 THE WITNESS: It is a clinical 17 of the preclinical studies or before you walked in 18 question. 18 here today what the implant conditions are like in 19 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 terms of a force required to implant the stretching 20 20 Well, isn't that -- isn't that that's done during the implant procedure so that you 21 clinical information important when you're trying to 21 could gain a better understanding of your 22 determine particle loss in rabbits? 22 preclinical studies? 23 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of This preclinical study was an attempt

60 (Pages 527 to 530)

THE WITNESS: That's the kind of

24

25

the question.

to simulate implantation in patients. And it is

24

25

what it is.

Page 531 Page 533 1 information that would be in the clinical arena, and 1 before and after soft procedure, and values range 2 that's not part of what I am here to discuss. 2 from 0 to 8.5 percent of initial weight. 3 3 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Did you -- in any of your studies, 4 4 did you weigh the sample pre and post procedure? But you didn't discuss with anybody 5 in the clinical arena whether or not the preclinical 5 A. 6 studies that you're trying to rely on now were done 6 MR. THOMAS: Pre-implant? 7 in a condition that would mimic the human implant 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 8 condition? 8 Pre-implant and post explant. Q. 9 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 9 A. No. That would not be practical, 10 the question. 10 because there would be tissue adherent to the mesh. 11 THE WITNESS: I think I've answered 11 and it would alter its weight. 12 that three times, and the same answer I'll give now, 12 So you didn't look at the weight to 13 and that is this information would be collected in a 13 determine particle loss, did you? clinical environment and is not part of what I am 14 14 No. But we looked at something more important than that in the study that we discussed 15 here to discuss. 15 16 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 16 earlier, and that is whether or not particles were 17 Q. Let's go ahead and mark as 17 observed in the immediate vicinity of the implant. Exhibit 2260 the Pariente study. 18 18 You didn't look at weight, did you? Q. 19 (Document marked for identification 19 A. 20 as Exhibit T-2260.) 20 O. You didn't determine the percent of 21 MR. THORNBURGH: Dave, I have a copy 21 particle loss in any of your studies, did you? 22 for you, and I just don't have -- it's not stapled. 22 As I pointed out --A. 23 MR. THOMAS: That's fine. Thank you. 23 It's a yes or no question. Q. 24 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 24 A. As I pointed out, weighing a mesh 25 You've seen this study before, 25 after implantation would not be useful, because Page 532 Page 534 1 haven't you? 1 there would be additional weight of tissue adherent 2 I think I have, but it doesn't look 2 to it. 3 3 so familiar. The name does seem familiar, but I'd It could dissolve the tissue, right? Q. 4 have to read through it to see what happened here. 4 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 5 5 Q. Do you want to take a moment and look the question. 6 at it? 6 THE WITNESS: That would be a 7 7 possibility. A. Sure. 8 Okay. This looks like an in vitro 8 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 9 9 study. So you could have weighed it after 10 dissolution or dissolving -- desiccation of the Did you look at this study before you 10 11 came in here today? 11 tissue, right? 12 12 A. No. A. That's possible. That could 13 Q. You don't recall looking at the study 13 introduce other things that you would have to with me during your prior deposition? control for, but, clearly, there's no end to the 14 14 15 Again, I think the name rings a bell, 15 number of studies that could be conducted. 16 but I've looked at a lot of studies. 16 But you didn't do that study, did 17 Okay. Well, in the Pariente study, 17 you? 18 the investigators were looking at -- as their 18 A. 19 endpoint or one of their endpoints, particle loss, 19 And you didn't determine the O. 20 correct? 20 percentage of particle loss, correct? 21 Yes. 21 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of A. 22 22 Yes, I recall the study now. This the question. 23 one we discussed during the last deposition. 23 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 24 And it says here: To evaluate the 24 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 25 shedding of particles, each sample was weighed 25 The study goes on to say: During

61 (Pages 531 to 534)

Page 535 Page 537 1 surgical use, these articles are released in soft 1 it might be associated with. 2 tissue, and it is not possible to know where they 2 During surgical use, these particles 3 3 are released in soft tissue, and it is not possible 4 MR. THOMAS: There's no question 4 to know where they go. 5 pending. 5 That's what these authors write, б BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 correct? 7 Do you see that? 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Q. 8 Yeah, I see it. 8 A. the question; scope. 9 0. And that's true? When particles are 9 THE WITNESS: That is the opinion of 10 released into soft tissue, they can migrate, can't 10 these authors. 11 11 BY MR. THORNBURGH: MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 12 12 When these authors tested particle 13 the question. 13 loss, they found that the TVT lost the most 14 14 particles of all the things that were tested, THE WITNESS: That's not very likely. 15 With any particles, any macroparticles that would be 15 correct? 16 adherent to the mesh or they might flake off the 16 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 17 mesh in vivo, they would reside in the immediate 17 the question; scope. 18 vicinity of the implant, and they would be 18 THE WITNESS: Under the conditions of 19 surrounded by connective tissue, just like each 19 their testing, that's the case. 20 element of the mesh. 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 21 Q. And they found that TVT lost 22 22 When I get a splinter in my finger, 8.5 percent of the particles, right? no matter how deep it is, my body's -- my body's 23 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 24 inflammatory response to that little tiny piece of 24 the question; scope. 25 splinter will push that splinter out of my body, 25 THE WITNESS: I think -- I think they Page 536 Page 538 migrate it from where it found itself initially 1 mean 8.5 percent of the weight was lost as 1 2 until it's outside of my body, won't it? That 2 particulates. 3 happens, doesn't it? 3 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 4 A. That can happen if it's close enough 4 Yeah. I'm sorry. They found that 5 to the surface of your skin. 5 8.5 percent of the weight of the TVT sling was lost 6 So migration of particles is possible 6 to particles, correct? 7 as a result of the inflammatory process that's 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 8 8 taking place in the human body, right? the question; scope. 9 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 9 THE WITNESS: I think that's what 10 10 they're saying. the question; scope. 11 THE WITNESS: Highly unlikely. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 11 12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 12 Almost 10 percent of the TVT sling was lost in their study through particle loss, 13 Q. And that's based on what, sir? 13 14 My experience looking at implanted 14 A. right? 15 materials and the experience from the Prolene suture 15 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 16 NDA, which calls out macroparticles of the suture, 16 the question; scope. THE WITNESS: Eight and-a-half 17 likely resulting from a swaging process of 17 18 18 macroparticles that got adhered to the suture, and percent. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 they got implanted inadvertently with the suture. 19 Now, what loads were used to test TVT And what's observed is that there's a 20 20 Q. 21 tissue reaction around the filament of the suture 21 particle loss? 22 22 MR. THOMAS: In what context, Dan? and then adjacent to it, the particle, or the very 23 similar reaction around it. 23 MR. THORNBURGH: In this study. 24 24 MR. THOMAS: In which study? There's no evidence that that 25 particle will migrate away from the fiber from which 25 MR. THORNBURGH: The Pariente study.

62 (Pages 535 to 538)

Page 539 Page 541 1 MR. THOMAS: Thank you. 1 lying adjacent to the implant. It would have the 2 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 same kind of tissue reaction. It would be probably 3 3 Q. Measured in K per Newton. Do you not discernable against the background of 4 know what that means? Peak load? 4 implantation of a mesh, even if it had no particles. 5 5 Well, I'm just looking at the text (Document marked for identification 6 б as Exhibit T-2261.) where they talk about a soft procedure, and I'm 7 looking for the data that would be corresponding to 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 8 8 I marked as Exhibit Number 2261 a 9 I think if you look here, maybe this 9 side-by-side photograph of the -- a document that 10 10 might help. includes a side-by-side photograph of mechanical cut 11 Do you see Table 1? 11 TVT mesh and laser cut TVT mesh. 12 It shows low deformation curves? 12 Have you seen this before? 13 No. It looks like they gave each 13 A. I don't think so. 14 material a different load. 14 Do you see where it says side-by-side Q. 15 Q. Starting at? 15 relaxed after 50 percent elongation? MCM would mean mechanical cut mesh, TVT at .041 ranging to .012 for 16 16 A. 17 17 right? I-Stop. 18 Do you know how much load is used in 18 A. Yes. the implantation of the TVT? 19 19 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 20 A. I do not. 20 the question; scope. 21 Q. Do you know how much load you used 21 All of this is beyond -- excuse me. 22 when you implanted the 1.5 by -- 3-centimeter by 22 All of this is beyond what he's been designated for. MR. THORNBURGH: No, it's not. 23 1-centimeter piece of mesh in the rabbits use study? 23 24 A. That was not measured. 24 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 25 You don't know sitting here today if 25 LCM is laser cut mesh? Do you see Q. Page 542 Page 540 the loads that you used would have mimicked the 1 1 that? 2 loads used during the implantation of TVT in an 2 Do you see that? 3 actual woman, right? 3 I understand it's outside my area. A. 4 Well, as I mentioned four times 4 What -- what? No, it's not. I am Q. 5 previously, that would be data coming from the 5 going to put it in context. 6 original -- the clinical arena, clinical 6 What percentage of elongation was 7 environment, and it's not what I am here to address. 7 used in any of your studies to determine particle 8 8 And that information wasn't important loss? 9 9 for you when you designed the studies that looked at Did you ever measure the elongation 10 particle loss, was it? 10 that was being applied during the implantation of 11 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 11 this device in any of the preclinical studies that 12 12 you conducted? the question. 13 THE WITNESS: Obviously, it was not 13 This might be the sixth time that 14 considered necessary to execute this protocol. 14 I've responded to that question, and it's the same. 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 15 This is data that would be acquired 16 You would agree that if 8.5 percent 16 in the clinical environment and is not part of the 17 of particles are being lost during the implant 17 preclinical database that I'm here to discuss. procedure on the TVT mesh, that that would increase 18 18 No. I asked you a different 19 the inflammatory response. 19 question. My question was: In any of the MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of preclinical studies that you did or that Ethicon did 20 20 21 the question; scope. 21 to look at particle loss and tissue reaction, did 22 THE WITNESS: Highly unlikely, given 22 you ever look at or record the percentage of 23 the mass of material implanted as part of a tape. elongation during the implantation in the animal 23 24 Think about all of the monofilaments 24 study? 25 woven into a mesh, and think about some particulates 25 Not that I'm aware of.

Page 543 Page 545 1 Do you see where it says degradation? 1 contribution of a particle to the overall reaction 2 MR. THOMAS: Where? What page are 2 to the entire tape. 3 3 Inflammatory cells would be released you on? 4 MR. THORNBURGH: I'm on the 4 to attack that particle, to try to rid the body or the animal of those particles, correct? 5 side-by-side image of the MCM versus LCM. 5 б 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: The tissue reaction to these 7 You were designated as somebody that 7 particles would be no different to the tissue 8 8 would talk about evidence and studies regarding reaction to any filament in any part of the mesh. 9 degradation, right? 9 But there will be a tissue reaction, right? 10 MR. THOMAS: We provided the studies 10 11 on which he's prepared to testify. This is not one 11 A. Yes. 12 of the documents. 12 And when you increase the surface Q. 13 MR. THORNBURGH: You only provided 13 area of a foreign body, that will increase the 14 14 body's inflammatory response, won't it, sir? studies that would support your position, not 15 studies that would show that your position was 15 A. Any increase in tissue reaction will 16 incorrect. 16 not be perceptible against the background of tissue 17 MR. THOMAS: Now, we invited you to 17 reactions of the implanted tape. 18 ask him to review other things you wanted to be 18 When you increase the surface area, 19 prepared on, and you didn't. So this is -- if you 19 you increase the inflammatory response. Right, 20 want him to be prepared on it, he'll study it and 20 Doctor? 21 come back with an appropriate answer. He's not 21 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 22 22 prepared on it today. the question. 23 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 23 THE WITNESS: That's a general --24 Do you see where it says degradation, 24 that's a general principle. 25 25 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Doctor? Page 544 Page 546 1 I am not prepared to respond to those 1 And the principle is true. The 2 questions today. It is not part of the preclinical 2 principle -- the answer to that principle would be 3 data package that I put together to address 3 yes. When you increase the surface area, you 4 degradation questions. 4 increase the inflammatory response. 5 5 You see where it shows the particles A. Not in this case. 6 that were lost? Do you see that? Do you see all 6 In all other cases except for cases Q. 7 those flakes? 7 against Ethicon products? 8 8 I can see particles in the MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of A. 9 9 photograph. the question. 10 You're not suggesting to the ladies 10 THE WITNESS: In any case where the 11 and gentlemen of the jury that there won't be an addition of particles -- in any case where the 11 12 individual inflammatory response to each one of 12 addition of the inflammatory reaction to a particle those particles in tissue? could be perceived against a tissue reaction of the 13 13 14 14 implanted tape itself would be insignificant and It would pale by comparison to the 15 tissue reaction from the implanted tape. 15 unappreciable. 16 But there will be an increased 16 BY MR. THORNBURGH: inflammatory response or an inflammatory response to 17 17 General scientific principle is when the individual particle, correct? 18 18 you increase the surface area, you increase the 19 There will be an inflammatory 19 inflammatory response, right? 20 response to that individual particle, but it will MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 20 21 not be appreciated against the inflammatory response 21 the question. 22 22 THE WITNESS: That's a general of the entire case. 23 The phagocytes will try to gobble up 23 scientific principle. that foreign body, won't they? 24 MR. THORNBURGH: Off the record for a 24 25 One will not be able to differentiate 25 minute.

Page 547 Page 549 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the video 1 1 was created after a review of that entire list of 2 record, 4:14. 2 both literature searches of R&D central file. But. 3 3 clearly, I didn't type all this and organize this (Short break.) 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the video 4 and so on and so forth. 5 record, 4:25. 5 Now, are you -- you didn't come 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 prepared to talk about the number of the opinions 7 Q. Dr. Barbolt, the studies that you've 7 that you expressed in your expert report, correct? 8 8 listed for all of the designated topics that you MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 9 believed were relevant to those topics you included 9 the question. THE WITNESS: That was not the 10 within the list that we marked on the first day as 10 11 2241, correct? 11 intention. 12 MR. THOMAS: We marked the list --12 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 13 MR. THORNBURGH: Oh, I'm sorry. I 13 For instance, you didn't come apologize. Maybe we ought to do that. The problem prepared to talk about the biocompatibility or lack 14 14 is I have handwriting on mine. I didn't bring 15 15 thereof of a mismatched mesh, right? 16 16 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of another copy. 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 17 the question. What is that? 18 18 MR. THORNBURGH: Language in his Doctor --19 MR. THORNBURGH: Let's go off the 19 expert report. 20 record for a sec. 20 MR. THOMAS: Sorry. 21 (Whereupon, a discussion was held off 21 THE WITNESS: Mismatched mesh? 22 22 the record.) BY MR. THORNBURGH: 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 4:26, off the 23 O. Yes. 24 video record. 24 A. A lot of the topics in my expert 25 25 report are along the same lines of the topics that (Short break.) Page 548 Page 550 1 1 we've been discussing here. There is a great deal THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the video 2 record. It's 4:42. 2 of overlap. 3 This begins Tape Number 5, Volume 2 3 Well, in your expert report, on of the videotaped deposition of Dr. Thomas A. Page 12 of 27, you say: Movement of a mesh from its 4 4 5 5 original site of implantation can result from Barbolt. compliance mismatching. This is a mesh that is 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 7 stiffer in terms of bending rigidity than 7 Dr. Barbolt, we're going to mark as 8 8 an exhibit a list of studies that you chose which surrounding the tissue. 9 you believe were relevant to the 30(b)(6) topics 9 Are you prepared to talk about 10 that you were designated to discuss. It's been 10 Ethicon internal documents; for instance, documents marked as 2262. from Dr. Trzewik regarding the bio -- the 11 11 12 (Document marked for identification 12 biocompatibility or mismatching of mesh? Yeah. I'd have to look at that --13 as Exhibit T-2262.) 13 14 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 14 I'd have to look at my expert report and then look 15 Doctor, the 2262 list of studies are 15 at the reference to that particular article. 16 the studies that you chose that you believe were 16 Did you look at any of Dr. Trzewik's 17 relevant to the topics you were designated to 17 internal documents before you came here today? 18 MR. THOMAS: To prepare for this 18 discuss, correct? 19 19 deposition today? A. Yes, that's correct. 20 MR. THORNBURGH: Yes. 20 Q. Did anybody help you compile this 21 21 list? BY MR. THORNBURGH: 22 22 I mean, if you want to go there, I'll A. Yes. 23 Who helped you compile the list? 23 go there. I'm ready to go there. If you want to O. Counsel's staff or Ethicon personnel. 24 talk about the tissue and the biomechanical 24 A. 25 Ethicon personnel created the first list. This list properties of tissue compared to the biomechanical

Page 551 Page 553 1 properties of mesh, which can cause increased 1 trial, which is coming up. 2 inflammatory response as a result of mismatching, I 2 MR. THOMAS: You owe me a jordi date, 3 am ready to do it. But I need to know from you if 3 too. 4 you're ready to do it. 4 MR. THORNBURGH: Well, I'm trying --5 Well, I came prepared to talk about 5 you just let me know yesterday, I think it was, that 6 the preclinical studies that we've got in front of б the date I proposed was not a good date, so I am 7 us and behind us. 7 trying to get another date for you. I hope to have 8 8 that by today or tomorrow. Okay? MR. THOMAS: Short answer is no. 9 MR. THORNBURGH: Okay. 9 MR. THOMAS: Okay. 10 10 BY MR. THORNBURGH: MR. THORNBURGH: I am going to give 11 Q. And that's one example of expert 11 you a date before the trial. 12 opinions that you have that you're not prepared to 12 MR. THOMAS: Okay. Are you finished 13 discuss today, correct? 13 now? 14 MR. THORNBURGH: No. I'm just trying 14 A. That's correct. MR. THORNBURGH: Are you going to 15 15 to get some stuff on the record. 16 give me a date where we can take Dr. Barbolt's 16 MR. THOMAS: What was the number of that last exhibit? 17 expert deposition? 17 18 MR. THOMAS: To the extent that we 18 MR. THORNBURGH: 2262. 19 19 intend to offer Dr. Barbolt in areas beyond the MR THOMAS: Thank you. 20 scope of the 30(b)(6) designation, yes. 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 21 MR. THORNBURGH: Well, I mean, I have 21 Q. Do you believe Ethicon should have 22 all kinds of external Ethicon -- external scientific 22 done anything different in terms of the language 23 they used in the IFU that we looked at regarding articles on porosity. 23 24 Now, porosity was an issue regarding 24 degradation and the inflammatory response? 25 preclinical studies, but he's offering opinions 25 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form; Page 552 Page 554 1 regarding pore size in his expert report. I want to 1 scope. 2 have an opportunity to cross-examine him on non --2 THE WITNESS: I am here to represent 3 both internal and external documents that we have. 3 Ethicon with respect to these preclinical studies 4 Now, if he's prepared to do that now, 4 and their results. 5 5 because we talked about porosity, then I'll do that. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 But if you're going to offer him up for an expert 6 Based on the preclinical studies, 7 deposition on those issues, then I will reserve that 7 including the five-year and seven-year data from the 8 8 for another time. ten-year dog study and the other studies that showed MR. THOMAS: I think that the option 9 chronic inflammation, do you believe that Ethicon 9 10 is to reserve for another time, and we'll decide 10 should have done anything different, added any whether another time is necessary. And if we don't additional language, such that -- any additional 11 11 12 agree, I think the magistrate has already spoken to 12 language such that information would have been that. But I feel confident we'll agree. 13 13 disclosed to physicians in the IFU? 14 MR. THORNBURGH: So I don't need to 14 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 15 go through like degradation studies and --15 the question. 16 MR. THOMAS: No. 16 He's asking you from a preclinical perspective whether you would change the IFU. 17 MR. THORNBURGH: -- studies that he 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. As I indicated, 18 wasn't prepared to talk about? 18 19 19 MR. THOMAS: Correct. the IFU is not the responsibility of preclinical. MR. THORNBURGH: We can raise that at 20 It is responsibility of medical 20 21 another time and, hopefully, we can agree on a time 21 affairs folks, the regulatory folks, taking input 22 before --22 from all areas of product development, including 23 MR. THOMAS: A time and scope. I 23 preclinical. 24 24 agree. MR. THOMAS: He's asking you from a 25 MR. THORNBURGH: A time before the 25 perspective of preclinical whether you would, from

66 (Pages 551 to 554)

Page 555 Page 557 1 your preclinical experience, when you review the 1 No, I don't think that's necessary. 2 preclinical studies under the designations that have 2 I think all surgeons know that a permanent implant 3 3 been made, whether you as Ethicon would change the is going to be associated with some low level of IFU from a preclinical perspective. 4 4 chronic inflammatory reaction for the life of the 5 5 THE WITNESS: No. patient. 6 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 MR. THORNBURGH: Move to strike after 7 Adding information in the IFU 7 the word, no. 8 8 regarding the surface degradation is not a change Pass the witness and reserve some 9 that you think Ethicon should have made? 9 time for cross-examination. 10 10 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of MR. THOMAS: Let's take a break, 11 the question. 11 please. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 4:53. Off 12 THE WITNESS: It's not useful 13 information for the surgeon when there is no impact 13 the video record. 14 (Short break.) 14 on molecular weight and tensile strength of the 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the video 15 fiber. 16 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 16 record, 5:17. 17 Adding information to the IFU from 17 18 18 **EXAMINATION** a -- regarding the chronic inflammatory response 19 that you observed in all of your preclinical 19 BY MR. THOMAS: 20 studies, you don't believe that more definitive 20 21 language regarding the chronic inflammatory response 21 Dr. Barbolt, would you pick up Q. 22 should have been added to the IFU? Exhibit 2262, please. 22 23 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of A. Okay. 24 the question. 24 Q. And Exhibit 2262 is titled, 25 THE WITNESS: The tissue reaction to 25 "Deposition Subject Matter." And this is a document Page 556 Page 558 1 polypropylene-based material is well understood. 1 that you described towards the end of your 2 It's discussed in detail, including the chronic 2 deposition where you identified for counsel for 3 inflammatory reaction to Prolene sutures in the 3 plaintiffs all of those topics for which you 4 19 -- 1960s NDA submission. 4 gathered information to be responsive to the 5 5 The whole history of studies from the questions today. Correct? 6 mid '60s to current day has demonstrated a very 6 Yes, that's correct. A. 7 consistent tissue reaction profile to implanted 7 Q. And this multi-page document 8 8 obviously lists many studies. Do you have those polypropylene-based devices. 9 9 studies with you here today? BY MR. THORNBURGH: 10 10 So there is a chronic inflammatory Yes. They're in the various binders 11 response, not a temporary one, correct? 11 that you see around that are entitled with the MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 12 12 specific subject matter topics as are listed in 13 the question. 13 these sheets. 14 THE WITNESS: It's well understood 14 Q. How many boxes of binders did you 15 15 bring to the deposition today? that the initial reaction is transient and can verge 16 to a chronic inflammatory reaction and a fibrotic 16 Oh, I think there was 18 or 20. 17 response with more or less inflammatory cell 17 Q. 18 or 20 binders? 18 infiltrate, well documented in all the implantation 18 Binders. A. 19 19 studies. The first one on the list is for the O. 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 20 specifics of all testing related to the TVT 21 You don't believe that Ethicon should 21 products. 22 22 have added additional language in the IFU that Now, you understand there are discussed the chronic inflammatory response 23 multiple TVT products? 23 24 specifically using the word, chronic inflammatory 24 A. Yes. 25 response, in the IFU? 25 And so you went back and searched for Q.

67 (Pages 555 to 558)

Page 559 Page 561 1 all the testing that you could find for all of the 1 the scope. 2 TVT products? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 3 Yes. Each of the individual TVT A. BY MR. THOMAS: 4 4 products are -- and the data supporting their And the first five studies in your 5 preclinical studies are assembled in individual 5 degradation section are studies submitted to the FDA 6 б in connection with the Prolene suture NDA, correct? binders and titled according to the TVT product. 7 During the design and development 7 That's correct. 8 8 stages, including but not limited to, at least for And let's talk about those briefly. Q. 9 this section, it's porosity testing, particle loss, 9 Study of tissue reaction to the colorless and 10 10 degradation, and leaching, correct? pigmented monofilament polypropylene suture in the 11 A. Yes. 11 rat, rabbit, and the dog. 12 And the first one that we have listed 12 Just tell me briefly what those Q. 13 here is degradation. And you have notebooks here 13 studies are. 14 for degradation? 14 These were tissue reaction studies in A. 15 A. Yes. 15 three species of animals, with colored and 16 16 O. Correct? non-colored suture, looking at tissue reaction over 17 And those notebooks contain 46 17 time. 18 18 And how long were those studies? different documents? O. That's correct. There are 40 19 19 The rat study was two years. That's A. 20 different -- 46 different studies or documents 20 the lifetime of a rat. 21 related to potential degradation of TVT products. 21 The dog study was two years. And the 22 Now, the TVT, as you've explained in 22 rabbit study was 90 days. 23 your examination, didn't come into existence until 23 And are those considered long-term Q. 24 the late '90s, right? 24 studies? 25 That's right. The work started in 25 A. The two-year rat as a lifetime study Page 560 Page 562 the '97 time frame or so, and then I think the is certainly a long-term study, as with the dog 1 1 2 510(k) approval was in early 1998. 2 study of a two-year duration. 3 And the information that you list in 3 And what's the purpose of doing a 4 response to the degradation designation begins in 4 tissue reaction study to a polypropylene suture in 5 5 an NDA? 1964; is that right? 6 A. Yes, that's correct. 6 A. So for the purposes of a suture, the 7 And it runs in chronological order 7 most important thing that needs to be determined is O. 8 8 all the way up until 2007, right? the tissue reaction of the material over time. 9 9 Yes, that's correct. Q. And you have reviewed the tissue 10 Why did you include studies that 10 reaction studies from the NDA? predated the TVT? 11 11 A. Yes. 12 Well, the material used to 12 Q. And are the tissue reaction findings 13 manufacture TVT mesh is Prolene polypropylene 13 for the polypropylene suture approved by the FDA 14 filaments. And a great deal of work was done in the 14 similar to the findings that you have reviewed with 15 mid '60s and beyond, demonstrating biocompatibility 15 respect to Prolene mesh? 16 of that product and essentially received FDA 16 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection to the use approval. 17 17 of the word, approved, as well as outside the scope 18 Q. What is an NDA? 18 of his designation. THE WITNESS: The tissue reaction is 19 An NDA is a new drug application. 19 20 And at the time of the development of Prolene 20 very similar. 21 suture, polypropylene sutures were considered drugs. 21 BY MR. THOMAS: 22 And did Ethicon go through a new drug 22 Okay. And you understand that in 23 application in order to have FDA approve the 23 order for Ethicon to be able to market this 24 polypropylene suture that's now used in TVT mesh? 24 polypropylene suture, known as Prolene suture, the 25 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection; beyond FDA had to approve the NDA?

68 (Pages 559 to 562)

Page 563 Page 565 1 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection; move to 1 THE WITNESS: No. The tissue 2 strike. 2 reaction is pretty consistent over time. And in 3 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's an many studies, there's a diminution of the tissue 4 reaction over time. The kinds of qualitative 4 approval process. It's not like a 510(k) clearance. 5 5 BY MR. THOMAS: characteristics seen with Prolene polypropylene 6 б And as a matter of fact, in order to suture are the very same kind of qualitative changes 7 7 seen around filaments of the Prolene polypropylene market this suture, this Prolene suture, Ethicon had 8 8 to get approval from the FDA for the language that mesh. 9 went in the IFU for the Prolene suture? 9 BY MR. THOMAS: 10 10 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. And in any of the studies that you've 11 11 identified in the 46 studies in the degradation BY MR. THOMAS: 12 Did you know that? 12 section on T-2262, did you identify any failure 13 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection; lack of 13 issues with the mesh or the sutures due to any degradation of the mesh? 14 foundation, outside the scope. 14 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 15 15 No. And I would point to Tab 5, 16 BY MR. THOMAS: 16 where for the purposes of the Prolene suture NDA, 17 Q. And the language -- strike that. 17 there was a two-year study where Prolene suture was 18 So after the NDA studies, you pick up 18 implanted and tensile testing was conducted, and 19 a number of studies that begin in the '70s and go 19 there were no consistent changes in the strength of 20 through the '80s, into the '90s, all the way up to 20 suture over time. 21 the time when you start involving testing for the 21 So in these 46 studies that you were 22 TVT device, correct? 22 able to retrieve and review, did you find any issues 23 Α. Yes. 23 with degradation of the polypropylene suture that 24 O. And why did you include those studies 24 makes up both Prolene suture and Prolene mesh to 25 in your degradation section? 25 cause you any concern in the preclinical area about Page 564 Page 566 1 1 Those studies are part of the any adverse effects from the use of that suture due 2 database that -- that shows that the tissue reaction 2 to degradation? 3 3 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. to Prolene polypropylene filaments is very 4 consistent over time. 4 THE WITNESS: No. 5 5 BY MR. THOMAS: Now, in -- in the studies that have 6 been conducted since 1964, when you conduct a tissue 6 The next section in 2262 is called 7 reaction study such as those listed in T-2262, is 7 leaching. And, again, this is the specifics of all 8 8 degradation something that's always a component of a testing related to TVT products during the design 9 study? and development stages, including but not limited to 9 10 10 Yes, for absorbable or non-absorbable leaching. 11 11 sutures. In this case Prolene suture is a And what is leaching, for the jury? 12 12 Leaching is the movement of a non-absorbable suture. One needs to monitor what 13 the appearance of the suture looks like over time so 13 substance or substances from the body of an implant 14 that one can conclude there's no visible evidence of 14 to the surrounding tissues. 15 degradation from these tissue reaction studies. 15 Now, the leaching section of your 16 That's always a component of a tissue reaction 16 disclosure identifies 91 different documents in 17 study. 17 response to the leaching. I am going to get into the seven-year 18 18 Why are there so many documents that 19 dog study here in more detail in a little bit. But 19 you identified in response to the leaching issue? 20 20 from any of the 46 studies that you identified in Every implantation study is an 21 21 the degradation studies that you have brought here opportunity to evaluate any potential consequence of 22 with you today, did you find any degradation of any 22 leaching from an implanted device. And there are, 23 Prolene suture or Prolene mesh that you saw created 23 as I recall, some studies in here that look at 24 24 an increased inflammatory response? extracts of the device and administration of those

69 (Pages 563 to 566)

extracts to animals to look at whether or not there

25

MR. THORNBURGH: Objection.

25

Page 567 Page 569 1 is adverse reactions, for example, an intracutaneous 1 animals. And any leachables that would have adverse 2 2 impact to the surrounding tissues would be revealed 3 3 in a histomorphological evaluation of the section. And these studies are conducted for 4 Now, counsel made a number of 4 products in variation -- in products over time and 5 5 for many of the iterations of TVT mesh. questions about the fact that leaching is not a 6 6 primary or called out endpoint in each of these Now, you have different categories of 7 documents in the leaching section of this exhibit. 7 8 8 You have one section called in vitro. What is that? Is leaching something that a 9 These are studies where the device is 9 pathologist looks for in any in vivo study? 10 extracted to maximize leachables, and in this case 10 Absolutely. A pathologist would be 11 11 you would say leachables/extractables, because looking at the tissue reaction at the interface of 12 sometimes the extraction mediums can accelerate the 12 the implant and the surrounding tissues. And if 13 movement of substances from a mesh to the 13 there were increased reaction, there would be a 14 14 surrounding tissues. result of either the implanted material or any 15 15 These extracts are then tested in in leachables or a combination of both. 16 16 vitro systems which are very sensitive. Now, the leachables we've talked 17 And what is an in vitro system? 17 about include the additive package that you were 18 In vitro system is a cell culture 18 asked a number of questions about, correct? 19 19 system. And with respect to these studies, they A. Yes. 20 would be known as in vitro cytotoxicity assays. 20 O. The Santonox R, the DLTLP, and the 21 They're in a laboratory dish? 21 others in the John Karl memorandum, do you remember Q. 22 22 those? A. That's correct. 23 Q. 23 A. Yes, that's correct. Okav. 24 A. They are cells in culture and petri 24 O. And those additives have been in the 25 dishes, or nowadays in wells of 96 well plates where 25 product since the beginning, as that memorandum Page 568 Page 570 cells are incubated, and then the extracts are added described. Do you remember that? 1 1 2 to the cells. 2 A. That's correct. 3 3 And then an evaluation is made, as we And the in vivo section which begins 4 discussed earlier, whether or not there's any impact 4 on Number 35. Number 35 is an NDA study that's 5 5 March 10, 1964, correct? on cell viability in accordance with standard USP 6 scoring scheme, as we discussed earlier. 6 A. Yes. 7 If we look at your chart for 7 Q. So from March 10, 1964 all the way up 8 8 leaching, beginning with Number 7 all the way to March 11, 2010, you have in vivo studies where you've looked at the effect of any leachables on 9 through Number 34, you have in vitro studies that 9 10 you've reviewed for the cytotoxicity of Prolene, 10 these in vivo studies? 11 11 correct? A. That's correct. 12 12 And the additives in the suture A. Yes, that's correct. 13 Q. And you reviewed and prepared to 13 package that we talked about before at some length, 14 14 all those additives were approved by FDA, weren't testify about each of those studies, to talk about 15 how they relate to the leaching issues, if any, 15 they? 16 associated with Prolene suture in mesh? 16 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. THE WITNESS: FDA approved the 17 Yeah, that's correct. And we have 17 18 original product, Prolene suture. And that suture 18 talked about some of those today in the context of 19 19 TVT mesh and the 510(k) submission of TVT original. contained those additives. Now, beginning with Number 35 all the 20 BY MR. THOMAS: 20 21 21 way to Number 91, you have in vivo studies for And in any of the in vivo studies 22 leaching. What are the in vivo studies for 22 beginning on Page 35 -- on Number 35, all the way up 23 leaching? 23 to 91, did you find any adverse effects due to 24 leaching from the Prolene suture or the Prolene mesh 24 These -- these would be implantation 25 studies where the materials are implanted in in those results?

Page 571 Page 573 1 A. No. 1 The tissue reaction to the TVT mesh 2 0. Now, why are the results from in 2 was very comparable to the non-in vitro cytotoxic 3 3 vitro tests different from the results in in vivo Prolene flat mesh, in that there were -- was no 4 4 tests sometimes? impact on wound healing over time on the face of the 5 5 In vitro tests are very quick to implant. A. б б conduct. They are relatively inexpensive. However, And what does that mean in terms of 7 they only provide directional information and not 7 whether there is a cytotoxic effect of Prolene mesh 8 definitive information. 8 in vivo? 9 O. What do you mean by that? 9 A. Now, the least impact might be 10 Well, they are studies conducted 10 delayed wound healing, and that was not observed. A. 11 outside the body. Artificial environment. 11 If there were a more severe impact as 12 And if you have a positive 12 a result of leachables, that would have translated 13 cytotoxicity test in vitro, what does that mean to 13 into an increased tissue reaction. 14 the question of whether the substance is going to be 14 In other words, rather than minimal 15 cytotoxic in vivo or in an animal? 15 to mild reactions, we might have seen moderate to 16 16 marked reactions. Again, that would be a watch owl, 17 that is a directional information. And then you 17 Was there any evidence in this 28-day Q. 18 would need to do more relevant in vivo studies to 18 rat study that you conducted to determine the extent 19 determine if the in vitro cytotoxicity translated it 19 to which the TVT mesh in the Ulmsten device was 20 into any in vivo cytotoxicity or any adverse impact 20 cytotoxic, that it was, in fact, cytotoxic in vivo? 21 21 Any evidence at all? on wound healing. 22 22 And in this case, as discussed in A. No, there was not. 23 your direct examination, there was a positive 23 Now, in the category that we have for Q. 24 cytotoxicity test in vitro for the TVT device, 24 that section, it's Category 4, and you don't need to 25 25 go to it unless you want to. correct? Page 572 Page 574 1 1 A. That's correct. A. Okay. 2 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. More 2 There are three other -- why don't 3 3 you go ahead. It's about four from the back. than one. 4 BY MR. THOMAS: 4 Four from the back. Okay. Yes. 5 5 So what did Ethicon do when it had There's five tabs. 6 its positive cytotoxicity response to follow up on 6 O. And the first one is a study that we 7 that? 7 just discussed, the 28-day rat study? 8 8 A. Yes, that's correct. Ethicon conducted a 28-day study in 9 And that was a GLP study, correct? 9 rats, looking at the implantation -- the tissue Q. 10 reaction to the -- or after the implantation of TVT 10 A. What does it mean to be a GLP study? 11 11 Q. 12 12 A GLP study would be a study You were designated as the person 13 13 most knowledgeable regarding a 28-day intramuscular conducted in compliance with the FDA good laboratory 14 tissue reaction study in rats of polypropylene mesh 14 practices regulations. 15 in the TVT (Ulmsten) device (PSE 97-0197); is that 15 As we discussed earlier, all studies 16 correct? 16 are conducted in accordance with SOPs and standard 17 17 A. Yes. policies and procedures. 18 18 And that's the study to which you An FDA GLP study has an additional 19 19 just referred where Ethicon actually did an level of scrutiny, and that is outside, independent review of various phases of a study and a review of 20 implantation study in rats to determine the extent 20 21 to which the TVT mesh was cytotoxic in vivo, 21 the final report in comparison to the raw data to 22 22 correct? ensure that they reflect individual animal data. 23 23 The next three entries in Category 4, A. That's correct. 24 Q. And what was the finding of that 24 where you're the person most knowledgeable about

71 (Pages 571 to 574)

this 28-day intramuscular study that we've just been

25

study?

Page 575 Page 577 91-Day Tissue Reaction Study"; is that right? 1 discussing, deals with a mesh called Vypro mesh, and 1 2 a cytotoxicity assessment for Vypro mesh. 2 Yes. It's tab -- its Tab 5 here on 3 3 What is Vypro mesh? this list. 4 4 And that tests the Prolene 5 mil Vypro mesh is a composite mesh Q. 5 consisting of the filaments of polypropylene and 5 mesh, correct? 6 6 polyglactin 910 yarn. A. That's correct. 7 And is Vypro mesh a hernia mesh? 7 And the Vypro mesh, a couple of O. Q. 8 8 Yes. It would be considered -versions of the Vypro mesh? A. Q. 9 And did a preclinical test on Vypro 9 A. Yes. 10 10 mesh determine whether it was cytotoxic? O. And there were no cytotoxic findings 11 Yes. As part of the development of 11 as a result of that 91-day study for either Prolene 12 Vypro mesh, some biocompatibility studies were 12 5 mil mesh or the Vypro mesh, correct? 13 conducted, and the in vitro cytotoxicity study was 13 That's correct. There was no 14 14 one of them. evidence of increased tissue reaction in the Vypro 15 Q. And what was the finding of the Vypro 15 study in spite of there being evidence of in vitro 16 16 cytotoxicity in a manner very similar to a TVT mesh. cytotoxicity test? 17 Vypro mesh was cytotoxic in vitro. 17 The last document on the leaching 18 And so what did the company do? Did 18 schedule, going back to where you were, Number 6, is 19 it not market it? 19 a May 8, 2013 document, and it's titled 20 Well, as part of the biocompatibility 20 "Biocompatibility Risk Assessment For The Gynecare 21 assessment, they then conducted a intracutaneous 21 TVT Product Family." 22 22 reactive study looking at extracts of the suture What is that? 23 23 that would get leachables and extractables and then Let me catch up to you, David. 24 ejected them into the skin of rabbits to look at 24 What's the tab number? 25 evidence of local irritancy. 25 Tab 6. Q. Page 576 Page 578 1 And what was the finding from that 1 Tab 6. This was a technical file 2 intracutaneous study? 2 that was updated just recently at the request of the 3 It was negative. There was no 3 European Union for the whole family of TVT products, 4 evidence of irritancy. The reaction was negligible. 4 essentially a compilation of the history of TVT 5 5 family of products, outlining component materials, So once it passed the intracutaneous 6 in vivo test, did the company then get clearance to 6 tests -- biocompatibility testing that was 7 market the product? 7 appropriate in accordance with tissue contact 8 8 Yes. categories, and an evaluation of the A. 9 9 O. So at least in one other circumstance biocompatibility results coming to a final 10 10 in which you have been involved and the company has assessment of whether or not the biocompatibility of Gynecare family of products conducted, in light of 11 been involved, there has been a positive 11 12 cytotoxicity test for a mesh that you followed up. 12 the current version of ISO 10993 standards, not 13 13 And then after doing in vivo testing, you determined realizing that these standards changed every five 14 that it's appropriate to market the mesh? 14 years and that the standards in place in 1997 would 15 Yes. And I should say in addition to 15 be different than the ones in place in 2013. 16 16 the intracutaneous reactivity test where extracts So some of the goal of this exercise 17 are injected into rabbit skin, of course there was 17 was to apply current 2013 standards against the biocompatibility testing program conducted for TVT 18 an implantation study that we discussed at length, I 18 19 19 think these last few days, and that is the 91-day family of products to see if, in fact, the 20 study where the tissue reaction to Vypro mesh was 20 biocompatibility risk assessments done at the time 21 21 compared to many other meshes, and the tissue still hold. 22 22 And that would relate also back to reaction was found to be acceptable with appropriate 23 tissue integration. 23 the testing done on polypropylene sutures back in 24 1964 with the NDA, wouldn't it? The tissue reaction study you're 24

72 (Pages 575 to 578)

MR. THORNBURGH: Objection.

25

talking about now is T-2242, titled "Exploratory

Page 579 Page 581 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. 1 testing? 2 In the same manner that we've discussed and 2 A. Yes. 3 3 leveraged that early data on poly -- Prolene And why did you pick the documents Q. polypropylene fiber for suture, it's also relevant 4 that you have here, beginning in 1964, the 38 4 5 for Prolene meshes and TVT. 5 documents, going all the way up to 2007? Why did 6 BY MR. THOMAS: 6 you include those? 7 And does the biocompatibility risk 7 Particles were observed in the Q. A. 8 8 Prolene suture NDA submission. And as I pointed out assessment for the Gynecare TVT product family of this morning, they resulted in an inflammatory 9 May of 2013 include a leaching component? 9 10 reaction very similar to that reaction around the 10 A. Yes. 11 11 0. And so this product -- the studies filaments of the suture. 12 You talk about fragments and you've 12 and the documents that you have in the leaching 13 section of your documents that you brought with you 13 talked about particles. Are fragments and particles 14 today covers some 49 years, correct? 14 different? 15 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 15 A. As I mentioned this morning, I see a 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 big difference there. 17 BY MR. THOMAS: 17 A fragment of a suture is likely to 18 And in those 49 years of 91 18 have been related to the swaging process or the 19 documents, did you find anything that suggests that 19 cutting lengths of suture, or a fragment of suture 20 there's anything leaching from polypropylene 20 gets attached to the suture and then gets implanted 21 sutures -- excuse me. Strike that. 21 22 In your 49 years of documents, you 22 That's different than the 23 23 covered some 91 different documents. Did you find microparticulates that we discussed earlier, looking 24 any evidence of any leaching in vivo that led to any 24 at data from the seven-year dog study. 25 adverse reaction in a preclinical study? 25 And so the 38 studies that you've Page 580 Page 582 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 1 included in your section of particle loss from the 1 2 THE WITNESS: No. 2 period, 1964 to 2007, you've looked for the extent 3 BY MR. THOMAS: 3 to which there's been any adverse consequences noted 4 The next section that I have in this 4 in preclinical studies from any kind of particle 5 5 loss of sutures and mesh? disclosure, which is T-2262, is the specifics of all 6 testing related to TVT products during the design 6 Yes, although fragments are noted in 7 and development stages, including particle loss. 7 the NDA submission and in the Postlethwait study that 8 8 Now, tell me the difference between we discussed earlier. In the early going, in the 9 development of Prolene suture, I've not seen 9 the clinical and the preclinical analysis of 10 10 personally in any of the implantation studies that particle loss. 11 I've conducted any sort of fragment of filament next 11 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 12 THE WITNESS: The preclinical 12 to a filament in an implantation study. 13 assessment of particle loss is one that can be done 13 And you talked before about the 14 in any implantation study where the implant is 14 particle in the NDA study and the kind of reaction 15 visualized against the surrounding tissue. And if 15 that -- tissue reaction with respect to that 16 there are any particulates there, they would be 16 particle. 17 With the particle in the NDA study, 17 observable. 18 did you find any adverse inflammation or tissue 18 I am not sure about the clinical 19 reaction that had any consequences to you for a 19 arena. I don't know that I can speak to that. 20 preclinical perspective? 20 BY MR. THOMAS: 21 Okay. The clinical arena involves 21 A. No. 22 22 humans, and that's not work that you do? Q. Why? That's correct. 23 23 It was the same kind of reaction 24 24 O. And you are aware of the particle around the fragment as there was around the suture. 25 loss issues insofar as they relate to preclinical Think about a tissue reaction around

73 (Pages 579 to 582)

Page 583 Page 585 1 the earth and a tissue reaction around the earth and 1 the Pariente study. 2 moon. The tissue reaction around the earth is 2 I've got the 2260. I'm looking for A. 3 3 around the interface of the earth and the 2130. 4 4 I'll get this copy to you. atmosphere. And then there is the moon on the side Q. 5 of the earth with a very similar reaction around its 5 Maybe it was discussed yesterday, and 6 б it's in this stack, yeah. I can probably get it, interface with substance and atmosphere. 7 You answered the question at least 7 David. 8 8 seven or eight times today about whether more It's all right. I've got another Q. 9 material implanted leads to an increased tissue 9 copy. 10 10 reaction, and you said as a general proposition, The Pariente study is the particle 11 that's true. Is that fair? 11 loss study that counsel discussed with you at length 12 Yes, I think so. I think that's a 12 at T-2260. 13 general principle. Again, as I also mentioned, the 13 If you go to the first page of 14 14 details and particulars need to be determined on the T-2260, down in the lower right-hand corner, it 15 basis of an implantation study. 15 reads: Mechanical testing was performed with a 16 And -- and how much additional 16 7-centimeter length sample (n=5) on an Instron 4466 17 material -- strike that. 17 with a 500-Newtons sensor using the software Series Are you able to evaluate the extent 18 18 IX-7 to program the setup. 19 19 to which additional material creates a tissue What is an Instron machine? 20 response that's unacceptable from a preclinical 20 An Instron machine is a piece of 21 study? 21 equipment that can determine the tensile strength of 22 22 a fiber by pulling at both ends and determining the A. Yes. I think in every implantation 23 study, one can make that determination. 23 strength at -- the force at which it breaks. 24 In your evaluation of all of the 24 And how did Pariente use an Instron 25 studies in the particle loss section of your 25 machine to test the extent to which particles were Page 584 Page 586 1 designation, the 38 studies over 43 years, did you 1 shed from the meshes that they tested? 2 find any unacceptable tissue response to any 2 Well, it looks like he put each mesh 3 particles in those studies? 3 on the Instron machine and pulled it until it broke. 4 Yeah. The only --4 And as I look on Table 1 of that 5 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 5 study, it looks like each of the meshes were pulled, 6 THE WITNESS: The only studies that 6 as one might expect, a different peak load, 7 7 even talk about particles or fragments is the NDA depending on their biomechanical characteristics. 8 8 work in a study done in 2002, Tab 33, that was done And at what point in this process specifically to look at whether or not particles 9 9 were particle loss measured? Are you able to tell 10 would be present after implantation of lengths of 10 that? 11 TVT tape. And, in fact, none were observed. 11 A. Could you repeat the question? 12 12 Yes. At what point in this BY MR. THOMAS: 13 Would you get 2260 in front of you, 13 experiment were the particle losses measured? 14 please. That's the Pariente study. I don't have I think at break. 14 A. 15 the number of the rabbit study. 15 Q. 16 MR. THOMAS: Do you happen to have 16 I think at break. As I look at this A. 17 that, Dan? 17 Figure 3, there's a break, obviously, and then 18 MR. THORNBURGH: The test number or 18 there's a drop in force because there is a break. 19 19 Is 2260 a preclinical study that the exhibit number? O. MR. THOMAS: The exhibit number. 20 20 Ethicon conducts to evaluate particle loss? 21 I do have it. I'm sorry. 21 A. Ethicon did not conduct this study. 22 22 MR. THORNBURGH: 2133. Does Ethicon -- strike that. Q. 23 23 Is this a preclinical study? BY MR. THOMAS: 24 2133. Can you get 2133 and 2260? 24 This is kind of bench-top 25 2133 is the March 5, 2003 rabbit test, and 2260 is 25 biomechanical testing.

74 (Pages 583 to 586)

	Page 587		Page 589
1	Q. What is the difference between	1	T-2130?
2	bench-top biomechanical testing and preclinical	2	A. That's 33. 2133?
3	testing?	3	Q. Yes.
4	A. Well, I guess it can be considered	4	A. You keep saying 30.
5	preclinical because it's done before, you know, the	5	Q. I'm sorry. Thank you.
	product gets to clinic. But it's different than	6	A. What was the page number?
7	preclinical in my mind that has to do with in vitro	7	Q. Page 35.
8	or in vivo experimental studies with products in	8	A. Okay.
9	animals.	9	Q. You see under the category,
10	Q. Okay. And why is it important to you	10	approximate average thickness of fibrous tissue
11	to measure products in vitro or in vivo in animals?	11	located between the mesh fiber bundles strike
12	A. Well, because any bench-top is an	12	that. Let me start over again.
13	artificial environment designed to look at a	13	On Page 35 of Exhibit T-2133, there
14	specific parameter under certain conditions. And in	14	is a table called "Histological Observations,"
15	my mind, an in vivo study where there is an	15	correct?
	implantation of a product, it's more clinically	16	A. Yes.
	relevant because it simulates the patient	17	Q. And what are histological
18	environment.	18	observations?
19	Q. If you look at T-2130, this is the	19	A. These are observations by the study
20	two-week rabbit study; is that correct?	20	pathologist looking at evidence of tissue reaction
21	A. 2133?	21	and integration and the evidence of fibrosis or any
22	Q. Yes.	22	other impact of the surrounding tissues.
23	A. Yes, a two-week rabbit study.	23	Q. And there is a category that's there.
24	Q. And if you look at the abstract on	24	It says: Inflammatory cell infiltrates only
25	Page 3, the objectives of the study were to compare	25	associated with the mesh.
	Page 588		Page 590
1	the mechanical strength and histological response of	1	What is that? Right in the middle.
	Prolene mesh and Prolene Soft mesh in skeletal	2	A. Yeah. It looks like they're calling
	muscle of the rabbit, correct?	3	out the tissue reaction associated with the mesh
4	A. Yes.	4	versus a tissue reaction to the skeletal muscle
5	Q. And this is the same Prolene mesh	5	which was injured during the implantation process.
6	that's used in TVT?	6	Q. And in the far right-hand corner
7	A. Yes, that's correct.	7	excuse me the far right-hand column, there is a
8	Q. And one of the specific endpoints of	8	specific category for mesh particles within muscle.
9	this study, this two-week rabbit study, T-2130, is	9	
			And for each one of these animals,
10	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed	10	And for each one of these animals, they specifically look in the histology to try to
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10 11	they specifically look in the histology to try to
	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed	l .	
11	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed particles inside the rabbit, correct?	11	they specifically look in the histology to try to identify any particles that may have been in the
11 12	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed particles inside the rabbit, correct? A. Yes, that's correct.	11 12	they specifically look in the histology to try to identify any particles that may have been in the rabbit in two weeks; is that correct?
11 12 13 14	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed particles inside the rabbit, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And how did the study do that?	11 12 13	they specifically look in the histology to try to identify any particles that may have been in the rabbit in two weeks; is that correct? A. That's correct.
11 12 13 14 15	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed particles inside the rabbit, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And how did the study do that? A. The implant site was explanted and	11 12 13 14	they specifically look in the histology to try to identify any particles that may have been in the rabbit in two weeks; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And do they find any particles in the
11 12 13 14 15 16	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed particles inside the rabbit, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And how did the study do that? A. The implant site was explanted and the tissue reaction was assessed. And, obviously,	11 12 13 14 15	they specifically look in the histology to try to identify any particles that may have been in the rabbit in two weeks; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And do they find any particles in the histology for any of the rabbits?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed particles inside the rabbit, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And how did the study do that? A. The implant site was explanted and the tissue reaction was assessed. And, obviously, that would include the implant and any particulates	11 12 13 14 15 16	they specifically look in the histology to try to identify any particles that may have been in the rabbit in two weeks; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And do they find any particles in the histology for any of the rabbits? A. No. No particles were observed for
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed particles inside the rabbit, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And how did the study do that? A. The implant site was explanted and the tissue reaction was assessed. And, obviously, that would include the implant and any particulates that might be present, as that was one of the called	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they specifically look in the histology to try to identify any particles that may have been in the rabbit in two weeks; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And do they find any particles in the histology for any of the rabbits? A. No. No particles were observed for any for any at any implantation site.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed particles inside the rabbit, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And how did the study do that? A. The implant site was explanted and the tissue reaction was assessed. And, obviously, that would include the implant and any particulates that might be present, as that was one of the called out objectives in this particular experiment,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they specifically look in the histology to try to identify any particles that may have been in the rabbit in two weeks; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And do they find any particles in the histology for any of the rabbits? A. No. No particles were observed for any for any at any implantation site. Q. And this is a two-week study. Does
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed particles inside the rabbit, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And how did the study do that? A. The implant site was explanted and the tissue reaction was assessed. And, obviously, that would include the implant and any particulates that might be present, as that was one of the called out objectives in this particular experiment, although for me, any implantation study I would be	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they specifically look in the histology to try to identify any particles that may have been in the rabbit in two weeks; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And do they find any particles in the histology for any of the rabbits? A. No. No particles were observed for any for any at any implantation site. Q. And this is a two-week study. Does the fact that this is a two-week study as opposed to
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed particles inside the rabbit, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And how did the study do that? A. The implant site was explanted and the tissue reaction was assessed. And, obviously, that would include the implant and any particulates that might be present, as that was one of the called out objectives in this particular experiment, although for me, any implantation study I would be looking for particulates, but this was called out in	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they specifically look in the histology to try to identify any particles that may have been in the rabbit in two weeks; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And do they find any particles in the histology for any of the rabbits? A. No. No particles were observed for any for any at any implantation site. Q. And this is a two-week study. Does the fact that this is a two-week study as opposed to a six-month study or a ten-year study have any
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed particles inside the rabbit, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And how did the study do that? A. The implant site was explanted and the tissue reaction was assessed. And, obviously, that would include the implant and any particulates that might be present, as that was one of the called out objectives in this particular experiment, although for me, any implantation study I would be looking for particulates, but this was called out in this study.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they specifically look in the histology to try to identify any particles that may have been in the rabbit in two weeks; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And do they find any particles in the histology for any of the rabbits? A. No. No particles were observed for any for any at any implantation site. Q. And this is a two-week study. Does the fact that this is a two-week study as opposed to a six-month study or a ten-year study have any impact on whether this is a valid study to determine
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to evaluate the extent to which the mesh shed particles inside the rabbit, correct? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And how did the study do that? A. The implant site was explanted and the tissue reaction was assessed. And, obviously, that would include the implant and any particulates that might be present, as that was one of the called out objectives in this particular experiment, although for me, any implantation study I would be looking for particulates, but this was called out in this study. And so they would look at the tissue	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they specifically look in the histology to try to identify any particles that may have been in the rabbit in two weeks; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And do they find any particles in the histology for any of the rabbits? A. No. No particles were observed for any for any at any implantation site. Q. And this is a two-week study. Does the fact that this is a two-week study as opposed to a six-month study or a ten-year study have any impact on whether this is a valid study to determine the extent to which mesh particles may be found

75 (Pages 587 to 590)

Page 591 Page 593 1 reaction and a fibrotic response to occur around any 1 observations of encapsulation that were observed 2 particulate if it were present. that were not confirmed upon histological review. 3 3 Okay. And the histology in this Is that fair? two-week rabbit study, 2133, was consistent with all 4 That's correct. I recall that 4 A. 5 of the other Prolene tissue response tests that 5 discussion. б 6 Q. you've gotten since 1964, correct? And you were the person who conducted 7 Yeah, that's correct. If you look at 7 the histological review, correct? 8 8 the inflammatory cell --A. Yes. 9 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. Sorry. 9 0. And how is it that what might appear 10 If you can just give me a hair of a 10 on a microscopic level to be encapsulation, upon 11 11 histologic review, may prove something else second --12 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 12 altogether? 13 MR. THORNBURGH: -- I'd appreciate 13 A. Yeah. The deficiency of a 14 14 it. I've got to get an objection in. macroscopic observation is that it cannot see through the tissue. For example, if I were to put 15 THE WITNESS: That's fine. 15 16 BY MR. THOMAS: 16 this piece of paper on top of this -- the title of 17 Q. Let me read the question again. 17 this document, you would not see that. 18 And the histology in this two-week 18 That would be the result of a 19 19 rabbit study, 2133, was consistent with all of the macroscopic observation. You could only see the 20 other Prolene tissue response tests that you've 20 surface. And that's a directional information, as I 21 gotten since 1964, correct? 21 mentioned. 22 22 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. The histomorphological evaluation of THE WITNESS: Yes. So if you look in 23 23 the implant site looks at a cross-section of the 24 the column, inflammatory cell infiltrates only 24 implant, top to bottom, through and through. So not 25 associated with the mesh, for every mesh, that would 25 only can the pathologist see the surface coating, Page 592 Page 594 1 be Prolene Soft mesh, Prolene mechanical cut, which 1 but they can see all the other components through 2 is TVT mesh, and Prolene ultrasonic cut mesh, which 2 the mesh implant. 3 would be a laboratory-made device to simulate a 3 Okay. So which is the more valid Q. 4 different cutting process for TVT tape, all of the 4 observation? 5 5 inflammatory reactions were minimal. MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 6 And, further, if you look at the 6 THE WITNESS: The histo -- the 7 approximate average thickness of fibrous tissue, 7 histomorphological evaluation is the definitive 8 8 what I would call fibrosis in studies that I've result. 9 BY MR. THOMAS: 9 read, located between the mesh fiber bundles -- and 10 this is measured -- attempted to be measured in 10 Okay. Sorry to jump around. 11 11 microns, as we've seen in some early report --Going back to the Pariente study, 12 pathology assessment schemes -- the results at 7 and 12 which was T-2260, and the Ethicon two-week rabbit 14 days are -- there's no distinct encapsulation for 13 13 study, which is T-2133, which is the better study 14 any product. 14 from a preclinical perspective for Ethicon to 15 BY MR. THOMAS: 15 evaluate the safety and efficacy of its product? 16 What does that mean, no distinct 16 I always lean towards in vivo studies 17 encapsulation? 17 to simulate a patient population. 18 That the fibrotic response was 18 And what value to you in preclinical Q. 19 relatively minimal. 19 context is 2260, the Pariente study? A. 20 Let's talk about encapsulation 20 It's informational. 21 quickly. I am jumping around a little bit, and I 21 Q. Any value to you from a preclinical 22 22 apologize. perspective other than what they state? 23 In questions yesterday from counsel 23 A. 24 24 in -- with respect to T-2242, the exploratory 91-day The next section in your disclosure 25 tissue reaction study, there were some macroscopic is the porosity section. And the porosity section

76 (Pages 591 to 594)

Page 595 Page 597 1 for the development of mesh products only contains 1 perspective? 2 12 entries. And counsel inquired at length about 2 A. 3 3 why you only had 12 studies to support the porosity MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 4 testing for the TVT device. 4 BY MR. THOMAS: 5 5 And I think we've established pretty Now, you were questioned at some 6 clearly that T-2247, the 1973 rabbit study, is the 6 length about why you haven't done any more porosity 7 first study conducted by Ethicon on Prolene mesh for studies on 6-mil Prolene mesh since the 1973 study. 8 8 tissue reaction, correct? Why is that? 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 9 A. Well, there's -- in preclinical 10 10 O. And we went through that study at science, there are limitations on the number of 11 some length. 11 animal studies that can be conducted. USDA animal 12 12 Is the tissue reaction profile found welfare regulations require experimental 13 in 2247 for Prolene mesh used in TVT consistent with 13 institutions to justify the use of additional 14 the tissue reaction profile found in other Prolene 14 animals. And part of that justification is making a 15 15 statement that this work has not been conducted mesh marketed by Ethicon? 16 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 16 previously, and if so, then further studies are not 17 THE WITNESS: First, is that exhibit 17 allowed. 18 that you called out the '73 study? 18 In the 91-day rat study, T-2242, BY MR. THOMAS: 19 there is an extensive section and literature 19 20 Q. Correct. 20 research -- literature search contained in the data 21 A. Then the response would be that the 21 for that study. Do you recall that? 22 tissue reaction profile reported in the 1973 study 22 A. Yes. 23 23 represents the kind of tissue reaction seen in Q. And why is that literature search set 24 studies conducted since then. 24 forth in that study? 25 Including the 91-day rat study using 25 Part of the --Page 596 Page 598 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. the 5 mil mesh? 1 1 2 A. That's correct. 2 THE WITNESS: Each research 3 3 And in all of the porosity studies institution has an institutional animal care and use 4 that are listed, the 12 that are listed here, the 4 committee whose job is to have oversight over all 5 5 finding of tissue reaction with respect to Prolene experimental studies and as part of that oversight, 6 mesh, does it meet the same profile? 6 requires a literature search of either the public --7 A. Yes. 7 well, the public and internal databases to make sure 8 8 that previous studies that have been conducted will Q. And what is that profile? 9 9 A relatively mild reaction, an acute not be repeated. 10 10 BY MR. THOMAS: phase, which is transient and passes, because the implant is biocompatible. The tissue reaction 11 11 After Ethicon obtained the results 12 transitions to a low level chronic inflammatory 12 from the test in 2247, which is a 1973 rabbit test, 13 reaction and a fibrotic reaction that encapsulates 13 was there any reason to conduct further tissue 14 elements in a three-dimensional way of the mesh. 14 reaction studies for this Prolene flat mesh? 15 And that tissue reaction is sustained 15 No. And all tissue reactions 16 through the -- for the duration of each of the 16 conducted on various iterations of Prolene mesh over 17 studies, and in many of those studies, there is a 17 time showed a very comparable tissue reaction as 18 18 diminution of that reaction over time. described in the 1973 study. 19 19 And so the 12 studies that you site And that diminution in the reactions Q. O. 20 or the change in the reactions that you've just 20 in connection with your porosity analysis all have a 21 described is what you've described to counsel as a 21 consistent tissue reaction profile? 22 22 long-term chronic reaction? A. Yes. 23 23 And is the tissue reaction profile A. That's correct. O. 24 that is described in those 12 studies consistent 24 O. And does the long-term chronic 25 reaction present any risk from a preclinical with the language in the IFU that you talked about

77 (Pages 595 to 598)

Page 599 Page 601 1 at length with counsel for the plaintiff? 1 A. Yes. 2 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 2 0. And you're prepared to talk about all 3 3 the biocompatibility testing done for each of those THE WITNESS: Yes, I think so. 4 4 devices? BY MR. THOMAS: 5 5 The next category that you were asked A. Yes. б б about -- excuse me -- that you were designated on is O. Now, next category is Category CC, 7 Section BB. And you were asked to provide the 7 and you were asked to be the person most 8 8 specifics of all clinical, preclinical, and medical knowledgeable, Rule 30(b)(6) designee, for animal 9 testing related to all of the TVT products, and you 9 testing records for biocompatibility as part of the 10 10 were responding to the preclinical piece of that. design of the product. Correct? 11 Do you recall that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Yes, I do. 12 Q. And here you have listed 64 different A. 13 So as a part of that, you gathered 13 documents, correct? all of the testing that Ethicon did for each of the 14 14 A. Yes. 15 devices. Is that fair? 15 Q. And you're prepared today to talk 16 16 about all of these 64 documents concerning the That's correct. 17 And to the extent that Ethicon 17 animal testing records for biocompatibility as a Q. leveraged prior testing from Prolene sutures, you've part of the TVT products? 18 18 19 also identified that? 19 Yes. A. 20 A. That's correct. They're all 20 MR. THORNBURGH: Dave, what section 21 relevant. 21 are you on? 22 Okay. And you did that for the TVT 22 MR. THOMAS: CC, which is called 23 device, correct? 23 animal testing records for biocompatibility as part 24 A. Yes. 24 of the design of this product. 25 You did that for the TVT-O device? 25 BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Page 600 Page 602 1 1 Now, Category DD asks for the person Α. That's correct. 2 Q. You did that for the TVT-Secur 2 most knowledgeable concerning the evaluation of data 3 3 and results of any preclinical studies and testing device? 4 4 regarding your TVT products and states that all A. Yes. 5 5 documents responsive to this category have already O. You did that for the TVT-E device? 6 That's correct. 6 been identified. A. 7 Q. And the TVT-A device? 7 And so all of the documents that we 8 8 A. That's correct. have just been through are responsive to this 9 category, and you have those here with you today? 9 O. And this included any new component parts that were added to any of the TVT devices. 10 10 A. That's correct. You were asked by the plaintiffs to provide that 11 11 Q. Category EE says the development and 12 information for all of the tools that might 12 coordination of any preclinical studies. And to the 13 accompany those devices? 13 extent that you have studies responsive to this 14 14 category, those have been identified in previous A. That's correct. 15 15 categories as well, and they're here with you today? And you have notebooks of all the 16 tests that were conducted on each of those TVT 16 That's correct. 17 devices here today to talk about the -- every aspect 17 The next category is one that we spent a good deal of time on. Next category deals 18 of the -- any new components to any of the TVT 18 19 19 with the identity of, the location of, and the devices? 20 substance of any and all studies, data, and/or other 20 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 21 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. evidence that form the basis of the following 22 22 claim/statement included in the attached BY MR. THOMAS: 23 And, also, as a part of this, you 23 instructions for use for the TVT products. 24 have biocompatibility risk assessments for each of 24 And the statement is that animal 25 these devices. Isn't there? studies show that implementation of Prolene mesh

78 (Pages 599 to 602)

Page 603 Page 605 elicits a minimal inflammatory reaction in tissues, 1 1 suture NDA, correct? 2 which is transient and is followed by the deposition 2 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 3 of a thin, fibrous layer or tissue which can grow 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. 4 through the interstices of the mesh, thus 4 BY MR. THOMAS: 5 5 incorporating the mesh to adjacent tissue. And that was based upon the studies, 6 Your first tab is 1964. Why do you 6 one through five, that appear under this section of 7 include information from 1964 in the materials that 7 the disclosure? 8 8 you designate in response to this category? A. Yes, that's correct. Long-term 9 A. As -- as we discussed earlier --9 implantation studies and long-term retention of MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 10 10 breaking strength. 11 THE WITNESS: -- the Prolene 11 Now, if you go to Tab 6, the Miller 12 polypropylene suture forms the basis for the Prolene 12 study, what did you learn about the -- the issue of 13 polypropylene mesh, the same Prolene polypropylene 13 tissue enzymes in the advent of polypropylene 14 filament. 14 sutures? 15 And so any studies that are relevant 15 A. This is a paper in the open 16 to the tissue reaction of suture are relevant in a 16 literature. We can look at it in detail if we need 17 way to the filaments that comprise Prolene 17 to, which, as you say, is Tab 6. 18 polypropylene mesh. 18 But I recall there's some language in 19 BY MR. THOMAS: 19 there that talks about the Prolene polypropylene 20 And the tissue reaction studies that 20 suture is resistant to the effects of tissue 21 were part of the NDA were reviewed by FDA in the NDA 21 enzymes. 22 approval process, correct? 22 O. And what was it about other sutures 23 That's correct. 23 in use at the time that created a risk of 24 O. And FDA ultimately approved the use 24 degradation from tissue enzymes? 25 of the Prolene suture for sale in the United States 25 Yeah, this is very significant, Page 604 Page 606 because at the time, another monofilament suture, as 1 1 under the new drug application? 2 That's correct. 2 Prolene suture, was catgut suture, and that was made 3 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 3 of intestinal collagen from animals, and it's known 4 4 BY MR. THOMAS: to degrade over time. 5 5 And FDA ultimately approved the So to have a suture that doesn't 6 language that appears up above in the IFU in 6 degrade in the presence of tissue enzymes, whether 7 7 substance for the Prolene suture? it's placed in the stomach or part of an 8 8 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. inflammatory process or it's in the pancreas, that's 9 9 something that would be new to many surgeons. THE WITNESS: That's correct. 10 10 Now, you talked at length about the BY MR. THOMAS: 11 And the 44 documents that you cite 11 fact that molecular weight and tensile strength are 12 below this category, are all of these consistent 12 the two key components for you in preclinical to 13 with the language that appears in the IFU on which 13 evaluate the extent to which degradation is a 14 you're designated? 14 significant event, correct? 15 A. Yes. 15 A. Absolutely. 16 Now, the next category says the 16 Q. In any of the 59 -- excuse me -- 49 O. 17 material is not absorbed, nor is it subject to 17 papers, from 1964 to 2013, did you identify any degradation or weakening by the action of tissue 18 Prolene suture or mesh that underwent degradation in 18 19 19 the form of change in molecular weight or loss of enzymes. tensile strength that caused you concern from a 20 20 Now, this language was also part of 21 21 the original instruction for use for the preclinical perspective? 22 22 MR. THORNBURGH: I just want to polypropylene -- excuse me -- the Prolene suture? 23 23 object to the representation that even molecular A. That's correct. 24 weight studies were even done in the 40 or so --24 And this language was specifically 25 approved by the FDA in its approval of the Prolene 40 -- however many studies that are in this list.

79 (Pages 603 to 606)

Page 607 Page 609 1 1 Are you representing to the Court A. Yes. 2 that molecular weight studies were done in each one 2 Q. As a pathologist reviewing the data 3 3 of these tests? that's been provided to you, are you able to review 4 4 that data and determine the extent to which those MR. THOMAS: No, I'm not. I am 5 5 asking -various grading scales can be analyzed to reach a 6 6 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. Move to common result? 7 7 strike. A. Yes. 8 8 That's a representation that you've Q. And tell me how you do that. 9 been making to this jury this entire time. 9 A. Well, you look --MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. I don't 10 MR. THOMAS: Please. No speeches to 10 11 the jury. That's not appropriate. You know that. 11 even understand the question. MR. THORNBURGH: It's fair 12 12 BY MR. THOMAS: 13 representation, honest ones. 13 Q. You can answer the question. 14 BY MR. THOMAS: 14 A. Answer the question? 15 Dr. Barbolt, with respect to the 49 15 You look at the individual 16 documents that you've identified in response to this 16 observations from each of the studies and you make a 17 issue of the materials not absorbed, nor is it 17 judgment based on the description and the severity 18 subject to degradation or weakening by the action of 18 scores that might be associated with that 19 tissue enzymes, did you find any information in any 19 observation about what really happened. 20 20 form that caused you concern that there was So for me to go back and look at a 21 degradation from a preclinical perspective that 21 study conducted under the Sewell scheme that we 22 22 talked about yesterday, I could reinterpret those caused you concern? 23 23 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. results in a manner that I would have recorded the 24 THE WITNESS: No. 24 result if I were going to be doing that work today. 25 BY MR. THOMAS: 25 It takes some work, and it needs to Page 608 Page 610 1 Category 4 is the person most 1 be done by a person trained in histomorphological 2 knowledgeable regarding a 28-day intramuscular 2 evaluation, but it's not a difficult task. 3 reaction study. 3 Why do pathologists record in detail 4 We already talked about that. That's 4 what they observe? 5 the study that you did after the positive 5 A. That forms the basis for their 6 cytotoxicity study in the Ulmsten device where you 6 interpretation of the study results. 7 then did the intramuscular study to determine the 7 And does that allow someone to come 8 extent to which the TVT was going to be cytotoxic in 8 behind them to analyze the extent to which they agree with those findings? 9 vivo. 9 10 10 Absolutely. And the -- and the --A. That's correct. 11 and the safety mechanism for that is the fact that O. And that result was negative? 11 the slides are considered the ultimate raw data in a 12 That's correct. There was no 12 13 evidence of in vivo cytotoxicity. 13 pathology study. 14 And you were the person who ran that O. 14 This allows another pathologist to go 15 test? 15 behind the study pathologist and re-read those 16 Yes. I was the study director and 16 slides to generate their own set of data and their A. 17 study pathologist. 17 own conclusions to see how they compare with the original study pathologist. It's done very 18 Q. And you're prepared to talk about 18 19 19 that test today? commonly. 20 20 A. And is that the reason why you try to 21 In questioning yesterday, you were 21 preserve slides where you can of these kinds of 22 shown a variety of grading scales used by 22 studies? 23 pathologists over the years to evaluate tissue 23 Yes. Yes. Every intention is to Α. 24 response from various implantation studies. Do you 24 maintain raw data as long as possible. 25 recall that? 25 Now, you talked before in the 91-day

80 (Pages 607 to 610)

Page 611 Page 613 study, T-2242, you were the pathologist who reviewed 1 1 which the study pathologist believes reflects the 2 those slides, correct? 2 microslides. 3 3 A. That's correct. Q. In your training, education, and 4 And you talked about how you may have 4 experience in your area of expertise, do Q. 5 either recorded the data on an Excel spreadsheet or 5 histologists keep the notes that they initially make 6 perhaps made notes before you made your final 6 when they ultimately record their findings in their 7 7 report; is that right? final report? 8 8 A. That's correct. A. 9 Q. And I think you also said that you 9 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 10 10 didn't retain any of the notes that you might have Are you talking about histologists 11 kept on your initial findings that were later 11 that have a litigation hold in place? recorded in the document which is 2242. Is that 12 12 THE WITNESS: It wouldn't matter to 13 fair? 13 me. 14 That's correct. 14 MR. THOMAS: In 2000, the year, 2000. A. 15 O. MR. THORNBURGH: It wouldn't matter Is that common? 15 16 16 A. That's standard industry practice. to you? 17 Q. Tell me what you mean by "standard 17 MR. THOMAS: Let's take a break. 18 industry practice." 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 19 Well, pathologists have an 19 video record at 6:23. 20 opportunity to go back to the original data, that's 20 This concludes Tape Number 5, 21 the slide, this week, next week, some other 21 Volume 2 in the videotape deposition of Dr. 22 22 period -- point in time. Thomas A. Barbolt. 23 Many times studies occur over a long 23 (Short break.) 24 period of time, and a pathologist may be involved in 24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 25 a lot of different studies. So at the end of a long 25 video record. It's 6:34. Page 614 Page 612 1 This begins Tape Number 6, Volume 2 1 period of time, a study pathologist may want to go 2 back and revisit the original observations from the 2 of the videotape deposition of Dr. Thomas A. 3 first look. 3 Barbolt. 4 And maybe something that's -- that is 4 BY MR. THOMAS: 5 5 observed at a later time point now causes the Dr. Barbolt, in response to an 6 pathologist to reevaluate those earlier slides. 6 objection from Mr. Thornburgh, you volunteered it 7 There could be many iterations of slide evaluation. 7 wouldn't matter to you if there was a litigation 8 8 But when I say it's standard industry hold in place about whether you keep notes. practice, it's the signed individual animal 9 Have you ever destroyed any documents 9 10 observations that becomes the raw data for the study 10 or discarded any documents that you knew were 11 subject to a litigation hold in this case? report. 11 12 Okay. Why are your notes not raw 12 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection; asked and Q. 13 data? 13 answered. 14 14 THE WITNESS: No. A. Because they can change over time. 15 Okay. And what is raw data to a 15 BY MR. THOMAS: Q. 16 pathologist insofar as the histology report goes? 16 You were asked a number of questions about preclinical tests and symptoms of delayed 17 A. The slides. 17 18 wound healing, ulceration, and increased 18 And what significance is the report Q. 19 19 that the pathologist -- the pathologist makes in the inflammation. 20 Of the studies that we have just been 20 study? 21 21 A. I don't understand the question. through in great detail, did you see any evidence of 22 22 delayed wound healing in the tissue integration Okay. What does the histology report 23 represent insofar as your review of the slides? 23 studies that you reviewed that you would attribute 24 24 It represents the raw data signed off to Prolene mesh? 25 by the study pathologist. And that's the results MR. THORNBURGH: Objection.

Page 615 Page 617 1 THE WITNESS: No. 1 degradation, were you able to identify in any of the 2 BY MR. THOMAS: 2 numerous studies that we've just identified any 3 increased inflammation that you were able to 3 Well, same question for Prolene Q. 4 sutures. 4 attribute to Prolene mesh? 5 5 A. No. Α. No. 6 6 Q. In all of the studies that we've just (Document marked for identification 7 described in some detail, were you able to find any 7 as Exhibit T-2263.) 8 evidence of ulceration in those animal studies that 8 BY MR. THOMAS: 9 vou would attribute to Prolene mesh? 9 Q. Let me show you what I've marked as 10 10 A. No. Deposition Exhibit 2263. 11 Were you able to find any evidence of 11 2263 is the binder that you prepared O. 12 ulceration due to Prolene suture in those studies we 12 for the seven-year dog study. Do you see that? 13 just described? 13 A. 14 No. 14 Q. And the seven-year dog study is what A. counsel asked you many questions about I guess 15 Q. And, finally, of all of the studies 15 earlier today. Is that fair? that we just went through in great length, did you 16 16 17 find any increased inflammatory response that you 17 A. Yes. 18 were able to attribute to any leachables from 18 O. And I want to go through that study 19 19 Prolene suture? with you a little bit. 20 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 20 I'll represent to you that this 21 THE WITNESS: No. 21 document has in it a number of documents that hadn't 22 22 been marked, and that's why I marked it all BY MR. THOMAS: 23 23 Were you able to find any increased together. And just because it's going to be easier -- and I'll try to save time -- I'm going to 24 inflammatory response that you were able to 24 25 attribute to leachables from Prolene mesh? 25 mark the final report separately, because I can't Page 616 Page 618 1 put my hands on it very quickly, and I don't want to 1 Α. No. 2 Were you able to find any increased 2 keep you here any longer than I have to. 3 inflammation that you were able to attribute to 3 (Document marked for identification 4 4 particle loss for Prolene suture? as Exhibit T-2264.) 5 5 MR. THOMAS: I'll mark 2264 the same A. 6 Q. Were you able to find any increased 6 report that we marked earlier today. This didn't 7 7 inflammation that you were able to attribute to have the folded back front page. 8 Counsel, it's 2264. 8 particle loss from Prolene mesh? 9 9 BY MR. THOMAS: A. No. 10 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 10 Q. Exhibit 2264 is the October 15, 1992 report that says: Seven-year data for ten-year 11 BY MR. THOMAS: 11 Were you able to find in all of those 12 Prolene. Do you recall that? 12 13 13 studies that we've just discussed any instance of A. Yes. 14 delayed wound healing that you were able to 14 Q. And you were asked a number of 15 attribute to degradation of Prolene suture? 15 questions earlier about this document concerning the 16 16 scanning electron microscopy conducted at that time. A. 17 Q. How about any degradation of Prolene 17 Do you recall that? 18 mesh? 18 A. 19 And you identified in the report 19 O. A. No. where someone observed cracks on the surface of some 20 With respect to ulceration, were you 20 21 able to find evidence in any of the studies that 21 Prolene mesh. Fair? 22 we've just identified any ulceration that you were 22 Yes. A. 23 able to attribute the degradation of Prolene mesh? 23 Dr. Barbolt, when does a surface O. 24 A. 24 crack in Prolene mesh raise preclinical issues that 25 Q. And, likewise, with respect to need to be investigated further?

82 (Pages 615 to 618)

Page 619 Page 621 1 When there's a loss in tensile 1 A change in molecular weight is --2 strength. I think that's the -- that would be 2 MR. THORNBURGH: Same objection. I'm 3 3 the -- the final straw. There might be impact on sorry. 4 molecular weight, but if there was no impact on 4 THE WITNESS: -- is a quantitative 5 tensile strength, that would be the -- that would be 5 measure. That would suggest it's quite reliable. 6 the -- the definitive endpoint. 6 And it would be a measure of degradation of the 7 Why are surface cracks alone, without 7 polymer. 8 8 any evidence of tensile strength issues or molecular BY MR. THOMAS: 9 weight, why don't they raise preclinical issues for 9 O. And what is tensile strength? you? 10 10 Tensile strength is the force 11 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 11 required to break a fiber, in a -- in a brief 12 THE WITNESS: Because they don't have 12 description. 13 an impact on molecular weight, which would be 13 And why is a loss of tensile strength 14 14 evidence of degradation of polymer chains. And if important to you as a preclinician? there were degradation of polymer chains, that would 15 15 Tensile strength is a measure of 16 be reflected in a loss in tensile strength. 16 fiber integrity. It's a measure of presence or 17 So those two endpoints are key 17 absence of degradation. 18 preclinical endpoints. Other endpoints are 18 And for suture, it's critical, 19 19 informational. They're not so important if they because if a suture breaks because of a loss of 20 don't have an impact on those two endpoints. 20 tensile strength, it can have very serious 21 BY MR. THOMAS: 21 consequences for patients when used for 22 22 Q. And tell the jury what molecular cardiovascular repair. 23 23 weight is. And if there is a loss of strength of 24 Molecular weight is a measure of the 24 fiber and in mesh, there could be a reduction in 25 length of the polymer chain. The longer the polymer 25 burst strength of the mesh, and so that it doesn't Page 620 Page 622 1 1 perform its function as intended. chain, the heavier its weight. And biomaterials are 2 comprised of many chains of polymers. So a higher 2 Q. On Exhibit 2264, which is the 3 molecular weight would suggest a polymer, in this 3 October 15, 1992 report titled, "Seven-Year Data For 4 4 case, fiber, with a pretty high tensile strength. Ten- Year Prolene Study," ERF-85-219, down under the 5 5 paragraph headed "IV and GPC," it says: Gel Q. And what does a change in molecular 6 weight tell you as a preclinician? 6 permeation chromatography (GPC) was run on Prolene 7 7 It gives a measure of the stability sutures explanted from dogs after seven years. The 8 8 GPC data was compared to data from a current 4/0 of the polymer. 9 If the molecular weight changes, what 9 Prolene suture. 10 happened to the polymer? 10 What does that mean? MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. Outside 11 11 4/0 suture was the suture size that 12 the scope of his expertise. 12 was implanted in the dogs. And so to make a 13 13 He's already testified at length that relevant comparison, they selected a 4/0 suture out 14 he's not a polymer scientist. I've already asked 14 of package to make the comparisons. 15 him these questions, and he couldn't give me answers 15 Okay. The results indicate there was to them. 16 16 no significant difference in molecular weight 17 17 MR. THOMAS: I don't think you asked between the 4/0 Prolene suture and the seven-year 18 18 that question. 19 19 What significance of that -- is that But go ahead. MR. THORNBURGH: I did. 20 20 to you as a preclinician? 21 21 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat, MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 22 22 THE WITNESS: That is strong evidence David? 23 BY MR. THOMAS: 23 that there's no polymer degradation taking place. 24 24 BY MR. THOMAS: What does the change in molecular 25 weight tell you as a preclinician? 25 Turn now, please, to Exhibit 2263.

83 (Pages 619 to 622)

Page 623 Page 625 MR. THORNBURGH: What page is that? 1 1 I'm sorry. What was the last? 2 I'm sorry. 2 MR. THOMAS: The analytical chemistry 3 3 department notes. The last two numbers are 218. MR. THOMAS: Exhibit 2263. 4 4 MR. THORNBURGH: Got it. BY MR. THOMAS: 5 5 If you go to the last three pages of BY MR. THOMAS: б 6 And do you understand these to be Exhibit 2263, there is a document titled -- dated 7 October 19, 1992. 7 notes taken in the analytical chemistry department 8 8 for testing conducted on these mesh -- these suture And it says: Interim report on the 9 physical testing of Prolene, PVDF, Ethilon, and 9 explants? 10 Novofil after seven-year subcutaneous implantation 10 A. Yes. 11 11 in the Beagle dogs. O. And down to the bottom of the page, 12 Do you see that? 12 it says: Prolene site one and Prolene site six with 13 A. 13 molecular weights of 322,000 and 323,000 compared to 14 a molecular weight of 324,000. 14 Q. And what is a BSR study? 15 What is the significance of that to A. BSR is an acronym that stands for 15 16 16 you as a preclinician? breaking strength retention. 17 And how does breaking strength 17 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 18 retention compare to tensile strength? 18 THE WITNESS: The polymer is not 19 Breaking strength retention would be 19 showing any significant changes in molecular weight. 20 determined by tensile testing. 20 And as the comments indicate below, a comparison --21 Basically, they would look at out of 21 and this is a summary of that molecular weight data. 22 package suture and do tensile testing to determine 22 A comparison of seven-year explants 23 breaking strength. And then they would explant 23 to current 4/0 Prolene sutures indicates no 24 suture from these dogs after seven years and do 24 significant degradation. 25 similar tensile testing and make a comparison. 25 BY MR. THOMAS: Page 624 Page 626 1 And in 1992, tests were conducted. 1 O. And that's dated October 9, 1992, 2 and it reads here: The attached table shows the 2 down in the lower left by Eugene Muse. 3 physical properties of explanted and baseline 3 Yes. October 9, 1992. A. 4 samples of size 5/0 Ethilon, Novafil, Prolene, and 4 If you turn the page and go to 220. Q. 5 PVDF (N) sutures up to the seven-year mark of the 5 A. Okay. 6 ten-year BSR study. 6 And 220 is a document dated O. Reading further, it says: Novofil 7 7 September 21, 1992. The analyst's signature, it 8 samples show a corresponding decrease of 14 percent 8 looks like Robin Ragland, and comparing, again, in breaking strength, while Prolene and PVDF show no 9 Prolene sutures for dog 1995 site three. Do you see 9 10 significant change after seven years of 10 that? 11 implantation. 11 A. Yes. 12 What's the significance of that 12 And the Prolene suture for dog 1995, 13 finding to a preclinician in evaluating the 13 site three, was compared to a current Prolene suture 14 stability of Prolene sutures? 14 4/0. 15 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 15 Again, what's going on here? 16 THE WITNESS: That's strong evidence 16 Yeah. This is a comparison of the 17 that there's no degradation of the polymer fiber. 17 molecular weight of the suture from explant compared BY MR. THOMAS: to a current Prolene suture. 18 18 19 If you go back to Pages Bates Number 19 And the results indicate, as is 20 09888218, which is going back from the back -- it's 20 stated, that no degradation has taken place. And 21 a few pages in from the back. 21 that's fully supported by the quantitative molecular 22 22 weight data. Those -- that statement and that data A. Okay. 23 Do you have that? 23 Q. is very consistent. 24 24 Yeah. And you go to the next page, which is MR. THORNBURGH: I am not there yet. 25 8221, dated August the 5th, 1992, Dan Burkley,

84 (Pages 623 to 626)

Page 627 Page 629 1 signed off by Gene Muse, on October 9, 1992. 1 O. Excuse me. I'm sorry. I have 2 Again, they're comparing Prolene 2 misspoken. Strike that. 3 suture explants for Dog 2019, site two and three, to 3 What importance as a preclinician is the current Prolene control. Is that correct? 4 that conclusion to you? 4 5 5 A. MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. б Q. 6 THE WITNESS: I think it demonstrates And they're comparing molecular 7 weights again? 7 the stability of Prolene suture over seven years in 8 8 in vivo -- in in vivo system. A. 9 Q. And what conclusion do they reach in 9 BY MR. THOMAS: 10 10 October -- in August 1992 about degradation with Do any of the documents, the study 11 respect to these suture implants? 11 for the seven-year dog study where there is a discussion of these surface cracks on some of the 12 For samples from this dog, they say 12 13 in the conclusion section: Comparison of seven-year 13 explanted sutures in some of the locations -- is explants to current Prolene indicate no molecular 14 14 there any attribution of cause to that cracking? 15 MR. THORNBURGH: Objection. 15 weight degradation. 16 16 THE WITNESS: It's simply an And the next page dated 8222 --17 excuse me -- numbered 8222, again, is submitted 17 observation. 18 MR. THOMAS: Can we take a break, 18 July 2, 1992. A. 19 19 Okay. please. 20 Q. I am trying to find my Prolene. 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the video 21 Here it is. In the middle? 21 record, 6:55. 22 22 Yep. (Short break.) A. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the video 23 23 Q. There's Dog 2008, site two? 24 A. 24 record at 7:00 p.m. 25 25 MR. THOMAS: I have no further Measure of molecular weight, again, Page 628 Page 630 1 compared to the control. Do you see that? 1 questions. 2 A. Yes. 2 3 And what conclusion is reached in 3 Q. FURTHER EXAMINATION 4 1992 about Dog 2008? 4 5 5 For this dog, they're saying BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 comparison of current Prolene 4/0 suture indicates 6 Q. Doctor, I appreciate that we've all 7 no significant degradation of seven-year explant. 7 been here too long today and we're all tired. I do 8 8 Now, we talked before and went have a couple of questions. I'm going to try to get 9 9 through in great length about the surface cracking us all out of here as quickly as I can. Okay? 10 10 that was reserved in the scanning electron I want to kind of work backwards. I 11 microscopy. I don't need to go through that again 11 want to turn your attention back to the seven-year 12 in any detail unless you want to. 12 dog study, which I think was Exhibit Number 2264, 13 A. No thanks. 13 which included the analytical chemistry department 14 14 Q. But how can you reconcile what was notes. 15 found as a preclinician, the findings of the 15 MR. THOMAS: 2263, I think. 16 scanning electron microscopy with the molecular 16 MR. THORNBURGH: Is it 2263? 17 weight tensile strength results that are recorded 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 here? 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 19 The surface changes are Now, there actually was molecular A. 20 informational. However, in my mind as a preclinical 20 weight loss in some of the cracked -- or some of the 21 scientist, they're not having an adverse impact on 21 explanted Prolene sutures, wasn't there? 22 22 molecular weight or tensile strength of the fiber. There was no significant changes. 23 And what importance as a clinician is 23 There was -- answer my question. 24 24 that conclusion to you? Okay? Because I know we both want to get out of 25 Well ---25 here. So answer my question. A.

	Page 631		Page 633
1	There actually was molecular weight	1	Q. There was a change in the number as
2	loss in some of the explanted Prolene sutures,	2	well, wasn't there, Doctor?
3	wasn't there?	3	A. I wouldn't expect these numbers to
4	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	4	come out on top of each other.
5	the question.	5	Q. 60,000 in the current Prolene versus
6	THE WITNESS: Let's look at the data.	6	53,000 in the explanted Prolene, correct?
7	I don't recall the specifics.	7	A. That's what it says.
8	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	8	Q. That would indicate there was a
9	Q. Let's turn to ETH.MESH.09888222.	9	reduction in the number of polymer chains, right?
10	A. 232.	10	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
11	232.	11	the question.
12	Q. Yes. No. 09888222.	12	THE WITNESS: Well, the conclusion
13	A. 222.	13	says no significant degradation of the seven-year
14	Q. Are you there?	14	explant.
15	A. Yes.	15	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
16	Q. Dog 2008, site two, was compared to	16	Q. Right. The conclusion isn't that
17	current Prolene 4/0 suture, right?	17	there was no degradation; the conclusion is there
18	A. Yes.	18	wasn't significant degradation. But the converse is
19	Q. And the current Prolene suture had a	19	true, that there was evidence of some degradation,
20	molecular weight of 224,000, and an MN of 60,000,	20	wasn't there, Doctor?
21	right?	21	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
22	MR. THOMAS: Object to form. You	22	the question.
23	read that wrong.	23	THE WITNESS: What's important to me
24	THE WITNESS: No. I think it's	24	as a preclinical scientist is what the person doing
25	324,000.	25	the work interprets the results and gives a final
	Page 632		Page 634
1	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	1	conclusion.
2	0 224 0009		
	Q. 324,000?	2	I know that these molecular weight
3	Q. 524,000? A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN.	2 3	I know that these molecular weight numbers can never be identical between samples,
		l .	
3	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN.	3	numbers can never be identical between samples,
3 4	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN.Q. Molecular weight was 324,000,	3 4	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights.
3 4 5	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way?	3 4 5	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
3 4 5 6	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor.
3 4 5 6 7	 A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight 	3 4 5 6 7 8	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared to the dog explant suture, correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant, explanted mesh, correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared to the dog explant suture, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant, explanted mesh, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared to the dog explant suture, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant, explanted mesh, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared to the dog explant suture, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: The number is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant, explanted mesh, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: These are two numbers.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared to the dog explant suture, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: The number is different, and it's lower.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant, explanted mesh, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: These are two numbers. These numbers need to be interpreted.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared to the dog explant suture, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: The number is different, and it's lower. BY MR. THORNBURGH:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant, explanted mesh, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: These are two numbers. These numbers need to be interpreted. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared to the dog explant suture, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: The number is different, and it's lower. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. It's lower in the explanted Prolene,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant, explanted mesh, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: These are two numbers. These numbers need to be interpreted. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You can't interpret those numbers?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared to the dog explant suture, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: The number is different, and it's lower. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. It's lower in the explanted Prolene, correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant, explanted mesh, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: These are two numbers. These numbers need to be interpreted. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You can't interpret those numbers? A. They have been interpreted for me as
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared to the dog explant suture, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: The number is different, and it's lower. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. It's lower in the explanted Prolene, correct? A. Yes, at this site.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant, explanted mesh, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: These are two numbers. These numbers need to be interpreted. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You can't interpret those numbers? A. They have been interpreted for me as I read this report.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared to the dog explant suture, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: The number is different, and it's lower. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. It's lower in the explanted Prolene, correct? A. Yes, at this site. Q. And you said the MN was the number of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant, explanted mesh, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: These are two numbers. These numbers need to be interpreted. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You can't interpret those numbers? A. They have been interpreted for me as I read this report. Q. And there was indication of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared to the dog explant suture, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: The number is different, and it's lower. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. It's lower in the explanted Prolene, correct? A. Yes, at this site. Q. And you said the MN was the number of molecular chains?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant, explanted mesh, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: These are two numbers. These numbers need to be interpreted. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You can't interpret those numbers? A. They have been interpreted for me as I read this report. Q. And there was indication of degradation, wasn't there?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. For MW. And 60,000 for MN. Q. Molecular weight was 324,000, correct? A. Yes. Q. What does MN mean, by the way? A. It is a measure of the number of molecular chains versus the average molecular weight of those chains. Q. For molecular weight, there was a reduction of the Prolene, current Prolene, compared to the dog explant suture, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: The number is different, and it's lower. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. It's lower in the explanted Prolene, correct? A. Yes, at this site. Q. And you said the MN was the number of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	numbers can never be identical between samples, because there is a range of molecular weights. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Answer my question, please, Doctor. MR. THOMAS: I think he did. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. The finding here was that there was a reduction in molecular weight, and there was a reduction in the molecular molecules, and that there was some degradation observed of this explant, explanted mesh, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: These are two numbers. These numbers need to be interpreted. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You can't interpret those numbers? A. They have been interpreted for me as I read this report. Q. And there was indication of

86 (Pages 631 to 634)

Page 635 Page 637 1 Which doesn't mean that there wasn't 1 O. There's three -- three folks that 2 degradation; it just means that there was 2 signed the report, right? 3 3 degradation but this investigator called it I'm still looking for the summary. I A. 4 insignificant or not significant. Right? 4 can't find it. 5 5 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of If you look at Exhibit Number 2264. 6 6 MR. THOMAS: Over there in that stack the question. 7 THE WITNESS: I would disagree. 7 right there. 8 8 BY MR. THORNBURGH: THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. THORNBURGH: 9 Q. If we go to -- that's what the 9 10 summary is for, too, right, Doctor? Summaries in 10 Okay. And three -- not one Ethicon 11 reports authored by the investigators is to help us 11 employee or Ethicon investigator signed this report, understand their interpretation of the data? 12 12 but three of them signed the report, right? 13 A. Absolutely. 13 A. 14 14 Q. And if we look at the summary of the O. Which -- and in the report, their conclusions -- which are a summary of the data, 15 conclusions, the three Ethicon employees who 15 16 right? It's a conclusion of the -actually participated in the study, their 16 17 A. What page are you on? 17 conclusions was that there was degradation in the 18 I am looking at Page 2 of --18 polypropylene, in the Prolene, right? 19 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of MR. THOMAS: Dan, just so you know, 19 20 the full page that talks about molecular weight is 20 the question. 21 2264. The copy that you have is folded over. I 21 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 22 22 gave you a copy of that already. That's their conclusion in the Q. MR. THORNBURGH: I don't know what I 23 23 report? 24 did with the full page. What is the exhibit number? 24 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 25 MR. THOMAS: 2264. 25 the question. Page 636 Page 638 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 1 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 O. If we look at 2264. 2 Q. I'm not -- I am not misreading this 3 A. 2264, yes. 3 right, Doctor? 4 Strike that. Let me just try to see 4 MR. THOMAS: I think you are, Dan. 5 if I can get a clean answer from you, get a clean 5 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 6 6 Conclusion. Degradation in Prolene 7 You would agree with me that as a 7 is still increasing, and PVDF, even though a few scientist, you rely on the conclusions of the 8 8 cracks were found, is still by far the most surface investigators who conducted the study, right? 9 resistant in-house made suture in terms of cracking. 9 10 Yes, in large part. 10 I read that correctly, didn't I, 11 And the conclusion from the 11 Q. Doctor? 12 investigator who conducted this study was that there 12 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 13 was --13 the question. 14 A. What page are we on now? 14 THE WITNESS: This is a conclusion 15 15 If we look at page -- it's Page 2 of for the ophthalmic microscopy and scanning electron O. 16 the expert report. 16 microscopy section authored by the Elke Lindemann, The ETH.MESH. number? 17 A. 17 the person who did the SEM evaluation. 18 18 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 19 MR. THOMAS: Object. Who do you 19 And the conclusion, which was signed off on by three Ethicon employees who -- scientists, attribute to be the investigator? There's three, I 20 20 21 believe. 21 polymer scientists, right? 22 MR. THORNBURGH: The person who wrote 22 A. Each of the scientists --23 23 Answer that question first, please. MR. THOMAS: There are three. 24 24 Each of the scientists' names are 25 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 25 against the part of the report for which they signed

87 (Pages 635 to 638)

	Page 639		Page 641
1 (off.	1	MR. THORNBURGH: What do you mean?
2	Q. Three of them participated in the	2	MR. THOMAS: Just what I said.
	study, right?	3	THE WITNESS: I am looking at Animal
4	A. That's correct.	4	1995.
5	Q. And the conclusion on Page 2 says:	5	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
	Degradation in Prolene is still increasing, and	6	Q. So hold on a second. Let's talk
	PVDF, even though a few cracks were found, is still	7	about Animal 2008, site two.
	by far the most surface resistant in-house made	8	There was a reduction
	suture in terms of cracking. Right?	9	MR. THOMAS: You can do them one at a
10	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	10	time, Tom. You can do them one at a time. If he
	the question.	11	won't ask you, I'll ask you.
12	THE WITNESS: That's one-third of the	12	THE WITNESS: Fine. Okay.
	results of this experiment.	13	MR. THORNBURGH: I'll look at all of
	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	14	
15		15	them.
	Q. Well, is that one-third of the	_	THE WITNESS: Fine.
	results of the experiment in the experiment, they	16	MR. THORNBURGH: I am not afraid of
	determined that there was degradation, there was	17	the evidence.
	surface degradation of the Prolene mesh, right?	18	THE WITNESS: Me neither.
19	A. That's what it says.	19	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
20	Q. Or Prolene suture.	20	Q. There is a reduction in the molecular
21	And we can see there was a loss in	21	weight and the number of molecules, right?
	molecular weight seen on this explant, right?	22	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
23	A. Let me get to that section. 222, is	23	the question.
24 1	that the	24	THE WITNESS: The number is smaller.
25	Q. Yes.	25	The conclusion is that there's no significant
	Page 640		Page 642
1	A. Okay. I'm looking at it.	1	degradation.
2	Q. It doesn't say that there wasn't	2	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
3 (degradation, does it?	3	Q. Oh, by the way, did you talk to these
4	A. Well, I let's take a look at all	4	investigators about why there was insufficient
5 t	the other dogs and see what happened.	5	sample for Prolene IV for this study?
6	Q. Well, I know you don't want to talk	6	A. No, I did not.
7 8	about the evidence that's not good for Ethicon, but	7	Q. Did you talk to the investigator
	we got to talk about that evidence, too, Doctor.	8	A. What are we looking at now?
9	MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. Stop, stop.	9	Q. Same page, 222.
	Just ask a good question. Don't argue with him.	10	A. 222. Insufficient sample for
11	MR. THORNBURGH: It was a good	11	inherent viscosity, not molecular weight.
	question.	12	Q. Insufficient Prolene sorry.
1 1 2 1	MR. THOMAS: Come on. Stop.	13	Insufficient sample for Prolene IV. Right. That's
	wite in owners. Come on stop.		·
13	MR THORNRIDGH. It was a good	14	what that cave?
13 14	MR. THORNBURGH: It was a good	14	what that says?
13 14 15	question. I'm not making fun of the doctor.	15	A. No. No. It's IV which means
13 14 15 16	question. I'm not making fun of the doctor. MR. THOMAS: Do you want to quit?	15 16	A. No. No. It's IV which means inherent viscosity.
13 14 15 16 17	question. I'm not making fun of the doctor. MR. THOMAS: Do you want to quit? We'll quit.	15 16 17	A. No. No. It's IV which means inherent viscosity. Q. What is inherent viscosity?
13 14 15 16 17 18	question. I'm not making fun of the doctor. MR. THOMAS: Do you want to quit? We'll quit. MR. THORNBURGH: No. That was a good	15 16 17 18	A. No. No. It's IV which means inherent viscosity.Q. What is inherent viscosity?A. It's another measure of polymer
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question. I'm not making fun of the doctor. MR. THOMAS: Do you want to quit? We'll quit. MR. THORNBURGH: No. That was a good question.	15 16 17 18 19	 A. No. No. It's IV which means inherent viscosity. Q. What is inherent viscosity? A. It's another measure of polymer characteristics. It's different than a molecular
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	question. I'm not making fun of the doctor. MR. THOMAS: Do you want to quit? We'll quit. MR. THORNBURGH: No. That was a good question. MR. THOMAS: That's ridiculous.	15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. No. No. It's IV which means inherent viscosity. Q. What is inherent viscosity? A. It's another measure of polymer characteristics. It's different than a molecular weight measurement.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question. I'm not making fun of the doctor. MR. THOMAS: Do you want to quit? We'll quit. MR. THORNBURGH: No. That was a good question. MR. THOMAS: That's ridiculous. MR. THORNBURGH: He didn't want to	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. No. It's IV which means inherent viscosity. Q. What is inherent viscosity? A. It's another measure of polymer characteristics. It's different than a molecular weight measurement. Q. And that's why it's not included in
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question. I'm not making fun of the doctor. MR. THOMAS: Do you want to quit? We'll quit. MR. THORNBURGH: No. That was a good question. MR. THOMAS: That's ridiculous. MR. THORNBURGH: He didn't want to answer it because because he didn't want he	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. No. It's IV which means inherent viscosity. Q. What is inherent viscosity? A. It's another measure of polymer characteristics. It's different than a molecular weight measurement. Q. And that's why it's not included in here, right?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question. I'm not making fun of the doctor. MR. THOMAS: Do you want to quit? We'll quit. MR. THORNBURGH: No. That was a good question. MR. THOMAS: That's ridiculous. MR. THORNBURGH: He didn't want to answer it because because he didn't want he didn't want the truth to be heard.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. No. It's IV which means inherent viscosity. Q. What is inherent viscosity? A. It's another measure of polymer characteristics. It's different than a molecular weight measurement. Q. And that's why it's not included in here, right? MR. THOMAS: Included where?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	question. I'm not making fun of the doctor. MR. THOMAS: Do you want to quit? We'll quit. MR. THORNBURGH: No. That was a good question. MR. THOMAS: That's ridiculous. MR. THORNBURGH: He didn't want to answer it because because he didn't want he	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. No. It's IV which means inherent viscosity. Q. What is inherent viscosity? A. It's another measure of polymer characteristics. It's different than a molecular weight measurement. Q. And that's why it's not included in here, right?

88 (Pages 639 to 642)

Page 643 Page 645 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 1 different, and the Dog 2008 site two is a smaller 2 Q. I assume -- and you can tell me --2 3 3 you can answer the question for me, if you can. MR. THORNBURGH: Is that the section 4 The IV results --4 that you wanted me to go back to and ask questions 5 5 MR. THOMAS: They're above, Dan. about? 6 MR. THORNBURGH: Hold on one second. 6 MR. THOMAS: You can ask whatever you 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 7 want to. I'm not going to tell you what to do. 8 8 BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Is this the IV results here? 9 A. IV/DLG, that is an IV result. 9 O. If you go to 8221. 10 O. Okay. I'm sorry. 10 A. 8221. Okay. 11 They're saying they could not --11 Q. There was insufficient sample of there was insufficient sample to determine an IV Prolene for IV again, right? 12 12 13 measurement for Prolene suture. 13 A. That's correct. 14 And what is an IV measurement? 14 Then, also, again, insufficient Q. Q. sample of Prolene IV again here, right? You see it 15 A. It represents inherent viscosity, 15 says insufficient Prolene IV. And then it also says again, a measure -- it's a polymer characteristic. 16 16 17 Would it give us information about 17 insufficient Prolene IV here. And it doesn't give 18 the loss of the polymer? 18 numbers for the Prolene. I don't know for certain. I think 19 MR. THOMAS: It does at the bottom. 19 20 it's a different endpoint, but I don't know for 20 Current molecular weight right there on the bottom. 21 certain. 21 MR. THORNBURGH: We're going to talk 22 In any case, they're able to test all 22 about that -- we're going to talk about that in a Q. of the other samples except for Prolene for that 23 23 moment. 24 study, right, for IV? 24 MR. THOMAS: I thought you were 25 That's what it says, yes. 25 suggesting --Page 644 Page 646 1 If you go to 8221. 1 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 2 MR. THOMAS: Do you want to ask the 2 Because right here, they separate it 3 rest of the questions about the molecular weight 3 out, right? In both cases, it says insufficient down at the bottom of that page? 4 4 sample for Prolene IV. 5 MR. THORNBURGH: I see Prolene wasn't 5 A. That is just written twice. 6 included in that -- in this section of molecular 6 Do you know why there would be Q. weight. Right? 7 7 insufficient samples for Prolene IV? 8 8 MR. THOMAS: Oh, I think it is. No, I do not. I know you need to 9 have a certain mass in order to do the experiment. THE WITNESS: No. That's IV. 9 10 Molecular weight is above to the right. 10 And the analytical work was done on the strand BY MR. THORNBURGH: 11 11 breaks after Instron testing. So maybe there was 12 Okay. I'm sorry. 12 just not enough mass to run the experiment, a Q. 13 A. 13 certain sample requirement. 14 O. What's this -- what's this data right 14 And for molecular weight, current 15 here? 15 Prolene, there's -- the explants in this sample were 16 That's -- that's molecular weight 16 also lower than the -- than the control, correct? Α. 17 data for the other suture -- sutures. 17 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of Okay. And the molecular weight data 18 18 the question. That's not true. here we've already discussed, which showed a 19 19 THE WITNESS: No, that's not correct. reduction in the molecular weight from the current 20 20 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 21 Prolene to the explant and, also, a reduction in the 21 334,000 --Ο. 22 number of molecules, correct? 22 MR. THOMAS: No. 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 23 BY MR. THORNBURGH: -- is greater than 331,000. 24 the question. 24 25 THE WITNESS: The numbers are 25 MR. THOMAS: You're not reading the

89 (Pages 643 to 646)

	Page 647		Page 649
1	number right, Dan. It's 324,000.	1	the significance of that in polymer science, but I
2	MR. THORNBURGH: Oh, okay. I'm	2	can't shed much light on it.
3	apparently dyslexic today.	3	Q. You didn't talk to anybody, right?
4	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. So there was in this in this	5	Q. You didn't call up Dan Burkley or the
6	sample, there wasn't degradation observed, molecular	6	other two investigators and say, hey, why is
7	degradation, right?	7	there why weren't you able to do Prolene IV
8	A. Well, to use your language from the	8	studies?
9	previous dog, there were increases in molecular	9	A. That's correct.
10	weight for two strands.	10	Q. So the people most knowledgeable
11	Q. There wasn't molecular weight	11	about that that particular issue in this study
12	degradation; there wasn't a decrease in the	12	wouldn't include you; it would include somebody
13	molecular weight seen in this sample. Right?	13	else?
14	A. There was an increase.	14	A. At this level of detail, yes.
15	Q. There wasn't a reduction in there	15	Q. It would appear, though, that IV had
16	wasn't look at the conclusion.	16	analysis is related in some way to a degradation
17	The conclusion was no molecular	17	analysis, right?
18	weight degradation, right?	18	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
19	A. That's right.	19	the question.
20	MR. THOMAS: That's fine.	20	THE WITNESS: No, I don't think so.
21	THE WITNESS: That's right.	21	MR. THORNBURGH: We'll mark as
22	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	22	Exhibit 2265.
23	Q. Molecular weight degradation. That's	23	(Document marked for identification
24	what they call it here, right?	24	as Exhibit T-2265.)
25	A. That's right. What this is	25	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
	Page 648		Page 650
1	Page 648 suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls	1	Page 650 Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene
1 2		1 2	
	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls	2	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene
2	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator	2	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants.
2	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen	2	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come
2 3 4	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample	2 3 4	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook?
2 3 4 5	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right?	2 3 4 5	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Why why is why are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You haven't seen this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Why why is why is why are these researchers able to run IV testing on all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You haven't seen this? A. I have not seen this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Why why is why is why are these researchers able to run IV testing on all other sutures except for Prolene?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You haven't seen this? A. I have not seen this. Q. Do you see the date on this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Why why is why is why are these researchers able to run IV testing on all other sutures except for Prolene? A. I don't know the answer for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You haven't seen this? A. I have not seen this. Q. Do you see the date on this? MR. THORNBURGH: If he's not prepared
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Why why is why is why are these researchers able to run IV testing on all other sutures except for Prolene? A. I don't know the answer for that. Q. Did you ask anybody?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You haven't seen this? A. I have not seen this. Q. Do you see the date on this? MR. THORNBURGH: If he's not prepared to tell me or talk about it, then he needs to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Why why is why is why are these researchers able to run IV testing on all other sutures except for Prolene? A. I don't know the answer for that. Q. Did you ask anybody? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You haven't seen this? A. I have not seen this. Q. Do you see the date on this? MR. THORNBURGH: If he's not prepared to tell me or talk about it, then he needs to say I'm not prepared to talk about it. I'm going to ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Why why is why is why are these researchers able to run IV testing on all other sutures except for Prolene? A. I don't know the answer for that. Q. Did you ask anybody? A. No. Q. In every single case, they didn't run	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You haven't seen this? A. I have not seen this. Q. Do you see the date on this? MR. THORNBURGH: If he's not prepared to tell me or talk about it, then he needs to say I'm not prepared to talk about it. I'm going to ask one or two questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Why why is why is why are these researchers able to run IV testing on all other sutures except for Prolene? A. I don't know the answer for that. Q. Did you ask anybody? A. No. Q. In every single case, they didn't run a test for Prolene IV, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You haven't seen this? A. I have not seen this. Q. Do you see the date on this? MR. THORNBURGH: If he's not prepared to tell me or talk about it, then he needs to say I'm not prepared to talk about it. I'm going to ask one or two questions. MR. THOMAS: We're not. We're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Why why is why is why are these researchers able to run IV testing on all other sutures except for Prolene? A. I don't know the answer for that. Q. Did you ask anybody? A. No. Q. In every single case, they didn't run a test for Prolene IV, right? A. For me, the molecular weight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You haven't seen this? A. I have not seen this. Q. Do you see the date on this? MR. THORNBURGH: If he's not prepared to tell me or talk about it, then he needs to say I'm not prepared to talk about it. I'm going to ask one or two questions. MR. THOMAS: We're not. We're not prepared to talk about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Why why is why is why are these researchers able to run IV testing on all other sutures except for Prolene? A. I don't know the answer for that. Q. Did you ask anybody? A. No. Q. In every single case, they didn't run a test for Prolene IV, right? A. For me, the molecular weight determination was the most relevant. It may be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You haven't seen this? A. I have not seen this. Q. Do you see the date on this? MR. THORNBURGH: If he's not prepared to tell me or talk about it, then he needs to say I'm not prepared to talk about it. I'm going to ask one or two questions. MR. THOMAS: We're not. We're not prepared to talk about it. THE WITNESS: I haven't seen it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Why why is why is why are these researchers able to run IV testing on all other sutures except for Prolene? A. I don't know the answer for that. Q. Did you ask anybody? A. No. Q. In every single case, they didn't run a test for Prolene IV, right? A. For me, the molecular weight determination was the most relevant. It may be because I understand it a little bit better than IV.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You haven't seen this? A. I have not seen this. Q. Do you see the date on this? MR. THORNBURGH: If he's not prepared to tell me or talk about it, then he needs to say I'm not prepared to talk about it. I'm going to ask one or two questions. MR. THOMAS: We're not. We're not prepared to talk about it. THE WITNESS: I haven't seen it. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	suggesting is that molecular weight rises and falls in comparison to a control, and the investigator needs to make a judgment whether or not the movemen from the baseline is sufficient to call out significant degradation. That's how science works. Q. Again, there's insufficient sample for Prolene IVs, right? MR. THOMAS: What page are we on now? MR. THORNBURGH: 8220. THE WITNESS: Yes. Insufficient sample for the IV test. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Why why is why is why are these researchers able to run IV testing on all other sutures except for Prolene? A. I don't know the answer for that. Q. Did you ask anybody? A. No. Q. In every single case, they didn't run a test for Prolene IV, right? A. For me, the molecular weight determination was the most relevant. It may be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A degradation analysis of Prolene explants. MR. THOMAS: Where did this come from? MR. THORNBURGH: This is MR. THOMAS: A lab notebook? MR. THORNBURGH: I believe so, yes. MR. THOMAS: We've already told you that we're not prepared. MR. THORNBURGH: You're not prepared to talk about BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. You haven't seen this? A. I have not seen this. Q. Do you see the date on this? MR. THORNBURGH: If he's not prepared to tell me or talk about it, then he needs to say I'm not prepared to talk about it. I'm going to ask one or two questions. MR. THOMAS: We're not. We're not prepared to talk about it. THE WITNESS: I haven't seen it.

	Page 651		Page 653
1	A. No. I can't explain that in any	1	attorneys Ethicon has been in possession of this
2	detail.	2	since 1987 did not provide this information to
3	Q. Nobody at Ethicon provided you with	3	you, correct?
4	this study that showed that in 1987, the explants	4	A. I have not seen this information.
5	showed that there the conclusions from studies of	5	Q. So you're not prepared to talk about
6	explants was that it was degraded Prolene?	6	that study or any other studies from the notebooks?
7	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	7	MR. THOMAS: We've already said that
8	the question. He's not prepared to talk on this.	8	a hundred times.
9	We've been through this at length.	9	MR. THORNBURGH: We'll have to come
10	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	10	back.
11	Q. My question is: Nobody at Ethicon,	11	MR. THOMAS: I understand.
12	nor Ethicon's counsel, provided you with this study	12	BY MR. THORNBURGH:
13	that showed the explanted Prolene was degraded?	13	Q. Now, you represented that there were
14	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	14	20 binders in front of you and behind you which
15	the question.	15	included studies that you that Ethicon
16	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	16	Ethicon's attorneys and you compiled together for
17	Q. Right?	17	purposes of this deposition, right?
18	A. I've not seen this. I am not	18	A. Yes.
19	really I'm not prepared to talk about it. It is	19	Q. And you you have to agree that
20	a bit of information in isolation. I don't	20	many of the studies that were copied and put in
21	understand the context. I'd have to look at all	21	these binders are actually duplicates of studies in
22	at all the data around it.	22	other binders in front of you, right?
23	Q. Nobody nobody showed you this	23	A. That's correct.
24	conclusion either, or this study either, prior to	24	Q. Many of them, a vast majority of
25	coming here today, a study that they've had	25	them?
	Page 652		Page 654
1	apparently in Ethicon's files since 1987, which		
	apparently in Eulicon's thes since 1987, which	1	A. That's correct.
2	showed that the explanted meshes the explant	1 2	A. That's correct.Q. It's not actually 20 binders of
2	= = -		
	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to	2	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of
3	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh	2	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the
3 4	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to	2 3 4	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right?
3 4 5	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page.	2 3 4 5	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates.
3 4 5 6 7 8	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results from Professor Godoin? Professor Godoin. Nobody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the topics of discussion.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results from Professor Godoin? Professor Godoin. Nobody showed you Professor Godoin's explants and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the topics of discussion. Q. In fact, some studies are contained
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results from Professor Godoin? Professor Godoin. Nobody showed you Professor Godoin's explants and the studies that were done on Professor Godoin's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the topics of discussion. Q. In fact, some studies are contained within are duplicated 10 and 11 times in these
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results from Professor Godoin? Professor Godoin. Nobody showed you Professor Godoin's explants and the studies that were done on Professor Godoin's explants which showed evidence of polypropylene	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the topics of discussion. Q. In fact, some studies are contained within are duplicated 10 and 11 times in these binders, right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results from Professor Godoin? Professor Godoin. Nobody showed you Professor Godoin's explants and the studies that were done on Professor Godoin's explants which showed evidence of polypropylene degradation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the topics of discussion. Q. In fact, some studies are contained within are duplicated 10 and 11 times in these binders, right? A. I don't think there were that many
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results from Professor Godoin? Professor Godoin. Nobody showed you Professor Godoin's explants and the studies that were done on Professor Godoin's explants which showed evidence of polypropylene degradation? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the topics of discussion. Q. In fact, some studies are contained within are duplicated 10 and 11 times in these binders, right? A. I don't think there were that many topics for discussion.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results from Professor Godoin? Professor Godoin. Nobody showed you Professor Godoin's explants and the studies that were done on Professor Godoin's explants which showed evidence of polypropylene degradation? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the topics of discussion. Q. In fact, some studies are contained within are duplicated 10 and 11 times in these binders, right? A. I don't think there were that many topics for discussion. Q. Or duplicated in each one of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results from Professor Godoin? Professor Godoin. Nobody showed you Professor Godoin's explants and the studies that were done on Professor Godoin's explants which showed evidence of polypropylene degradation? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: If there's anything in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the topics of discussion. Q. In fact, some studies are contained within are duplicated 10 and 11 times in these binders, right? A. I don't think there were that many topics for discussion. Q. Or duplicated in each one of the topics?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results from Professor Godoin? Professor Godoin. Nobody showed you Professor Godoin's explants and the studies that were done on Professor Godoin's explants which showed evidence of polypropylene degradation? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: If there's anything in any notebooks that you want to talk about, I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the topics of discussion. Q. In fact, some studies are contained within are duplicated 10 and 11 times in these binders, right? A. I don't think there were that many topics for discussion. Q. Or duplicated in each one of the topics? A. Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results from Professor Godoin? Professor Godoin. Nobody showed you Professor Godoin's explants and the studies that were done on Professor Godoin's explants which showed evidence of polypropylene degradation? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: If there's anything in any notebooks that you want to talk about, I'm not prepared to talk about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the topics of discussion. Q. In fact, some studies are contained within are duplicated 10 and 11 times in these binders, right? A. I don't think there were that many topics for discussion. Q. Or duplicated in each one of the topics? A. Okay. Q. Right? Correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results from Professor Godoin? Professor Godoin. Nobody showed you Professor Godoin's explants and the studies that were done on Professor Godoin's explants which showed evidence of polypropylene degradation? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: If there's anything in any notebooks that you want to talk about, I'm not prepared to talk about it. BY MR. THORNBURGH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the topics of discussion. Q. In fact, some studies are contained within are duplicated 10 and 11 times in these binders, right? A. I don't think there were that many topics for discussion. Q. Or duplicated in each one of the topics? A. Okay. Q. Right? Correct? A. That could be so.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	showed that the explanted meshes the explant mesh MR. THOMAS: Are you referring to something new? Or is this the same document? MR. THORNBURGH: Same document. THE WITNESS: It's a notebook page. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Nobody showed you this document either? A. It's a notebook page. Q. Nobody showed you the study results from Professor Godoin? Professor Godoin. Nobody showed you Professor Godoin's explants and the studies that were done on Professor Godoin's explants which showed evidence of polypropylene degradation? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: If there's anything in any notebooks that you want to talk about, I'm not prepared to talk about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. It's not actually 20 binders of different studies. There's 20 binders where the majority of those are duplicate copies, right? A. I never represented them as individual lists of studies that were not duplicates. Q. I just want to make sure the jury understands. It's not actually 20 binders of studies, of different studies. There's 20 binders with lots of duplication, right? A. Yes. There's overlap between the topics of discussion. Q. In fact, some studies are contained within are duplicated 10 and 11 times in these binders, right? A. I don't think there were that many topics for discussion. Q. Or duplicated in each one of the topics? A. Okay. Q. Right? Correct?

91 (Pages 651 to 654)

	Page 655		Page 657
1	Q. Now, we've marked that as an exhibit.	1	study.
2	Do you have it in front of you?	2	Q. Okay. And then you have degradation
3	A. Yes.	3	here, which could include surface degradation,
4	Q. Okay. You have a list of studies	4	correct?
5	and that you included or somebody included in the	5	A. If it were significant enough to be
6	degradation section of Exhibit 2262, correct?	6	seen at the light microscope level in an H&E
7	A. Yes.	7	section, yes.
8	Q. And can you tell me in exhibit or	8	Q. What do you mean by absorption?
9	in Study Number 1, study of tissue reaction of	9	A. For absorbable implants, there's an
10	colorless and pigmented monofilament polypropylene	10	absorption of the material into the surrounding
11	sutures, was there SEM, SEM EDX, GPC, DTP, or FTIR	l .	tissues. That's not the case for a non-absorbable,
12	studies conducted?	12	which is Prolene.
13	A. No.	13	Q. And what do you mean by "edge
14	Q. And to determine if there was	14	erosion"?
15	actually actual degradation of the polypropylene in	15	A. There might be degradation of the
16	these cases, a number of studies would have to be	16	surface which would be reflected by inflammatory
17	conducted, right? A number of tests?	17	cells scalloping the perimeter of the implant,
18	A. Not necessarily. One can determine	18	fiber.
19	quite a bit by looking at the tissue reaction from	19	Q. Now, for these studies that you
20	an implanted material and whether or not there's any	20	listed here in degradation, the overwhelming
21	evidence that there's cracking, degradation,	21	majority of these studies weren't studies that
22	absorption, edge edge erosion.	22	looked at FTIR analysis, scanning electron
23	Q. SEM SEM	23	microscopy, scanning electron microscopy EDX, GPC.
24	MR. THOMAS: Excuse me.	24	or those other tests, degradation tests, correct?
25	BY MR. THORNBURGH:	25	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
	Dage 656		Dage 658
1	Page 656	1	Page 658
1	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done.	1	the question.
2	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you.	2	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done.I didn't mean to interrupt you.A. It's all right. I'm done.	2	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4	 Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear 	2 3 4	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of
2 3 4 5	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period.	2 3 4 5	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the
2 3 4 5 6	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies	2 3 4 5 6	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is	2 3 4 5 6 7	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right? A. Yeah, as was done in the seven-year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through FTIR found degradation, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right? A. Yeah, as was done in the seven-year dog study.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through FTIR found degradation, correct? MR. THOMAS: No. Object to the form
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right? A. Yeah, as was done in the seven-year dog study. Q. You said that you testified a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through FTIR found degradation, correct? MR. THOMAS: No. Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right? A. Yeah, as was done in the seven-year dog study. Q. You said that you testified a moment ago that one can determine the tissue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through FTIR found degradation, correct? MR. THOMAS: No. Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right? A. Yeah, as was done in the seven-year dog study. Q. You said that you testified a moment ago that one can determine the tissue reaction from implanted material and whether or not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through FTIR found degradation, correct? MR. THOMAS: No. Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. There were carbonyl bands that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right? A. Yeah, as was done in the seven-year dog study. Q. You said that you testified a moment ago that one can determine the tissue reaction from implanted material and whether or not there's any evidence that there's cracking,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through FTIR found degradation, correct? MR. THOMAS: No. Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. There were carbonyl bands that were consistent with oxidation, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right? A. Yeah, as was done in the seven-year dog study. Q. You said that you testified a moment ago that one can determine the tissue reaction from implanted material and whether or not there's any evidence that there's cracking, degradation, absorption, edge erosion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through FTIR found degradation, correct? MR. THOMAS: No. Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. There were carbonyl bands that were consistent with oxidation, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right? A. Yeah, as was done in the seven-year dog study. Q. You said that you testified a moment ago that one can determine the tissue reaction from implanted material and whether or not there's any evidence that there's cracking, degradation, absorption, edge erosion. So I am going to break that down for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through FTIR found degradation, correct? MR. THOMAS: No. Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. There were carbonyl bands that were consistent with oxidation, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right? A. Yeah, as was done in the seven-year dog study. Q. You said that you testified a moment ago that one can determine the tissue reaction from implanted material and whether or not there's any evidence that there's cracking, degradation, absorption, edge erosion. So I am going to break that down for a moment. Okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through FTIR found degradation, correct? MR. THOMAS: No. Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. There were carbonyl bands that were consistent with oxidation, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right? A. Yeah, as was done in the seven-year dog study. Q. You said that you testified a moment ago that one can determine the tissue reaction from implanted material and whether or not there's any evidence that there's cracking, degradation, absorption, edge erosion. So I am going to break that down for a moment. Okay? A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through FTIR found degradation, correct? MR. THOMAS: No. Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. There were carbonyl bands that were consistent with oxidation, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right? A. Yeah, as was done in the seven-year dog study. Q. You said that you testified a moment ago that one can determine the tissue reaction from implanted material and whether or not there's any evidence that there's cracking, degradation, absorption, edge erosion. So I am going to break that down for a moment. Okay? A. Okay. Q. So one can determine through light	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through FTIR found degradation, correct? MR. THOMAS: No. Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. There were carbonyl bands that were consistent with oxidation, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Correct? A. I recall some language about a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I'm sorry. I thought you were done. I didn't mean to interrupt you. A. It's all right. I'm done. Q. I see the period. Now or I hear the period. Doctor, are you telling the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that SEM analysis alone is sufficient to determine degradation or surface degradation of a polymer fiber? A. Absolutely not. Q. Additional testing could be conducted, right? A. Yeah, as was done in the seven-year dog study. Q. You said that you testified a moment ago that one can determine the tissue reaction from implanted material and whether or not there's any evidence that there's cracking, degradation, absorption, edge erosion. So I am going to break that down for a moment. Okay? A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. In fact, can you point to any of these studies that you have listed in the degradation section of your your notebooks that did FTIR microscopy? A. Seven-year dog study. Q. That's it? That's the only one that you can point to, right? A. Yes. Q. And the seven-year dog study through FTIR found degradation, correct? MR. THOMAS: No. Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. There were carbonyl bands that were consistent with oxidation, correct? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. BY MR. THORNBURGH: Q. Correct?

92 (Pages 655 to 658)

Page 659 Page 661 1 seen that were consistent with oxidation, according 1 of -- listen. I am summarizing. 2 2 The only study -- listen, Dave. I 3 3 would appreciate if you would stop coaching this MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 4 4 the question. witness. 5 5 THE WITNESS: No, they -- we can go MR. THOMAS: I am not coaching the to the report and look. 6 6 witness. 7 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 7 MR. THORNBURGH: You are. You have 8 8 been coaching him for the last two days, Dave. I Okay. 9 MR. THOMAS: It's on Page 1, I 9 don't do that to you. 10 10 believe. MR. THOMAS: Stop, please. 11 THE WITNESS: There would be an 11 MR. THORNBURGH: I have respect for ETH.MESH.09888187, whereas I have recalled the 12 12 you. I treat you like a professional. 13 statement says, showed possible evidence of slight 13 MR. THOMAS: I bet you do. MR. THORNBURGH: You don't treat me 14 oxidation. 14 15 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 15 like a professional. You don't act professional 16 Q. So the only study that you listed in 16 when I am asking questions. You coach the witness. 17 your 40 some studies that actually did FTIR 17 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 18 microscopy found that the IR spectra obtained for 18 The only study that you listed in 19 cracked Prolene specimens showed possible evidence 19 your degradation section of the studies that were 20 of slight oxidation, correct? 20 compiled by you or someone for Ethicon or Ethicon's 21 I think I just said that. 21 attorneys say -- show -- showed evidence of --A. 22 22 Q. Correct? possible evidence of oxidation and degradation, 23 23 Α. Yes. right? 24 O. The only study that you listed in 24 A. We've discussed this line several 25 your degradation study -- or degradation list of 25 times today. Page 660 Page 662 1 1 And the answer is yes, correct? studies that actually did FTIR microscopy showed O. 2 evidence of degradation. 2 A. It showed possible evidence of slight 3 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 3 degradation. What's written is undeniable. 4 the question. 4 THE WITNESS: I am hoping to wrap 5 5 BY MR. THORNBURGH: this up soon, Dave. I am running out of steam. 6 6 MR. THOMAS: I understand. Q. Right? 7 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 7 Just in light of what he said, are 8 8 you getting close to being finished? the question. 9 MR. THORNBURGH: Yeah. I got -- I 9 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 10 10 Right, sir? only have a few little notes here. 11 11 A. Can you restate? MR. THOMAS: Well, last time that got Yeah. Yeah. And I can try to ask in 12 a little bit too late, and the witness is getting 12 Q. 13 a better way. 13 tired. I'm just trying --14 14 THE WITNESS: I'm getting tired. And The only study that you can identify 15 right now for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury 15 if you've got a lot of questions to ask --16 in your list of degradation studies on Exhibit 2262 16 MR. THORNBURGH: I'm tired, too. I'm 17 that actually looked at FTIR microscopy found 17 tired, too. 18 evidence of oxidation and degradation, correct? 18 THE WITNESS: -- and if it's going to 19 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of 19 go beyond five minutes, we need to schedule more 20 the question. Read it correctly, please. 20 time. 21 MR. THORNBURGH: Read it correctly? 21 MR. THORNBURGH: I'm tired, too, 22 22 I wasn't reading anything. Doctor. 23 MR. THOMAS: Read what the report 23 MR. THOMAS: Let's go. Let's go. 24 says. 24 BY MR. THORNBURGH: 25 MR. THORNBURGH: There is evidence 25 You're getting paid for your time

93 (Pages 659 to 662)

Page 663 I today, aren't you? A. Like I said, you've get five minutes. I am running out of energy. If you need more time, well have to reschedule more time. Q. How much money are you getting paid by the hour by Ethicon to come in here and testify as as 30(b)6 winess? A. You know that it's \$225 an hour. You've asked me before. And that's the same reason I gave - work and over again. Let's ask the questions over and over again. Let's ask the questions over and over again. Let's ask the questions and move you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THOMAS: Whoa, whoa, whoa, Just 17 and over again. Let's ask the questions one work you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THOMAS: Thank you. That's all we have. Thanks very much. THE YIDEOGRAPHER: It's now 7-33, and we're concluded with Tape Number 6 in the videotape deposition of Thomas A Barbott. (Witness excused.) Q. How much money are you getting paid to you're deposition it anscript that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. Page 664 La CERTIFICATE Page 664 La CERTIFICATE Page 664 La CERTIFICATE Page 664 La CERTIFICATE Page 666 REASON				
A Like I said, you've got five minutes, we'll have to reschedule more time. Q. How much money are you getting paid by the hout by Ethicon to come in here and testify as a 30(b)6 witness? A. You know that it's \$225 an hour. You've asked me before. And that's the same reason I gave— I make the to any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the relax. Just don't - you're asking questions over and over again. Let's ask the questions and move on. MR. THOMAS: Whoa, whoa, whoa, Just relax. Just don't - you're asking questions over and over again. Let's ask the questions and move on. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THOMAS: Thank you. That's all we have. Thanks very much. TIH: VIDEOGRAPHER: It's now 7:33, and were concluded with Tape Number 6 in the videotape deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. (Witness excused.) (Deposition concluded at approximately 7:33 p.m.) Page 664 CERTIFICATE TERRATA THEREBY CERTIFY that the wimess was duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the wimess. How srequested before completion of the deposition transcript. The book of the deposition transcript. The book of the deposition and the wimess, THOMAS A. BARBOLT The book of the deposition transcript. The book of the deposition transcript. The book of the deposition and the wimess, THOMAS A. BARBOLT The book of the deposition and the wimess, THOMAS A. BARBOLT The book of the deposition and the wimess, THOMAS A. BARBOLT The book of the deposition and the wimess, THOMAS A. BARBOLT The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and or supervision of the certifying reporter.) The deposition and the deference on the direct control and or supervision of the certifying reporter.)		Page 663		Page 665
I am running out of energy. If you need more time, we'll have to reschedule more time. Q. How much money are you getting paid by the hour by Ethicon to come in here and testify as a 30,016 witness? A. You know that it's \$225 an hour. You've asked me before. And that's the same reason I gave I gave MR. THOMAS: Whoa, whoa, Just relation of the tearn of the deposition and over again. Let's ask the questions and move on. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNSURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you find the deposition on-the work and work you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. Page 664 Page 664 Leason Page 664 Leason Page 666 Leason REASON	1	today, aren't you?	1	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS
4 we'll have to reschedule more time. 5 Q. How much money are you getting paid 6 by the hour by Ethicon to come in here and testify 7 as a 30(b)6 witness? 8 A. You know that it's \$225 an hour. 9 You've asked me before. And that's the same reason 1 I gave	2		2	
5 Q. How much money are you getting paid 6 by the hour by Ethicon to come in here and testify 7 as a 30(b)6 witness? 8 A. You know that it's \$225 an hour. 9 You've asked me before. And that's the same reason 10 I gave	3	I am running out of energy. If you need more time,	3	
by the hour by Ethicon to come in here and testify as a 30(b)6 witness? A. You know that it's \$225 an hour. You've asked me before. And that's the same reason I gave— I make the and that's the same reason I gave— I make the and that's the same reason I gave— I make the and that it. It will be attached to your deposition. I gave— I make the and that it. It will be attached to your deposition. I make the angular that the deposition at the witness that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. I it is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. We have. Thanks very much. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's now 7:33, and we're concluded with Tape Number 6 in the videotape deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. (Witness excused.) (Deposition concluded at approximately 7:33 p.m.) Page 664 Terrata sheet for any corrections that are made. After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. We have. Thanks very much. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's now 7:33, and we're concluded with Tape Number 6 in the videotape deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. We have. Thanks very much. Page 664 Terrata sheet and date it. It will be attached to your deposition. The deposition transcript bay you file to do so, the deposition transcript bay out on the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript by you fail to do so, the deposition transcript by you fail to do so, the deposition transcript by you fail to do so, the deposition transcript by you fail to do so, the deposition transcript by you	4	we'll have to reschedule more time.	4	carefully and make any necessary corrections. You
as a 30(b)6 witness? A. You know that it's \$225 an hour. You've asked me before. And than's the same reason I gave — MR. THOMAS: Whoa, whoa, whoa. Just relax. Just don't — you're asking questions over and over again. Let's ask the questions and move on. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THORNS: Thank you. Than you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THOMAS: Thank you. Than you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THOMAS: Thank you. That's all we have. Thanks very much. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's now 7:33, and deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. Que ver concluded with Tape Number 6 in the videotage deposition concluded at approximately 7:33 p.m.) Page 664 L. CERTIFICATE L. CERTIFICATE L. CERTIFICATE L. CERTIFICATE L. LI HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. L. L	5	Q. How much money are you getting paid	5	should state the reason in the appropriate space on
8 A. You know that it's \$225 an hour. 9 You've asked me before. And that's the same reason 10 I gave	6	by the hour by Ethicon to come in here and testify	6	the errata sheet for any corrections that are made.
you've wasked me before. And that's the same reason 1 Jawe - 1 Jaw	7	as a 30(b)6 witness?	7	After doing so, please sign the
It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposition transcript within thirty (30) days of receipts of the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposition transcript that the viness, the fail to a so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposition transcript that the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. It is imperative that you fill the deposition transcript that the vinters that you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. It is imperative that you fill to a so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. It is imperative that you freight and may be used in court. It is imperative that you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. It is imperative that you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. It is imperative that you fill the deposition tr	8	A. You know that it's \$225 an hour.	8	errata sheet and date it. It will be attached to
MR. THOMAS: Whoa, whoa, whoa. Just relax. Just dori't - you're asking questions over any and the deposition transcript you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript you within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript you within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript you within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript you within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. 13	9	You've asked me before. And that's the same reason	9	your deposition.
mr. THOMAS: Whoa, whoa, whoa, Just relax. Just don't - you're asking questions over on. Mr. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. Mr. THOMAS: Thank you. That's all we have. Thanks very much. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's now 7:33, and we're concluded with Tape Number 6 in the videotape deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. (Witness excused.) (Deposition concluded at approximately 7:33 p.m.) Page 664 CERTIFICATE THEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was duly swom by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. H was requested before completion of the deposition transcript. PhD., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 The foregoing certification of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and valve profers.) REASON REAS	10	I gave	10	It is imperative that you return the
relax. Just don't you're asking questions over and over again. Let's ask the questions and move and over again. Let's ask the questions and move and over again. Let's ask the questions and move and over again. Let's ask the questions and move and over again. Let's ask the questions and move and over again. Let's ask the questions and move and and over again. Let's ask the questions and move and and over again. Let's ask the questions and move and and any over again. Let's ask the questions and move again. Let's ask the question for the deposition franker; by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition for and may be used in court. 10 deposition franker; by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition for. The first all the deposition for the deposition for the deposition for the same by any means, unless under the direct control and may be used in court. 11 deposition franker; by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition for. The first all the deposition for and may be used in court. 12 deposition franker; by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition four. 13 deposition franker; by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition four. 14 do position franker; by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition for the deposition for the the deposition for the same by any means and the deposition franker; by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition four. 15 deposition franker; by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition four. 16 d	11	MR. THOMAS: Whoa, whoa, whoa. Just	11	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
and over again. Let's ask the questions and move on. MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. MR. THOMAS: Thank you. That's all we have. Thanks very much. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's now 7:33, and we're concluded with Tape Number 6 in the videotape deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. (Witness excused.) (Deposition concluded at approximately 7:33 p.m.) THEVIDEOGRAPHER: It's now 7:33, and we're concluded with Tape Number 6 in the videotape deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. (Witness excused.) CERTIFICATE THEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was deposition that the witness, THOMAS A. BARBOLT Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 MICHELLE L. Gray, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 The foregoing certification of this amb yany means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direct control as me by any means, unless under the direc	12	relax. Just don't you're asking questions over	12	
14 on. 15 MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. 17 MR. THOMAS: Thank you. That's all we have. Thanks very much. 18 we have. Thanks very much. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's now 7:33, and 19 we're concluded with Tape Number 6 in the videotape deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. 21 deposition concluded at 23 approximately 7:33 p.m.) 22 (Witness excused.) 23 (Deposition concluded at 25 deposition for thomas A Barbolt. 24 approximately 7:33 p.m.) 25 Page 664 1 CERTIFICATE 3 I HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. 8 I t was requested before completion of the deposition transcript. 10 the deposition transcript. 11 Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. 12	13		13	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
15 MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. 16 MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I han's all 17 MR. THOMAS: Thank you. That's all 18 we have. Thanks very much. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's now 7:33, and 19 20 we're concluded with Tape Number 6 in the videotape 21 deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. 21 (Witness excused.) 22 (Witness excused.) 23 (Deposition concluded at 23 approximately 7:33 p.m.) 24 approximately 7:33 p.m.) 25 Page 664 2 CERTIFICATE 3 CERTIFICATE 4 I HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was 6 duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true 7 record of the testimony given by the witness. 8 It was requested before completion of 10 the deposition that the witness, THOMAS A. BARBOLT 11 Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. 10 deposition transcript. 11 MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified 17 MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 Cartific American State of the direct control 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and ox supervision of the certifying reporter.) 20 CERTIFICATE 2 ERRATA 2 2 PAGE LINE CHANGE 4 TREASON 4 TREASO	14		14	
16 you're tired. I am going to pass the witness. 16	15	MR. THORNBURGH: I hear you. I know	15	
17		•		·
18 we have. Thanks very much. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's now 7:33, and we're concluded with Tape Number 6 in the videotape deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. 21 deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. 22 (Witness excused.) 23 (Deposition concluded at approximately 7:33 p.m.) 24 approximately 7:33 p.m.) 25 Page 664 1 CERTIFICATE 2 CERTIFICATE 3 FI HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. 8 It was requested before completion of the deposition transcript. 9 It was requested before completion of the deposition transcript. 10 Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. 11 Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. 12 MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified 17 MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 (The foregoing certification of the same by any means, unless under the direct control as after same by any means, unless under the direct control and supervision of the certifying reporter.) 18 GRASON 19 REASON 20 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON 25 REASON 26 REASON 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 22 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON 24 REASON 25 REASON 26 REASON 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON 24 REASON 25 REASON 26 REASON 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON 24 REASON 25 REASON 26 REASON 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 24 REASON 25 REASON 26 REASON 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON 25 REASON 26 REASON 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 21 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON 25 REAS				
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's now 7:33, and we're concluded with Tape Number 6 in the videotape deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. 21	18	•	18	
20	19			
21 deposition of Thomas A Barbolt. 22 (Witness excused.) 22 22 (Deposition concluded at approximately 7:33 p.m.) 24 25 25 25 25 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27				
22		•		
23		•		
24 approximately 7:33 p.m.) Page 664 Page 666 1 CERTIFICATE CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. I HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. REASON				
Page 664 Page 666 CERTIFICATE CERTIFICATE PAGE LINE CHANGE I HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. It was requested before completion of the deposition that the witness, THOMAS A. BARBOLT Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. REASON				
Page 664 CERTIFICATE CERTIFICATE CERTIFICATE CERTA T A PAGE LINE CHANGE TERRAT A PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON REASON It was requested before completion of the deposition that the witness, THOMAS A. BARBOLT Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. REASON R		upprominutely (100 pmm)		
CERTIFICATE CERTIFICATE CERTIFICATE CERTIFICATE ERRATA PAGE LINE CHANGE HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. REASON REASON REASON REASON Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. REASON		Daga 664		Dage 666
2 CERTIFICATE 3 PAGE LINE CHANGE 4 SPAGE LINE CHANGE 5 I HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. 6 duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. 7 REASON 9 It was requested before completion of the deposition that the witness, THOMAS A. BARBOLT Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. 10 deposition transcript. 11 REASON 12 SEASON 14 SEASON 15 REASON 16 SEASON 17 REASON 18 Shorthand Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 REASON 20 SEASON 21 REASON 22 Transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 25 REASON 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 SEASON 20 SEASON 20 SEASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON 25 REASON 26 REASON 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 SEASON 20 SEASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON 25 REASON 26 REASON 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 SEASON 20 SEASON 20 SEASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 MAY SUPERVISION of the certifying reporter.)		rage 004	_	
3		CEDITIFICATIO	1	
4 I HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was 6 duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true 7 record of the testimony given by the witness. 8 It was requested before completion of 10 the deposition that the witness, THOMAS A. BARBOLT 11 Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the 12 deposition transcript. 13 14 15 16 17 MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 20 21 (The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 28 29 THEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was 4 20 21 REASON 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 REASON 22 21 22 23 24 24 26 27 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 24 26 27 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 24 26 27 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 26 27 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 24 26 27 28 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24		CERTIFICATE	_	ERRATA
I HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness was duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. It was requested before completion of the deposition that the witness, THOMAS A. BARBOLT Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. REASON				DACE LINE CHANCE
duly sworn by me and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness. It was requested before completion of the deposition that the witness, THOMAS A. BARBOLT, the deposition transcript. Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. REASON		I HERERY CERTIFY that the witness was		PAGE LINE CHANGE
record of the testimony given by the witness. It was requested before completion of the deposition that the witness, THOMAS A. BARBOLT Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. REASON REASON REASON REASON REASON REASON REASON 10 REASON 11 REASON 12 13 REASON 14 15 REASON 16 Professional Reporter, Certified Professional Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 20 21 (The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) REASON REASON 10 11 REASON 12 13 REASON 14 15 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON				REASON
8 It was requested before completion of 10 the deposition that the witness, THOMAS A. BARBOLT 11 Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the 12 deposition transcript. 11 REASON 12 II REASON 14 II REASON 15 REASON 16 II REASON 17 MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered 18 Shorthand Reporter, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 REASON 20 II REASON 21 REASON 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON 24 REASON 25 REASON 26 REASON 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON 24 REASON		, ,		KLASON
9 It was requested before completion of 10 the deposition that the witness, THOMAS A. BARBOLT 11 Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the 12 deposition transcript. 13 14 15 16 17 MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 20 21 (The foregoing certification of this 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) REASON		22.22. 22 and 12.22.22. grant by and 11.22.22.		PEASON
the deposition that the witness, THOMAS A. BARBOLT Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. REASON	9	It was requested before completion of		KE/ISON
Ph.D., have the opportunity to read and sign the deposition transcript. 11 REASON 12 REASON 15 REASON 16 Professional Reporter, Certified Professional Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 REASON 16 PREASON 17 REASON 18 REASON 19 REASON 20 REASON 21 (The foregoing certification of this 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)	10			REASON
deposition transcript. 11 REASON 12 13 REASON 15 16 14 15 15 REASON 16 Professional Reporter, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 20 21 (The foregoing certification of this 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 11 REASON 12 13 REASON 14 15 REASON 16 17 REASON 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	11			
13 14 15 16 17 MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 20 21 (The foregoing certification of this 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 12 13 REASON 14 15 REASON 16 17 REASON 20 21 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON 24 REASON	12	deposition transcript.		
14 15 16 17 MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 20 21 (The foregoing certification of this 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) REASON 18 18 19 18 REASON 20 21 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON				
15 16 17 MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 20 21 (The foregoing certification of this 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 18 REASON 10 12 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON 24 REASON 25 REASON 26 REASON 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON				
16 17 MICHELLE L. GRAY, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 20 21 (The foregoing certification of this 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 15 REASON 16 17 REASON 20 21 REASON 22 23 REASON 24 REASON 24 REASON 25 REASON 26 REASON 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 REASON 20 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON 24 REASON				
Professional Reporter, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 20 21 (The foregoing certification of this 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 16 17 REASON 20 20 21 REASON 22 REASON 22 REASON 23 REASON		MOVELLE L. CDAY D		
Professional Reporter, Certified 18 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public Dated: January 16, 2014 19 REASON 20 REASON 21 (The foregoing certification of this 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 27 REASON 28 REASON 29 REASON 20 REASON 21 REASON 22 REASON 22 REASON	T./			
Dated: January 16, 2014 19 20 21 (The foregoing certification of this 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 18 19 REASON 20 21 REASON 22 3 REASON 24 4	10		17	REASON
19 20 21 (The foregoing certification of this 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 19 REASON 20 21 REASON 22 22 REASON 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 REASON 22 22 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 22 22 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	10		18	
20	19	Dated. January 10, 2014	19	REASON
21 (The foregoing certification of this 22 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the 23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 21 REASON 22 TREASON 24 TREASON 25 TREASON 26 TREASON 27 TREASON 28 TREASON 29 TREASON 20 TREASON 20 TREASON 20 TREASON 20 TREASON 20 TREASON 21 TREASON 22 TREASON 23 TREASON 24 TREASON 25 TREASON 26 TREASON 27 TREASON 28 TREASON 29 TREASON 20 TREASON 20 TREASON 20 TREASON 20 TREASON 20 TREASON 20 TREASON 21 TREASON 22 TREASON 22 TREASON 23 TREASON 24 TREASON 25 TREASON 26 TREASON 27 TREASON 28 TREASON 29 TREASON 20 TREASON 21 TREASON 20 TREAS			20	
transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)		(The foregoing certification of this	21	REASON
23 same by any means, unless under the direct control 24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.) 23 REASON			22	
24 and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)	23		23	REASON
25 REASON	24		24	
			0.5	DEACON

94 (Pages 663 to 666)

	Page 667	
1		
2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	
4	I,, do hereby	
5	certify that I have read the foregoing pages, 294 -	
6 7	668, and that the same is a correct transcription of	
8	the answers given by me to the questions therein propounded, except for the corrections or changes in	
9	form or substance, if any, noted in the attached	
10	Errata Sheet.	
11		
12 13		
14	THOMAS A. BARBOLT, Ph.D. DATE	
15		
16		
17	Subscribed and sworn to before me this	
18	day of, 20	
19	My commission expires:	
20		
21	Notary Public	
22	·	
23		
24 25		
	Page 668	
1	Page 668	
1 2	Page 668 LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
	LAWYER'S NOTES	
2	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LAWYER'S NOTES PAGE LINE	

95 (Pages 667 to 668)

				Page 669
A	accelerated 421:1	Adding 555:7,17	administration	306:15 311:25
Aachen 418:25	421:10,15 423:6	addition 490:1	566:24	391:17 403:13
419:3,5,10	423:22	546:11,12 576:15	admitted 469:10	457:16 461:17
ability 502:22	accept 340:8 343:3	additional 348:20	advance 409:10	484:16 523:10
able 357:14 360:10	acceptability	387:4 404:3,15	520:7	531:17 574:3
476:23 479:22	481:19	405:4 421:4	advent 605:13	620:19
544:25 562:23	acceptable 475:9	424:20 425:3	adverse 374:6	aid 416:19 478:20
565:22 583:18	576:22	460:14 467:20	488:14 493:8	aim 327:13
586:9 609:3 615:7	access 461:4	468:8 478:3,25	504:23 505:7,23	al 296:16 414:23
615:11,18,23,24	Accession 299:11	481:2 503:16	566:1 567:1 569:1	allow 610:7
616:2,3,6,7,12,14	accompany 600:13	534:1 554:11,11	570:23 571:20	allowed 597:17
616:21,23 617:1,3	accumulating	556:22 574:18	579:25 582:3,18	allows 610:14
643:22 648:14	488:7	583:16,19 597:13	628:21	allude 519:14
649:7	accurate 665:14	656:11	adversely 384:20	alluding 392:6
above-captioned	acid 362:25 363:2,8	additive 416:18,23	affairs 450:17	518:5 522:22
294:15	ACKNOWLED	417:5,9 442:25	514:20 554:21	alongside 519:9
abrasions 397:23	667:2	444:2 479:4,6	afraid 641:16	alter 533:11
414:23	acquired 542:15	481:22 489:22	agarose 471:21	alteration 411:18
absence 355:20,21	acronym 623:15	497:13 500:13	472:7	altered 372:22
383:5,8 460:12,13	act 661:15	502:10 569:17	agent 473:21 474:9	410:22 411:11
621:17	action 343:9,22	additives 314:5	475:15,25 483:23	altogether 593:12
absolutely 444:19	344:9 345:9,17	417:8 443:7 459:8	agents 469:10	amorphous 355:25
462:25 520:4	346:2 347:14	466:14,20 467:3	aging 421:1,10,12	355:25 356:4,4,25
569:10 606:15	355:1 402:15	467:20,20 468:8	421:15 423:6,22	357:2
610:10 635:13	403:2 405:2	468:23 470:8,9	ago 311:24 326:15	amount 483:14
656:10	432:25 447:23	481:3,6,13 485:9	391:9 398:9	503:6 512:5
absorbable 368:5	453:17 455:10	485:14 489:20	489:24 656:16	529:11 530:3
376:1 564:10	459:25 460:15	493:17,20 494:3	agree 307:13	analysis 357:5
657:9	511:13,13 604:18	497:5,6 501:15	312:15 334:3	367:10,12,17
absorbance 405:13	607:18	503:21 504:2	347:16 348:4	368:8,14 401:23
absorbances 407:8	actions 294:8	569:24 570:12,14	349:9,15 362:20	580:9 598:20
407:10,13	352:13 443:3	570:19	363:10 374:14	649:16,17 650:1
absorbed 343:8,21	459:18	address 343:19	375:8 380:23	656:7 657:22
344:8 345:8,16	actual 415:2	358:2 461:13	383:11 385:3,14	analyst's 626:7
346:1 347:13	418:21 442:2	493:23 494:21	385:22 386:2	analytical 357:11
354:25 402:14	483:17 540:3	495:25 496:8	393:16,25 396:17	625:2,7 630:13
403:1 405:1	655:15	506:25 524:3	408:13,19 417:5	646:10
409:11 447:18,22	acute 596:9	530:12 540:7	418:18 426:20	analyze 610:8
448:15 604:17	add 386:10 387:15	544:3 648:25	428:14 431:17	analyzed 609:5
607:17	435:7	addressed 485:14	443:14 452:21	analyzing 357:22
absorption 315:18	added 393:17,21	486:5	456:10 458:20	Andrews 296:22
368:20 655:22	394:10 395:6	adhered 536:18	462:13,25 492:6	and-a-half 527:25
656:19 657:8,10	396:2 480:22	adherent 533:10	505:11 540:16	528:2 529:4,15
abstract 587:24	482:1 489:20,21	534:1 535:16	552:12,13,21,24	538:17
absurd 437:7,18	554:10 555:22	adjacent 305:25	610:9 636:7	and/or 344:17
accelerate 567:12	556:22 568:1	387:14 536:22	653:19	345:5 375:10
	600:10	541:1 603:5	ahead 305:5 306:9	475:6 485:13

602:20 664:24	636:5 638:23	379:19 593:9	527:23 663:24	asks 602:1
anecdotal 418:9,16	640:22 643:3	605:6 649:15	aqueous 482:21	aspect 600:17
418:20	648:16 662:1	appearance 564:13	503:7	assay 472:5
Angeles 296:14	answered 331:21	APPEARANCES	area 357:12,21	assays 567:20
animal 297:15,20	366:20 385:7,11	295:1 296:1	390:8 432:19,24	assembled 559:5
305:19 307:14,21	395:9 421:24	Appearing 295:11	441:17,19 442:5	assess 318:3 320:4
320:23 343:7	422:20 453:6	appears 309:15	443:18 506:24	325:7 355:8
344:7,20 347:18	494:7 531:11	350:13 351:1	517:21,22 542:3	assessed 342:3
359:11,11 364:16	583:7 614:13	366:21 370:22	545:13,18 546:3	588:15
365:18 366:25	answering 319:4	514:24 604:6,13	546:18 565:25	assessment 308:6
369:9 383:15	366:15 451:15	apples 501:18,18	613:4	333:10,24 463:18
390:6 420:9,19	answers 406:13,22	501:19,19,20,20	areas 356:15,18	473:5 505:2 575:2
426:5,6,24 427:9	437:19,21 620:15	applicable 354:13	357:10 398:5	575:21 577:20
428:4 459:14,18	667:7	432:16	405:8 407:7 440:3	578:10 579:8
459:25 462:17	antioxidant 359:16	application 479:17	551:19 554:22	580:13 592:12
477:19 522:12	359:21 393:21	560:19,23 604:1	arena 508:22	assessments 374:9
542:23 545:5	417:8 435:6,8	applied 322:18	529:21 531:1,5	449:8,9 464:9
571:15 574:22	443:2 467:24	466:14 480:7,12	540:6 580:19,21	528:10 578:20
597:11,11 598:3	478:21 482:12,15	481:3,12 503:16	argue 438:19	600:24
601:8,17,23	483:23 490:3,7	512:5 529:4,12	464:18 491:12,25	associated 460:3
602:24 612:9	494:3 495:18	542:10	640:10,24	487:25 537:1
615:8 641:3,7	496:2,22 497:13	apply 578:17	arguing 438:15	557:3 568:16
animals 459:15	497:17,25	664:22	article 359:15	589:25 590:3
526:25 529:23	antioxidants 314:5	appreciate 484:1	372:19 379:4	591:25 609:18
561:15 566:25	353:24 354:1	591:13 630:6	410:18 472:8	assume 309:5
569:1 587:9,11	359:13 360:3,21	661:3	550:15	502:16 643:2
590:9 597:14	393:17,25 394:10	appreciated 544:21	articles 351:11	assurance 322:16
606:3	395:6 396:2 443:5	appropriate 435:6	535:1 551:23	322:20 462:22
answer 303:5 319:1	466:21,23 467:8	435:7 543:21	artificial 571:11	assure 517:16
319:6 326:14	468:12 490:15,20	576:14,22 578:7	587:13	atmosphere 583:4
327:10,11 329:11	491:15 492:9,24	607:11 665:5	asked 331:4,20	583:6
331:5,6 342:9,10	492:25 494:15	appropriately	343:25 371:25	attached 344:19
356:20 360:10	498:22 504:2	423:10	376:18 421:24	345:6 386:15
364:25 365:2,5,14	anybody 319:13	approval 560:2,17	422:20 423:9	484:24 485:3,18
365:24 366:11,13	326:12 328:13	563:4,8 603:22	457:6,13 492:16	486:4 511:8 513:5
367:8 376:19	529:8,21 530:16	604:25	542:18 569:18	513:15 581:20
395:16,21,25	531:4 548:20	approve 560:23	599:5,7 600:11	602:22 624:2
402:20 430:1	648:17 649:3	562:25	601:7 614:12,16	665:8 667:9
431:19 438:25	anybody's 312:14	approved 308:19	617:15 618:14	Attachment 446:12
441:3,3 474:16	anyway 377:21	562:13,17 570:14	620:14,17 663:9	attack 545:4
492:17,19 494:7	apologize 547:14	570:17 603:24	asking 337:21	attempt 351:10
518:24 519:3	592:22	604:5,25	361:12 403:3	483:16 521:14
521:20 522:2	apparently 378:14	approximate 483:1	416:2,5 418:8	528:23
531:12 543:21	378:22 456:5	483:17 589:10	439:5 463:25	attempted 592:10
546:2 551:8	480:23 487:6	592:7	474:24 506:8	attention 370:16
609:13,14 630:23	647:3 652:1	approximately	554:16,24 607:5	409:22 447:11
630:25 634:6	appear 313:4	342:23 402:9	661:16 663:12	455:13 630:11
			l	l

	500.04		22442425	l
attorney 665:11	580:24	664:10 667:14	336:6 342:1 350:2	biocompatibility
attorneys 653:1,16	AYLSTOCK 295:3	Barbolt's 551:16	352:5 363:19	298:7 333:9,23
661:21	296:2	Bard 372:20	390:11 417:9	350:9,23 351:3,7
attribute 614:23	a.m 294:22 304:5	410:19 415:11	420:13 424:14	427:15 428:12
615:9,18,25 616:3	B	416:12 434:25	432:14 457:3	429:9,13,19 430:4
616:7,15,23 617:4		516:4 520:1	478:20 486:11	455:15 458:9
636:20	B 295:8 297:10	based 416:6 456:10	548:9,16 553:21	463:18 464:8
attributed 503:6	298:2 299:2 300:2	463:9 492:6,6	554:9 555:20	473:5 477:18,24
512:2	301:2 302:2	505:11 511:14	556:21 636:21	485:13 492:3
attribution 629:14	back 305:10 337:5	512:4 536:13	650:7 659:10	493:23 505:2
August 402:11	337:6 349:6 366:6	554:6 605:5	believed 387:2	508:21 524:6
403:5 462:6	381:3 391:5	609:17	547:9	549:14 550:12
626:25 627:10	396:25 409:18,22	baseline 624:3	believes 613:1	560:15 575:12,20
author 370:22	410:6 418:7 419:7	648:4	bell 515:1 532:15	577:20 578:6,9,10
371:2 397:3	433:10 437:17	basically 393:23	Belleville 296:9	578:18,20 579:7
401:17	444:25 446:16	486:14 623:21	bench-top 586:24	600:24 601:3,9,17
authored 484:12	495:6 499:6 508:2	basis 310:14	587:2,12	601:23
515:1 635:11	543:21 547:4	340:25 341:13,20	bending 550:7	biocompatible
638:16	548:1 557:15	342:16 344:18	benefits 516:8,8	363:22 364:10
authoritative	558:25 574:3,4	345:5 422:12	Bernardino 296:16	456:17 458:14
351:23	577:18 578:22,23	583:15 602:21	best 327:5,8 420:16	596:11
authors 350:13	594:11 609:20	603:12 610:5	444:19	biological 309:9
352:22,25 353:4	611:20 612:2	Basso 513:4	bet 661:13	310:1
353:15 358:21	613:24 618:7	batch 489:2	better 430:4 431:18	biomaterials 620:1
364:1 514:15	624:19,20,20,21	Bates 353:9 446:7	479:1 530:21	biomechanical
537:5,10,12	629:23 630:11	455:13 624:19	594:13 648:23	301:13 327:23
autoclave 316:17	645:4 653:10	BB 599:7	660:13	550:24,25 586:7
322:23	background	Beagle 623:11	Beyer-Nolen	586:25 587:2
autoclaved 503:13	486:19 541:3	beauty 368:17,18	296:11	biomedical 353:18
autoclaving 503:5	545:16	becoming 513:22	beyond 458:17	bipedal 522:6,17
503:15	backwards 630:10	began 354:3 392:17	464:18 541:21,22	bipeds 522:17
autooxidation	bad 487:24	409:22	551:19 560:15,25	bit 304:24 375:17
354:2 359:18	BAGGETT 296:3	beginning 370:19	662:19	444:6 472:1
available 301:14	bands 357:17	393:22 568:8,20	big 435:4 581:16	514:17 564:19
348:22 387:4	658:17,25	569:25 570:22	binder 297:14,19	592:21 617:19
463:3 464:10	Barbolt 294:14	581:4	302:6 306:8 311:2	648:23 651:20
489:8 503:9	297:4 304:8,11	beginnings 488:19	422:8 471:9	655:19 662:12
Avenue 294:21	307:6 366:4,9	begins 304:6 366:8	617:11	Bittman 296:19
average 323:6	388:16 404:23	433:12 446:11	binders 306:10	black 399:21
589:10 592:7	433:8,14,16	495:8 548:3 560:4	354:23 426:9	blistering 372:23
632:9	439:14 445:3	570:3 614:1	427:9 428:3	410:23 411:19
aware 336:12	454:23 495:4,10	behalf 295:11	431:24 471:2	bloom 481:13
339:13 354:17	495:12 547:7	364:15 365:9,22	558:10,14,17,18	497:6 500:14
389:6,19 416:5	548:5,7 551:19	367:13 375:8	559:6 653:14,21	blooming 481:5,6
421:18 422:14	557:21 607:15	438:22	653:22 654:2,3,9	501:15 503:20
434:12 448:20	613:22 614:3,5	behavior 327:8	654:10,16	504:1
464:21,23 542:25	618:23 663:21	believe 306:6 333:8	bio 550:11	blooms 504:5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Page 672 **blue** 301:8 510:8 605:10 623:16,17 called 294:14 478:12 479:23 certify 664:5 667:5 623:19,23 624:9 359:20 363:20 480:23 481:3,13 certifying 664:24 513:5,22 515:3,3 **chain** 371:17 372:5 **breaks** 585:23 502:23 503:20 515:4,7,16 516:6 364:6 388:4 566:6 516:8 523:24 621:19 646:11 567:8 569:6 575:1 504:1,13 505:8 619:25 620:1 **BOBBY** 296:3 506:1,19 551:1 **chains** 424:4 **BRIDGES** 296:12 588:17,20 589:14 **bodies** 521:3,24 brief 305:9 336:25 595:18 601:22 565:25 629:14 454:14 619:14,15 524:13,14 621:11 635:3 **caused** 397:17 620:2 632:9,10,23 **calling** 590:2 **body** 323:20 **briefly** 316:23 606:20 607:20,22 633:9 346:17 347:18 433:16 561:8,12 calls 536:16 **causes** 612:5 challenged 415:6 349:10 352:14 **bring** 445:22 446:1 carbonyl 354:9,11 challenging 372:12 **CC** 601:6,22 547:15 558:15 357:17 407:9,13 372:17 381:16 353:19 354:20 cc'd 381:9 cell 502:22,23 358:22 363:13,15 broadened 405:13 658:17,25 410:16 cardiovascular 363:22 364:2 **broke** 586:3 556:17 567:18 **chance** 353:1 404:19 406:4 397:13 426:4,7,21 brought 426:9 454:17 621:22 568:5 589:24 422:22 444:7,8,9 427:11 428:5,22 427:9 428:3 434:8 care 385:13 389:11 591:8,24 430:4 434:16 453:11 471:2 cells 459:1,2 472:4 **change** 304:23 598:3 481:7 496:11 517:14 519:16 carefully 665:4 472:16,17 473:19 408:17 426:1 500:16 517:21 524:5 530:13 Cary 461:20 474:8 475:1,5,10 433:3 452:8 case 296:16 330:22 535:25 536:2,8 564:21 579:13 475:14,24 476:7 478:14,15,16 **Bruce** 369:22 330:25 387:22 544:24 545:4,13 545:3 567:24 488:14 493:6,9 566:13 571:11 372:11 381:4,15 440:18 468:5 568:1,2 657:17 494:24 495:13 body's 535:23,23 410:15 472:4,6 485:15 cellular 379:23 554:17 555:3,8 BSR 623:14,15 545:14 488:22 504:13 386:1 596:20 606:19 **BONNE** 296:12 624:6 511:22 526:13 **Celsius** 482:8 612:14 620:5,24 book 351:2,2 **bulk** 356:13 537:19 544:22 **Center** 378:8 621:1 624:10 **bottom** 378:12 **bundles** 589:11 546:5,10,11 centimeters 524:25 633:1 666:3 395:17 446:12 592:9 564:11 567:10 527:24 **changed** 323:19 593:24 625:11 **Burkley** 413:7 571:22 614:11 central 338:7,20 362:10 578:13 644:4 645:19,20 414:2 484:12 620:4 643:22 422:14 549:2 **changes** 347:24 486:6 490:19 certain 333:4,7 **bought** 487:6 648:19 657:11 348:10 373:1,8,22 Boulevard 296:13 492:23 626:25 cases 294:7 388:3 346:23 347:5,6 374:9 383:2 **boxes** 558:14 649:5 420:24 453:13 350:18 351:14 384:14,15 386:8 **BRAD** 296:3 **Burns** 508:14 546:6,6 646:3 362:11 458:10 387:1 391:10 **BRADFORD** 509:21 655:16 525:16 587:14 392:23 412:6,11 296:3 burst 621:25 catch 577:23 643:19,21 646:9 412:21 413:1,19 **break** 337:3 349:2 **Business** 513:14 categories 567:6 646:13 414:1,5 418:10 certainly 379:12 349:5 366:5 578:8 602:15 421:2,16 423:5,23 \mathbf{C} 436:5,6 449:11,12 379:19 391:4 category 573:23,24 406:23,24 414:1 C 354:7 409:14,17 433:9 574:23 589:9,23 425:2 459:10 452:6 487:1 **calcium** 363:1 437:14 444:24 590:8 599:5 601:6 504:22 505:7 488:24 565:6,19 497:12 495:5 508:1 547:3 601:6 602:1,5,9 562:1 620:9 625:19 California 296:14 547:25 557:10,14 602:11,14,17,18 **CERTIFICATE** 628:19 630:22 call 335:20 406:14 586:14,16,17,18 603:8 604:12,16 664:2 667:8 406:15 460:17 613:17,23 621:11 608:1 certification chapter 351:2 481:5 519:9 592:8 629:18,22 656:20 **catgut** 606:2 664:21 characteristic 647:24 648:4 breakdown 454:13 Certified 294:18 cause 385:25 389:7 466:15 643:16 649:5 breaking 424:3 452:24 453:20 664:17 characteristics

Page 673 commenting 517:9 356:24 420:17 cite 604:11 580:9,18,21 599:8 626:16 627:13 437:5 452:9 565:5 City 486:23 clinically 500:22 **comments** 467:16 628:6 648:2 586:7 642:19 CIVDS1307951 587:16 625:20 comparisons characterization 296:16 clinician 437:11,16 Commercially 622:14 476:16,18 477:1,8 claim 305:17,19 440:8 441:7,13 301:14 compatible 364:7 commission 667:19 477:15,21 307:14 310:14 628:23 364:11 competition 510:6 characterize 339:5 340:5 clinicians 439:17 committee 598:4 440:17 450:18 319:12 356:22 341:21 342:16,22 516:3 523:22 **common** 609:6 characterized 343:7 344:7 460:16 611:15 competitors 520:1 345:15,20 346:5 close 536:4 662:8 commonly 472:5 competitor's 511:9 501:3 352:12 402:13,25 compilation 329:18 **charge** 514:19 coach 661:16 610:19 community 320:15 Charleston 294:3 403:8 404:24 **coaching** 661:3,5,8 343:18 367:5 **coated** 467:19 companies 487:3 463:10 514:9 295:9 435:21 Charlotte 514:14 **claims** 364:1 422:9 468:7 **company** 362:16 578:4 **coating** 593:25 514:16 claim/statement 362:18 439:15 **compile** 548:20,23 **chart** 568:7 344:18 345:6 **cobalt** 313:20 458:6 486:15,21 **compiled** 338:13 **check** 323:13 475:2 602:22 cold 304:22 487:1 575:18 387:25 422:13 checking 418:7 clarification collagen 606:3 576:6,10 653:16 661:20 chemical 491:21 340:13 489:18 collected 419:6 comparable 427:5 complaints 511:5 chemically 364:6 530:11 531:13 428:18 501:5 **clarify** 318:14 511:12 512:1 chemicals 482:2 335:9 **colorant** 498:15 573:2 598:17 **complete** 360:10 class 352:17,17 395:24 406:2 **chemist** 356:23 **colored** 561:15 comparative colorless 561:9 298:12 377:7 494:9,10 632:25 353:15 446:13 chemistry 357:12 clean 636:5.5 655:10 compare 318:5 completely 413:19 358:1 488:24 clear 434:9 510:10 **column** 378:20 334:21 339:21 521:21 completion 664:9 625:2,7 630:13 515:8,10 516:5 590:7 591:24 502:10 522:22 **chlorine** 362:24 clearance 563:4 combination 587:25 610:17 compliance 322:11 363:2,7 576:6 569:15 623:18 550:6 574:13 **chose** 339:3 390:5 clearly 315:3 324:8 **COMBS** 295:7,8 **compared** 334:25 complication 324:19 330:9 516:11 390:16 454:5 **come** 351:6 374:16 335:25 336:3 338:16 339:9 455:3 548:8,16 356:23 367:22 385:23 473:20 component 327:24 chromates 362:25 371:20 496:2 474:8 475:14,24 357:2 426:4,8,15 413:13 456:4,6 chromatography 534:14 549:3 483:1,17 515:5 426:22 427:11,17 473:11 481:21 595:6 648:24 519:14 543:21 428:5 469:23 564:8,16 578:5 622:6 **chronic** 310:6,10 **clinic** 587:6 549:5,13 559:23 470:2 522:12 579:9 600:9 316:7,12 323:20 **clinical** 420:8 610:7 633:4 550:25 576:21 components 327:21 467:24 479:3 501:3 506:1,12 451:17 456:15 640:13 650:3 622:8 625:13 594:1 600:18 554:9 555:18,21 458:12 461:13 653:9 663:6 626:13,17 628:1 556:2,10,16,23,24 462:19 463:3,9,15 comes 452:25 631:16 632:12 606:12 557:4 596:12,22 463:16 464:10,24 comparing 301:20 **composed** 324:13 454:1 458:1 324:18 501:18 composite 321:10 596:24 488:8,12 506:24 **coming** 375:9 chronological 507:9 516:10 386:7 403:21 626:8 627:2,6 321:12 324:13 522:14,21 525:7 452:22 540:5 comparison 323:23 434:11 575:4 560:7 Chu 350:14,20 526:18 528:17,21 553:1 578:9 338:22 429:10 composition

523:7 544:14

574:21 622:13

623:25 625:20,22

491:21

Composix 372:20

410:20 415:11

651:25

294:22

commencing

529:7,8,21 530:11

531:1,5,14 540:6

540:6 542:16

359:15

576:9

circumstance

	•	•	i	i
compounding	529:14 530:7	confident 444:18	474:9 475:14,25	Cont'd 297:10
498:1,24	531:7,8	552:13	476:7 578:7	298:2 299:2 300:2
comprise 603:17	conditions 346:23	CONFIDENTIAL	contain 347:11	301:2 302:2
comprised 620:2	421:10 479:3	294:9	359:12 431:24	converse 633:18
concentrated 363:1	482:9 483:18	confirm 324:4	559:17	coordination
concentration	522:8,21 528:9	325:23 331:1	contained 314:6	602:12
482:2	529:22,23 530:18	confirmed 480:1	321:19 322:4	copied 370:14
concentrations	537:18 587:14	500:10 593:2	333:5 336:18	371:8,10,24
481:25	conduct 318:21	connection 561:6	338:2,22 342:20	410:10 418:4
concepts 415:6	320:11 334:17,18	598:20	344:18 362:5	653:20
concern 565:25	334:20 365:16	connective 535:19	408:21 442:21	copies 302:15
606:20 607:20,22	366:23 369:9	consequence 436:7	470:4 478:10	654:4
concerned 454:13	564:6 571:6	566:21	501:14 502:4,9	copolymer 430:19
481:1 523:21	586:21 598:13	consequences	570:19 597:20	copy 377:17,18
concerning 474:7	conducted 308:23	582:3,19 621:21	654:14	378:13 391:24
511:5 601:16	318:9,15 319:21	consider 518:4	containing 432:15	400:8 466:1
602:2 618:15	322:13,15,19	529:1	contains 306:24	476:23 477:12
conclude 327:23	328:20 330:20	considered 310:20	360:11 467:20	484:13 485:3
355:22 396:23	336:9 337:10	321:17 325:1,13	595:1	523:18 531:21
449:14 451:16	341:5 347:17	329:1 341:4	contentious 327:3	547:16 585:4,9
480:6 483:3	348:5 358:12,14	351:12 429:2	context 341:4	635:21,22
564:14	367:4,23 374:15	430:2 473:12	369:4 479:13	corner 585:14
concluded 663:20	377:2 402:8,24	501:10 540:14	509:6 510:4	590:6
663:23	422:11 426:25	560:21 561:23	517:16 538:22	corporate 422:13
concludes 366:3	427:5 429:9,17,21	575:8 587:4	542:5 568:18	464:4 476:15,17
433:7 495:2	431:25 463:11	610:12	594:19 651:21	476:18,25 477:15
613:20	471:6,20 472:5	considering 342:3	continue 432:3	491:20
conclusion 312:22	473:7 476:5 478:4	consisted 323:17	continued 294:13	correct 307:21
398:8 399:4	478:25 479:19	consistent 401:3	304:15 487:15	308:10,12,14,16
401:11,13 405:9	481:24 490:19	521:15 556:7	488:22	308:20 309:6,23
407:8 408:4 423:8	494:12,20 500:24	564:4 565:2,19	continuous 489:2	309:24 310:3,8,15
430:8 434:14	519:25 520:5	591:4,19 595:13	contract 329:7	310:22 311:4,7,11
627:9,13 628:3,24	522:13 524:9,11	598:21,24 604:12	contraction 328:24	312:7,9,17 313:17
629:4 633:12,16	534:15 542:12	626:23 658:18	330:10,12 335:16	314:1,6,17 316:1
633:17 634:1,24	564:6 565:18	659:1	contribute 422:15	316:13 318:22
635:16 636:11	567:3 571:10	consisting 575:5	contributing 479:7	320:14 321:20
637:22 638:6,14	572:8 573:18	construct 414:24	479:18 483:4	322:7,9 323:2,8
638:19 639:5	574:13,16 575:13	construction	contribution 356:9	324:9 325:4,15,16
641:25 647:16,17	575:21 578:11,18	335:25 336:3	504:14 545:1	325:18 329:2,5
651:24	582:11 593:6	512:4	control 334:22	331:16 333:19,21
conclusions 395:18	595:7,24 597:11	consult 474:16	510:7 534:14	333:22 334:11
451:24 610:17	597:15 598:8,16	consultant 419:19	627:4 628:1	339:11,15,23
635:15 636:8	600:16 609:21	consultants 419:11	646:16 648:2	340:7,9,17,19,22
637:15,17 651:5	618:16 624:1	consummating	664:23	341:23 342:18,25
condition 520:14	625:8 636:9,12	489:8	controlled 420:18	343:10,11 344:11
521:1,11,22	655:12,17 656:12	contact 453:1	controls 467:4,9	345:18,22 346:17
522:11,12,12	conducts 586:20	472:3,15 473:20	468:3	351:18,21 352:4
L	1	1	1	1

			1	1
356:13 357:5,19	507:21,22 509:11	667:8	383:13 384:3,10	cross-examine
359:13,14 360:5	512:18 516:24	corrective 511:12	385:4,17 388:19	464:14 552:2
362:17 363:2,8	519:1 520:15	511:13	389:7 390:8	CROSS-NOTIC
364:4 367:24	523:24 524:15	correctly 350:17	400:23 402:7	294:8
372:15 373:21	528:4 532:20	417:20 486:15	618:24	cross-section
380:14,21 382:9	534:20,23 537:6	487:12 514:1	cracked 394:12	593:23
390:22 391:11	537:15 538:6	638:10 660:20,21	395:8 396:4,18	crucial 515:22
392:11 394:12	544:18 545:5	correspondence	398:4 400:9 405:8	crumble 513:24
395:8 396:5,19	547:11 548:18,19	386:23	405:11 406:5	crumbling 513:18
397:24 398:1,24	549:7 551:13,14	corresponding	630:20 659:19	513:25
399:13 402:2,4,10	552:19 556:11	539:7 624:8	cracking 347:19	crystalline 356:9
402:16 403:2,9,23	558:5,6 559:10,16	corrosive 364:10	368:20 383:19	356:13,25 357:1
405:2,6 407:3	559:19 560:6,9	Costello 415:15,20	384:1 386:9	culture 567:18,24
408:16,21 409:5	561:6,7 563:15,22	415:25 416:3,7	387:20 389:20	current 318:6
409:12 410:13	567:22 568:11,12	433:17 434:6	393:5,10,14,15	331:25 332:4
411:3,22 412:14	568:17 569:18,23	counsel 306:22	395:20 396:8	362:10 415:6
412:22 414:2,8	570:2,5,11 571:25	307:4 337:11	397:6,9,11,23	468:12 490:20
415:16 416:12	572:1,16,22,23	376:15,17 423:3	399:8,11,12,17,21	492:10 556:6
417:8 418:1,13,22	574:8,9 577:5,6	465:24 466:2	400:7,23 402:1	578:12,17 622:8
421:6 422:18	577:12,13 579:1	499:19 514:11	405:22 407:23	625:23 626:13,18
425:4,12,21 428:6	579:14 580:23	558:2 569:4	411:7,14,22	627:4,14 628:6
428:25 429:5	587:20 588:3,7,11	585:11 592:23	418:24 435:13,17	631:17,19 632:12
430:4,20 432:5	588:12 589:15	595:2 596:21	449:22 517:23	633:5 644:20
433:25 436:10	590:12,13 591:6,7	599:1 617:15	518:6 519:15	645:20 646:14
437:24 441:11	591:21 593:4,7	618:8 651:12	628:9 629:14	currently 336:18
442:22 443:8	595:8,9,20 596:2	Counsel's 548:24	638:9 639:9	381:21 404:14
445:8 447:7,25	596:23 599:16,20	count 340:8 343:3	655:21 656:18	curves 539:12
448:6,12,22 449:3	599:23 600:1,6,8	counter 429:22	cracks 373:19	customer 511:10
453:1 454:7 455:6	600:14 601:10,13	County 296:16	392:9 396:12	customers 515:3
455:15 456:2,12	602:10,16 603:22	couple 326:14	397:17 407:21	516:5
459:9 460:4 461:8	603:23 604:2,9,23	415:5 469:19	618:20 619:7	cut 301:20,21
462:3,8 466:21,25	605:1,3,8 606:14	577:7 630:8	629:12 638:8	455:18 456:25
468:4,16 469:6,15	608:10,12 611:2,3	course 317:18	639:7 656:24	457:3 510:15
469:25 470:5,9	611:8,14 627:4	379:23 380:10	CRC 351:11	515:20 524:22
473:2 475:15,25	632:5,13,20 633:6	428:15 438:2	create 363:14	541:10,11,16,25
476:9,12,13	634:13 639:4	576:17	created 336:17	592:1,2
477:25 478:12	644:22 645:13	court 294:1,16,18	452:5 548:25	cutting 386:14
480:2,13 481:14	646:16,19 649:4,9	296:16 337:4	549:1 564:23	581:19 592:4
481:15 483:8	653:3,23 654:1,22	444:18 607:1	605:23	cytotoxic 316:24
488:15 490:18	655:6 656:24	665:15	creates 583:19	317:9 318:10
492:25 494:19,23	657:4,24 658:13	cover 404:18	creating 444:17	319:24 320:8
497:7,10,20 498:2	658:18,22 659:20	486:19	criteria 481:19	456:2,7,8 459:8
498:25 499:10,20	659:22 660:18	covered 361:20	critical 298:6 350:8	470:11,12 473:12
500:7,11,16 501:9	662:1 667:6	457:11,14 579:23	351:7,9 352:23	473:19 474:9
501:16 502:11,25	corrected 343:18	covers 579:14	621:18	478:11 479:1,7,9
503:19,22 504:3,4	393:3	Coyote 324:18	cross-examination	480:11 483:11
504:7,21 505:21	corrections 665:4,6	crack 374:1 382:21	404:21 557:9	499:13 500:7,11
	I	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

			, ,	
500:25 502:5,9	492:23 508:13	database 488:8	deciding 460:22	415:15 416:8
504:19 505:4	509:20 510:4	542:17 564:2	decision 462:6	417:13 418:22,24
571:15 572:21	514:24 523:3	databases 598:7	511:12,13	419:21 420:8
573:2,7,20,20	538:22 584:17	date 331:11 402:11	decrease 389:13	421:6,16,22
575:10,17 577:10	626:25 635:19	403:4,5 551:16	624:8 647:12	424:21 425:12,21
608:8	638:4 643:5 647:1	553:2,6,6,7,11	deemed 665:14	433:21 434:19
cytotoxicity 318:15	649:5	650:15 665:8	deep 535:23	435:7,23 436:21
318:17,18,22	DANIEL 295:3	667:14	defects 504:20	438:9 439:16
320:10 447:10	Danzig 294:20	dated 321:25	505:5	440:10,20,24
455:23 456:12,18	data 299:7 302:10	323:25 462:3	Defendants 295:11	441:8 442:6,6,7
457:12,20 458:1	332:13 344:17	484:12 486:5	defer 440:8,16	447:19,22 448:16
458:15,21 459:1	345:4 348:13,16	508:8,14 623:6	441:7,13	448:22 449:15
460:3 461:8,14	358:19 361:17	626:1,6,25 627:16	deferred 464:9	450:3,10,24 451:6
462:7,20 463:10	367:6 375:18	664:18	deficiency 593:13	451:21,25 452:13
464:12,17 470:17	388:3 391:22	Dave 327:1 377:22	define 411:18	454:7 455:5 491:9
470:23 471:20	392:5 397:2	383:7 404:17,19	definitely 338:24	491:10,16,23
472:4,23 473:1,6	399:10,11,12,22	406:3,11 439:3,7	368:3	492:8 494:13
474:2 475:7,11,13	401:12 403:14,18	439:11 531:21	definition 320:15	507:16 516:24
475:23 478:5,23	403:22,25 404:3,5	601:20 661:2,8	definitive 555:20	517:7,24 543:1,9
479:11,18,24	404:6,10,10,15	662:5	571:8 594:7 619:6	543:24 544:4
480:2,24 481:16	407:19 408:24	David 295:8 511:24	658:24	552:15 553:24
481:18,24 482:9	412:22 413:8	512:14 513:4	deformation	555:8 559:10,13
482:17,23 483:2,5	418:19,19 424:15	577:23 585:7	539:12	559:14,21 560:4
483:19 493:25	424:20 425:4	620:22	degradation 343:9	561:5 563:25
499:8 500:4,22	431:18 432:14	day 304:22 310:8	343:21 344:9	564:8,15,21,22
502:19 503:1,4,11	435:10,11 442:2	327:3 376:14,21	345:9,17 346:1,22	565:11,14,23
504:7,11,14	453:10 461:13	388:7 432:3 510:6	347:13 348:5	566:2 604:18
505:13,19 567:20	462:15,17,19,22	547:10 556:6	350:23,25 351:2,3	605:24 606:13,18
568:10 571:13,19	463:3,9,16,23	667:18	353:17 354:3,6,10	607:18,21 616:15
571:20,24 572:6	464:10 481:21	days 318:5 320:6	354:25 355:3,9,13	616:17,23 617:1
575:2,13,16	488:12 491:14	324:2,6 342:3	355:23 357:4,22	619:14,15 621:6
576:12 577:16	492:24 502:18	354:4,5,12 426:18	359:10,22 364:17	621:17 622:23
608:6,13	516:10 517:14	447:16 466:16	365:18 366:25	624:17 625:24
C.C 350:14 359:14	530:11,13 539:7	510:15 526:14	367:6,24 368:3,13	626:20 627:10,15
	540:5 542:15	528:3 561:22	368:25 369:10	628:7 633:13,17
D	544:3 554:7 559:4	576:19 592:13	374:2,7,11,18	633:18,19 634:12
D 296:3 297:2	574:21,22 579:3	661:8 665:12	375:6 378:9	634:23,25 635:2,3
damage 398:22	581:24 597:20	DD 602:1	379:15 381:20	637:17 638:6
399:7 401:15	602:2,20 609:2,4	deal 550:1 560:14	382:7 388:17	639:6,17,18 640:3
424:6 430:17	610:12,16,24	602:18	389:19 398:21	642:1 647:6,7,12
510:6	611:5,20 612:10	deals 575:1 602:18	399:7 401:16,18	647:18,23 648:5
Dan 319:2 327:2,19	612:13,15,24	Dear 511:7 513:14	401:24,25 402:15	649:16 650:1
330:1 376:20	618:11 622:3,8,8	515:2	403:1 405:1	652:17 655:6,15
406:24 413:7	625:21 626:22,22	death 458:25 459:2	407:20 408:3,4,11	655:21 656:8,9,19
414:2 455:9	631:6 635:12,15	502:23	408:12,15,20	657:2,3,15,20,24
484:12 486:6,6	644:14,17,18	decide 552:10	409:4,5,12 412:14	658:6,13 659:25
489:17 490:19	651:22	decided 318:20	413:8 414:8,13,19	659:25 660:2,16
			<u> </u>	

660:18 661:19,22	433:8,13 434:3	designation 307:7	562:7 576:13	443:15 470:10
662:3	439:10 457:7,15	343:16 344:13,14	583:14 623:20	502:10 580:8
degradations 452:4	457:16,19,23	344:24 345:2,11	639:17	581:16 587:1
452:5	495:3,9 508:24	464:6,8 491:6	determining	622:16
degrade 345:22	512:14 521:17	551:20 560:4	585:22	differences 356:24
346:6,16 347:5	523:14 525:24	562:18 584:1	developed 351:21	501:13 502:16
349:19 352:13	532:14,23 548:4	designations 330:2	development	different 309:19,22
354:19 376:1	550:19 551:17	330:8 555:2	393:22 445:6	326:22 331:14,15
377:1 422:10	552:7 557:25	designed 351:21	507:15 520:7	346:13 347:11
606:4,6	558:2,15 603:2	540:9 587:13	554:22 559:7	356:15 381:21
degraded 354:1	613:21 614:2	designee 601:8	560:20 566:9	382:7 387:18
355:17 359:16	617:10 653:17	despite 394:10	575:11 580:7	393:10 412:1
651:6,13	663:21,23 664:6	395:5 396:2 461:6	582:9 595:1	416:17 420:25
degree 424:2 442:9	664:10,12 665:3,9	destroyed 614:9	602:11	423:21 427:19
443:15	665:12,14	detail 330:23	device 316:4 318:4	472:1,10,11,12,17
degrees 482:8	DEPO.ETH.ME	357:13 383:21	318:9,22 319:24	472:19 479:2
delay 462:6	302:18	384:16 390:23	320:8 389:4	489:10,16 501:17
delayed 459:9,10	deps@golkow.com	415:25 473:23,25	432:20 439:20	515:17 518:7
459:16 505:4	294:25	474:2 556:2	447:6 456:7 462:6	521:13 539:14
573:10 614:17,22	depth 357:21	564:19 605:16	462:20 470:7,9	542:18 545:7
616:14	described 316:11	610:3 614:21	472:14 473:6,12	553:22 554:10
demo 513:16	338:5 558:1 570:1	615:7 628:12	479:10,21,25	559:18,20,20
demonstrate	596:21,21 598:18	649:14 651:2	481:17 500:25	566:16 567:6
382:15 387:25	598:24 615:7,13	details 383:1	503:25 511:9	571:3 578:15
428:17 493:7	describing 454:1	385:13 394:16	520:14 525:11	579:23 581:14,22
demonstrated	description 297:13	427:15 583:14	542:11 563:22	586:6 587:6 592:4
556:6	298:5 299:5 300:5	determination	566:22,24 567:9	601:12 611:25
demonstrates	301:5 302:5	355:16 583:23	571:24 572:15	632:17 642:19
488:9 629:6	609:17 621:12	648:22	573:19 592:3	643:20 645:1
demonstrating	descriptions 411:7	determine 317:8	595:4 599:23,25	654:3,10
560:15	desiccation 534:10	320:7 321:15	600:3,5,7 608:6	differentiate
department 625:3	design 445:6	334:22 354:18	devices 441:16	544:25
625:7 630:13	507:14 559:7	355:13 368:19	443:8 472:5	differentiated
depend 525:8	566:8 580:6	466:15 479:6	487:16 501:14	322:10
depending 357:13	601:10,24	521:16 527:4	502:20 507:4	difficult 610:2
416:18 586:7	designate 603:8	528:7,22 529:11	511:18 524:12	diminishes 375:21
depends 329:16	designated 305:1	529:22 533:13,20	556:8 599:15	diminution 388:2
504:24	343:5 344:5	534:19 542:7	600:10,13,17,19	389:15 519:18
DEPONENT 667:2	345:13 359:24	571:19 572:20	600:25 601:4	565:3 596:18,19
deposing 665:11	431:8,9 445:4	573:18 575:10	die 473:20 474:8	direct 404:21
deposition 294:13	455:9 457:10,24	585:21 590:21	475:14,25	459:13 571:23
294:16 301:23	458:6 491:9,20,23	608:7 609:4	died 476:8	664:23
303:2 304:7	491:23 493:16	623:22 643:12	Dieter 417:19,21	directed 370:15
305:23 306:20	507:12,18 541:22	655:14,18 656:8	417:22	direction 303:5
361:21,23 363:12	543:7 547:8	656:16,23	differed 407:7	320:3 332:13
366:4,9 403:21	548:10,17 572:12	determined 338:11	difference 339:21	directional 480:20
409:23 423:8	599:6 604:14	349:24 441:24	435:4 442:9	571:7,17 593:20
	1	1	•	•

Page	678
Fage	0 / 0

directly 368:22	401:18 420:24	409:21 417:23	307:23 329:18	576:13 609:24
432:16	494:9 499:9	437:23 467:15	334:4 338:14	633:24 665:7
director 370:12	508:15 525:23	508:5 517:3	339:3 354:22	Dormier 315:20
476:15,17 477:17	550:1 575:1	543:25 545:20	376:24 421:19	426:15
514:18,19 608:16	discussion 305:17	547:18 548:15	422:12 484:25	doubt 312:22,22
disagree 362:14	306:16,25 338:9	630:6 633:2,20	502:2 519:16	Dr 296:11 300:9
380:5 635:7	338:12 343:19	634:6 635:10	524:5 543:12	304:7 307:6
discarded 614:10	358:25 383:20	638:3,11 640:8,15	550:10,10,17	350:20 366:4,9
discernable 541:3	386:20 397:1	656:6 662:22	552:3 559:18,20	369:18,19 370:7,8
disclose 454:6	407:11 409:25	doctors 419:1	566:16,18 567:7	370:11 371:9
455:4 467:7	438:4 441:10	454:5 455:3	579:12,13,19,22	372:3 377:8 378:7
disclosed 505:21	443:23 444:13	509:22 515:19	579:23 581:3,5	378:21 379:15
554:13	446:9 447:9	document 294:7	601:13,16 602:5,7	380:1,5 381:4,14
disclosure 348:21	455:23 472:25	307:8,10 309:10	604:11 607:16	388:16 404:23
460:1 566:16	485:20 512:22	309:25 313:3,13	614:9,10 617:21	410:10 413:16
580:5 594:24	547:21 593:5	321:16 328:22	629:10	414:21 417:19,22
605:7	629:12 654:13,18	350:1,5,14,22	dog 302:7 341:6	418:3,25 419:19
discuss 305:2 330:4	discussions 439:19	352:1,3,10 353:2	347:24 348:3,11	420:25 421:5
348:25 359:24	529:21 530:1	375:24 378:4	348:24 373:15	425:3 428:21
375:24 409:23	dish 567:21	391:19 392:4	383:1,19 384:7,14	431:10 433:8,13
445:4 457:10,19	dishes 567:25	403:15 455:19	385:12 386:6	433:16,17,17
458:7 469:3	disrupt 502:22	460:9,11 462:12	391:22 392:5	445:3 454:23
476:14 478:24,25	dissolution 534:10	466:6,11 472:22	403:23 411:2	464:23 495:3,9,12
491:24 502:6	dissolve 534:3	476:25 479:14	417:7 418:20	511:6,9,17 513:5
507:13 530:16	dissolved 483:13	484:11,20,24	435:10 490:6	513:13 547:7
531:2,4,15 542:17	dissolving 534:10	485:22 486:1	554:8 561:11,21	548:4,7 550:11,16
548:10,18 551:13	distinct 592:13,16	487:5 490:17	562:1 564:19	551:16,19 557:21
discussed 307:4	distinction 518:3	492:5,6,14,22	581:24 617:12,14	607:15 613:21
316:17,23 373:13	distribution 477:3	495:17,20 496:21	626:9,12 627:3,12	614:2,5 618:23
384:16 386:18	DISTRICT 294:1,2	497:18 498:21	627:23 628:4,5	draft 462:18
393:24 398:8	divided 327:21	499:4,7 508:10	629:11 630:12	472:22 476:11,21
412:10 433:20	Divilio 370:7,8,10	509:8 510:25	631:16 632:13	drafted 455:17
435:5 443:19	370:11,11 371:3,9	512:24 513:3,9	645:1 647:9	463:2 499:9
447:16 453:25	372:3 381:4,14	514:5,24 531:19	656:14,25 658:8	drag 498:9
454:3 499:12	410:10 413:16	541:5,9 548:12	658:12	drawn 527:18
532:23 533:15	414:21 D : ::: 204.2	557:25 558:7	dogs 372:25 373:7	dried 397:14
556:2,23 568:4,6	Division 294:3	577:17,19 593:17	373:23 383:3	drop 586:18
571:22 574:7,15	295:12	611:12 617:6,21	398:4 399:6	drug 560:19,22
576:18 579:2	DLTDP 482:12	618:3,15 623:6	401:15 412:5	604:1
581:23 582:8	497:6,13 498:22	626:6 649:23	413:18 622:7,12	drugs 560:21
585:5,11 603:9	DLTLP 569:20	652:5,6,9	623:11,24 640:5	dry 397:9
616:13 644:19	doctor 304:18,24	documentation	doing 304:20	drying 397:8
661:24	305:13 307:13	485:13	312:21 327:12	dthomas@tcspllc 295:10
discusses 307:19 378:21 462:5	318:25,25 319:19	documented 342:5 556:18	335:8,23 361:8	
509:9,21	319:19 330:5 349:9 376:23	documents 303:8	368:14 444:19 457:5 519:23	dthornburgh@a 295:5
discussing 393:8	391:8 403:20		529:15 562:3	DTP 655:11
uiscussing 595.8	371.0 403.20	306:19,24 307:6	349.13 304.3	DII 033.11
L				

				Page 679
due 349:10 353:19	edge 379:20 516:4	elicits 305:20	418:3,25 420:25	erosion 655:22
364:2 372:24	655:22,22 656:19	307:16 320:24	421:5 425:3	656:19 657:14
399:7 401:16	657:13	334:6 340:6	428:21 431:10	erosions 506:19
410:24 411:20	edges 378:18	342:22 344:21	engineer 485:7	errata 665:6,8,11
414:23,24 468:14	515:21	603:1	494:10 496:8	667:10
565:13 566:1	educate 510:7	Elisabeth 296:11	engineering 485:19	especially 406:21
570:23 615:12	education 613:3	Elke 638:16	486:7	516:3
Duke 378:7	EDX 367:10 655:11	elongation 541:15	enhance 498:16	ESQUIRE 295:3,8
duly 664:6	657:23	542:6,9,23	ensure 574:22	295:8 296:3,3,8
duplicate 487:9	EE 602:11	Elution 456:8,11	entire 341:19	296:13
654:4	effect 316:24 376:2	470:20,21 472:1,2	379:18 479:14	essentially 483:23
duplicated 654:15	464:11,11 493:8	473:16 481:24	544:22 545:2	560:16 578:4
654:19	570:9 573:7	EM 372:20 410:20	549:1 607:9	establish 491:19
duplicates 653:21	effects 313:21	embarrassing	entirety 337:12	502:2
654:7	314:1 355:10	513:18	entitled 352:19	established 448:19
duplication 654:11	368:21 374:6	Emilie 513:14	472:22 558:11	456:22 478:9
dural 315:10,17	414:22 566:1	employed 499:10	entries 574:23	502:2,3 595:5
duration 562:2	570:23 605:20	employee 311:18	595:2	estimates 485:15
596:16	efficacy 315:18	312:6 408:2	environment	et 296:16 414:23
dyed 315:2,11	594:15	451:25 637:11	520:13 529:7,9,10	Ethicon 294:5
dyslexic 647:3	eight 402:9 538:17	employees 637:15	530:12 531:14	295:11,12,12
	583:8	638:20	540:7 542:16	307:5 311:18
E	either 334:7 342:24	encapsulates	571:11 587:13,18	312:6 319:20
E 297:2,10 298:2	383:14 446:18	596:13	environments	322:14 329:21
299:2 300:2 301:2	449:13 472:15	encapsulation	347:6	334:18,20 345:20
302:2 666:1	480:6 498:23	328:10 592:13,17	enzymes 343:10,22	347:16 348:5
earlier 373:14	520:5 569:14	592:20 593:1,10	344:10 345:10,18	352:4 354:18
393:24 409:23	577:11 598:6	ended 310:2	346:2,17 347:14	358:12 364:15
430:18 434:24	611:5 651:24,24	endpoint 317:7	352:13 355:1	365:10,22 367:13
435:5 441:15	652:10	325:17,21 326:18	377:2 389:6	370:12 373:6
442:23 443:6,19	ejected 575:24	327:1 355:3	402:16 403:2	375:8,18 376:25
533:16 568:4,6	electron 298:20	364:17 365:17	405:2 447:23	378:9,23 379:3,5
574:15 581:23	397:11 398:3	366:24 367:22	604:19 605:13,21	379:12 381:21
582:8 603:9 612:6	410:20 618:16	368:4 369:10	605:24 606:6	382:8,13,19
617:16 618:6,15	628:10,16 638:15	374:6 532:19	607:19	383:11,12 384:23
early 560:2 579:3	657:22,23	569:6 619:6	EO 314:1	385:4,15 388:17
582:8 592:11	electronic 397:22	643:20	epaxial 524:25	390:5,11,16
earth 583:1,1,2,3,5	element 483:4	endpoints 317:15	epitaxial 527:19	392:22 393:17
easier 430:25	535:20	325:20 348:18	epoxy-tipped 309:8	397:16 408:2,14
617:24	elements 368:19	355:15 358:2	equal 452:5	409:3 412:21
easily 522:16	373:12,12,13	449:5 459:15	equals 354:8	418:1 419:11,19
East 295:4 296:4	596:14	494:21 532:19	equate 416:20	421:5 422:9,13
easy 402:21	elicit 341:1,22	588:8 619:17,18	equipment 585:21	424:18 425:19
Eberhard 300:9,14	342:17 467:3	619:18,20	ERF 299:11 302:12	426:25 427:4
300:18 511:6,10	469:14 518:16	ends 585:22	348:14	435:11 436:18
511:17 513:13	elicited 318:6	energy 663:3	ERF-85-219 299:8	438:23 439:15
Eberhard's 513:5	339:10 467:10	Engel 417:21,22,22	622:4	442:15,19,22

				Page 680
445:4 447:6	503:14	ETH.MESH.098	309:9 310:1	exact 343:25
448:10,14,20	ETH.MESH 352:2	302:13	323:22 473:10	441:23
449:21 451:25	352:24 370:4	ETH.MESH.098	477:20 523:7	exactly 376:11
454:5,10 455:3,24	377:9 399:19	631:9	568:3 569:3 578:8	446:17 482:10
457:20 458:6	636:17	ETH.MESH.105	583:24 593:22	487:10 515:8
460:20 463:16	ETH.MESH.000	350:4	594:7 602:2 610:2	examination
465:14,16 467:7	446:8	ETH.MESH.105	612:7 638:17	294:15 304:15
473:2 476:24	ETH.MESH.003	298:10	event 358:21	404:21 557:18
477:16 485:6,19	447:12	ETH.MESH.105	606:14	559:23 571:23
486:6,7,13,20	ETH.MESH.003	298:16	events 488:14	630:3
487:2,14 490:14	455:14	ETH.MESH.105	everybody 444:9	examine 353:22
499:10 507:3,19	ETH.MESH.003	386:19	evidence 344:17	405:8
509:10 510:14	455:22	ETH.MESH.106	345:5,15 346:5	examined 304:12
511:5,17 512:18	ETH.MESH.003	299:14	350:2 352:11	359:9
514:20 516:22	461:13	ETH.MESH.111	358:14 359:21	example 405:7
519:23 520:5	ETH.MESH.003	298:22	363:11 364:1	474:3 551:11
523:21 524:3,11	471:16	ETH.MESH.113	372:23 376:24	567:1 593:15
542:20 546:7	ETH.MESH.003	403:19	386:6 394:7	examples 419:7
548:24,25 550:10	471:4,25	ETH.MESH.113	399:10,12 405:12	exceed 380:17
551:22 553:21	ETH.MESH.008	299:9	405:22,23 406:6	exceeds 379:24
554:3,9 555:3,9	299:19	ETH.MESH.113	407:2 410:23	380:11
556:21 560:22	ETH.MESH.008	395:18	411:19 416:8	Excel 611:5
562:23 563:7	301:10	ETH.MESH.113	417:16 420:8	exception 488:25
572:5,8,19 586:20	ETH.MESH.012	401:12	429:22 440:24	exceptions 489:24
586:21,22 594:12	301:17	ETH.MESH.1515	443:6,12 449:15	excerpt 308:18
594:14 595:7,15	ETH.MESH.017	459:22	456:11 460:2	309:14 514:25
598:11 599:14,17	378:19	ETH.MESH.6481	468:19,22 475:7	exchange 517:9
637:10,11,15	ETH.MESH.020	400:14	475:10 505:12,12	excite 518:21
638:20 640:7	362:20	ETH.MESH.6483	505:18 519:15,20	excuse 326:17
651:3,11 652:25	ETH.MESH.021	400:1	536:24 543:8	327:6 334:12
653:1,15 661:20	300:11	etiology 384:14	564:14 573:17,21	340:13 349:1
663:6	ETH.MESH.021	Eugene 626:2	575:25 576:4	356:19 365:4
Ethicon's 346:4,19	300:16	European 578:3	577:14,15 579:24	376:9 377:10
346:21 347:4,9	ETH.MESH.021	evaluate 327:14	588:23 589:20,21	383:4 389:24
360:20 376:8	300:20	328:24 335:16	602:21 608:13	437:8 438:12
383:25 384:2,9	ETH.MESH.022	419:11 500:21	614:21 615:8,11	444:5,14 492:16
388:3 402:13	486:5	532:24 566:21	616:21 619:8,14	492:20 541:21
407:19,24 409:2,4	ETH.MESH.053	583:18 586:20	622:22 624:16	579:21 590:7
418:11 477:25	317:25	588:10 594:15	633:19 640:7,8	599:6 604:22
651:12 652:1,25	ETH.MESH.053	606:13 608:23	641:17 652:16	606:16 627:17
653:16 661:20	523:1	evaluated 333:3	655:21 656:18	629:1 640:9
Ethilon 313:10	ETH.MESH.053	381:19 408:25	659:13,19 660:2	655:24
405:8 407:6 623:9	524:24	419:20 466:14	660:18,25 661:21	excused 663:22
624:4 EXECUTE: 0.000	ETH.MESH.055	479:5 481:23	661:22 662:2	execute 540:14
ETHISORB	370:19	evaluating 420:6	ex 495:22 526:22	exercise 312:20
315:18,21,25	ETH.MESH.098	624:13	526:24	313:9 432:9,11
ethylene 313:20	659:12	evaluation 301:13	exacerbate 458:19	578:16
	•	•	•	

Page 681 **exhibit** 305:5,13 469:11,13 501:19 651:4,6 652:14,16 484:22,23 485:2 fax 294:24 513:3 306:6,11,23 307:2 586:13 588:18 exploratory 321:22 486:2,11 508:8,13 **FAYE** 296:13 307:9,11 308:9 639:13,16,16 467:18 468:10 509:20 511:5,16 **FDA** 314:13 322:11 337:16 350:4,6 646:9,12 576:25 592:24 514:24,25 352:15,19 375:23 experimental 587:8 e-mails 514:9,13,15 362:1 363:24 expressed 549:7 375:24 386:12 370:3 377:25 597:12 598:5 extensive 597:19 388:4 429:12 \mathbf{F} 378:5 381:3 expert 549:7,19,24 **extent** 551:18 445:20 447:6,24 **fabric** 324:14 386:19 391:18,18 572:20 573:18 550:3,14 551:11 448:11 449:22 face 573:4 391:20 397:1 551:17 552:1,6 582:2 583:18 450:22,24 452:14 fact 318:16 340:15 403:16,19 409:23 636:16 585:25 588:10 454:6 455:4 590:22 599:17 341:18 347:19 446:6,21 459:23 expertise 613:4 560:16,23 561:5 351:20 368:24 462:1 465:25 620:12 602:13 606:13 562:13,25 563:8 375:25 376:23 466:7 471:11,13 experts 351:11,12 608:8 609:4 610:8 570:14,17 574:13 382:21 383:13 484:16 499:6 381:18 382:6 **extents** 501:17 574:18 603:21,24 384:3 388:1,2 508:8,11 510:23 **expires** 667:19 external 551:22,22 604:5,25 389:6,15 421:20 511:1 512:25 **explain** 361:2 **February** 484:12 552:3 461:6,17 468:2 513:2,10,12 514:6 376:6 651:1 extra 424:15 425:4 508:8,14 471:6 510:22 514:8 523:11,12 explained 376:10 523:18 **federal** 322:11 518:20 519:18 450:14 451:11,14 531:18,20 541:6,8 extract 472:3,6,14 feedback 511:15 563:6 569:5 548:8,13 553:17 559:22 472:15,16 feel 390:12 515:21 573:20 578:19 **explant** 507:3,9 557:22,24 567:7 extractables 552:13 584:11 590:19 584:19,20 589:13 528:12 533:8 575:23 **fell** 526:3 606:11 610:11 fellow 359:8 485:19 595:17 617:7,10 623:23 626:17 extracted 482:3 654:14 658:4 618:4,10 622:2,25 628:7 632:13 486:7 567:10 **factor** 515:22 623:3,6 630:12 633:14 634:12,25 extracting 483:1 **felt** 338:8 386:13 **factors** 329:16 635:24 637:5 639:22 644:21 **extraction** 482:9,21 **fiber** 331:15 341:8 fail 665:13 649:22,24 654:24 652:2 483:18 503:9 353:25 355:4,16 **failed** 420:15 655:1,6,8 660:16 explanted 328:10 567:12 355:23 356:1,5 **failure** 565:12 **exhibits** 306:10 372:19 399:6 extracts 472:13 357:2 359:16,20 fair 583:11 593:3 307:25 401:14 410:19 503:7 566:24,25 360:4 364:8 599:15 607:12 **existed** 339:15 415:4 419:6,20 567:15 568:1 374:11,16 375:6 611:13 617:16 491:4 420:14,21 507:4 575:22 576:16 382:16 384:3 618:21 existence 559:23 588:14 622:7 **extruded** 386:25 387:15,17 398:13 fallen 338:19 624:3 629:13 414:24,24 423:23 **expect** 376:19 extrusion 387:2 **falling** 510:16 459:7 505:3 586:6 630:21 631:2 490:4 493:6 432:16 454:2 falls 648:1 632:19 633:6 495:19 496:3,10 518:7 519:11 633:3 familiar 359:7 **expected** 407:8,12 634:13 651:13 496:18,23 498:2 536:25 555:15 362:17 415:14 extrusions 506:19 579:4 585:22 503:10 652:2 525:25 532:3,3 experience 431:10 **explants** 372:25 e-mail 299:16 589:11 592:9 **family** 333:25 373:8 395:19 300:6 301:6 370:6 620:4 621:11,16 461:15 488:8

424:19 433:19,19

370:6,7,19,22

371:8,9,13,17

372:2 381:4,11

410:15 414:21

415:9 418:4

409:22 410:4,9,10

536:14,15 555:1

experienced 509:10

experiment 318:24

320:16 418:21

449:5 468:9,21

613:4

509:10

397:22 398:3,4

399:8 400:7,15

401:25 405:9

412:5 413:18

646:15 650:2

420:2,6,7 622:18

625:9,22 627:3,14

577:21 578:3,5,11

578:19 579:8

far 407:22 419:5

638:8 639:8

465:8

favorable 384:23

457:14 590:6,7

621:24 624:17

357:19 359:10,12

360:21,22 372:21

628:22 656:9

373:23 374:1

657:18

fibers 353:23

		_		
				Page 682
275 21 272 12	(17.05.610.0	416.11.400.04	400 12 12 77 121	260.20.261.10
375:21 378:10	617:25 619:3	416:11 422:24	488:12,13 554:21	360:23 361:18
382:21,22 383:2	633:25	445:15,19 453:8,8	554:21 637:1	362:6 363:16
383:13 384:10	finalize 463:23	484:19 485:3	follow 384:16	364:18 365:19
385:4,16,17,23,24	finalized 477:2,12	486:12 517:12	572:6	367:1,18,25 368:9
389:20 394:2,12	finally 399:19	519:6,7 547:10	followed 305:22	368:15 369:1,11
395:8 399:17	401:11 615:15	548:25 558:19	314:12,24 315:6	371:11,14,22
400:17 410:21	financial 465:6	559:12 561:4	315:10,17 576:12	373:3,10 374:3,20
411:11 421:3	find 325:24 351:23	574:6 585:13	603:2	374:25 375:15
423:6,25 424:2	352:10 436:17	595:7,17 603:6	following 311:6	378:25 380:7,15
435:19 452:23	473:23 476:21	612:3 638:23	313:16,19 314:18	381:23 382:10,23
468:16,18 469:5	513:15 559:1	five 298:21 365:14	322:17 344:18	383:16 384:4,11
497:10 504:3	564:22 565:22	398:9 399:5,6,9	345:6 362:23	385:5,18 386:3,8
fibrils 424:5	570:23 579:19,23	401:13,15 445:21	511:14 602:21	387:11 388:20
fibrosis 589:21	582:18 584:2	561:4 574:5	follows 304:13	389:8,25 390:9,19
592:8	590:14 607:19	578:13 605:6	follow-up 488:15	392:12,25 393:6
fibrotic 556:16	615:7,11,17,23	662:19 663:2	force 325:7,18,22	394:4,13 395:22
591:1 592:18	616:2,6,12,21	five-year 348:16,17	528:5,10 529:2,11	396:6,20 398:14
596:13	627:20 637:4	391:21 392:5	530:4,19 585:23	398:18,25 400:10
fibrous 305:23	finding 410:25	397:2 398:2	586:18 621:10	400:19 401:6,19
589:10 592:7	466:19 468:1	399:11,22 401:12	forces 527:19	403:10 405:25
603:3	572:24 575:15	411:2 417:6	528:13	407:15 408:6,22
field 310:21 351:12	576:1 596:5	418:19 435:10	foregoing 664:21	409:6 411:4,15,23
351:25 381:19	624:13 634:9	554:7	667:5	412:8,15,23
382:6 461:15	findings 378:21	fixation 325:7	foreign 363:14,14	413:10,21 414:3,9
509:23 510:6	402:6 405:10	327:20	426:4,7,21 427:10	414:14 415:17,22
517:10	407:24 411:1	flake 525:11	428:5,22 430:3	416:9 417:1,14
figure 378:12 400:6	450:18 505:21	535:16	544:24 545:13	418:14 419:13,22
400:14 405:12	562:12,14 577:10	flakes 544:7	forget 423:14	420:10 421:7,23
586:17	610:9 611:11	flat 331:12 469:23	form 309:17	422:5,12,19
filament 536:21	613:6 628:15	470:1,11,14,15	310:16 312:18	424:23 425:5,13
545:8 582:11,12	fine 337:23 372:9	471:7,20,22 573:3	313:6 316:8,14	425:22 426:11
603:14	509:4,7 531:23	598:14	317:1,13 318:12	427:1,12 428:7,23
filaments 355:10	591:15 641:12,15	flexing 414:25	321:13 323:3,10	429:6 430:5,12,21
355:14 560:14	647:20	Floor 296:13	323:20 326:1,8	431:3,13,21 432:6
564:3 565:7 575:5	finger 535:22	Florida 295:4	328:3,16 329:8,13	432:21 434:1,21
581:11 603:17	finish 327:11	296:4	329:24 330:17	435:14,24 436:11
file 338:7,20 549:2	360:16 441:2,3	fluids 397:13	331:20 332:7,17	436:22 437:20
578:1	finished 366:15	focus 357:10	333:13 334:13	438:20 439:23
files 352:3 422:14	454:23 469:24	folded 618:7	335:2 336:4,10,23	440:14 442:13
652:1	470:2 476:6	635:21	339:16,24 341:24	443:9,20 448:1,23
film 324:14	481:17 518:11	folder 352:19 360:9	343:1,12 344:12	449:23 450:4,12
filter 471:25 472:7	553:12 662:8	360:11	344:17 345:5,23	450:25 451:8
472:16	first 305:19 306:11	folders 347:10	346:7,24 347:7,21	452:2,15 453:2,22
final 340:5 460:17	307:19,20 340:18	365:3 375:5	348:7 349:12,21	454:8 455:7
470:2,3 476:20,22	340:21 370:6	folks 341:14 440:1	352:7 354:11	456:20 458:23
476:23 574:21	378:12 382:4	450:17,19 451:17	355:5 356:6,14	460:5,23 461:9
578:9 611:6 613:7	386:25,25 389:10	451:17 460:10,17	357:6 358:5,16	462:9 463:4,20
2.3., 311.0 015.7	000.20,20 000.10	.51.17 100.10,17	55.1.5 55 5.5,10	102.7,20

465:1,9,19 467:12	342:16	453:24 519:8	349:15 356:2	gluteal 318:5 320:5
469:7 474:10	former 311:17	581:12,13 582:6	432:18 441:15,21	466:16
475:17 476:2	312:5	584:7	441:23 442:1	go 305:5 306:9,15
480:3,14 483:24	forms 341:12	frame 384:22 560:1	545:23,24 546:17	311:25 312:13
484:5 487:17	349:11 353:20	FRANCISCO	546:22 583:10,13	313:9 317:22
488:1,16 489:1	364:3 603:12	296:13	632:24	336:23 359:4
490:9,22 491:5	610:5	frayed 511:11	generalized 504:25	370:5 371:18
492:11 493:1	formulation 487:10	fraying 300:9	generally 351:25	378:12 381:3
494:17 495:23	487:21 488:7	511:11 512:3,5,7	358:3 501:3	391:17 394:22
496:12,16,25	489:24	512:15	generate 424:15,20	395:15 397:20,21
497:21 498:3,12	forth 416:20 549:4	free 496:5	425:4 610:16	401:11 403:13
499:1,16 500:17	597:24	FREEARK 296:7	generated 432:14	404:15 457:16
501:21 502:13	forward 326:15	front 306:8 332:11	442:3	461:17 469:19
504:8 505:14	351:11 411:17	343:18 551:6	generation 431:18	471:1 478:24
506:3,13,21 507:6	445:14	584:13 618:7	generic 416:17	481:16,20 484:15
508:17 509:12,24	found 336:1 339:9	653:14,22 655:2	417:4	499:6,22 508:7
510:18 511:19	353:25 359:10,15	FTIR 357:5,18	gentlemen 313:2	520:20 523:10
512:9 514:2	372:21 380:2	367:12 655:11	361:3 373:25	531:17 535:3
516:17,25 517:25	397:12,23,23	657:22 658:7,13	389:23 396:12	537:4 547:19
518:17 520:2,16	407:20,22 410:21	659:17 660:1,17	438:8 527:23	550:22,23,23
521:5 522:1	413:7 415:15	full 378:20 471:1	544:11 656:7	552:15 560:22
523:25 525:4,13	418:21 421:2,16	635:20,24	660:15	563:19 573:25
526:5,15 527:6,15	423:22 433:21	fully 493:7 626:21	Gerald 296:15	574:3 585:13
528:15 529:17	442:6 467:2	fun 640:15	German 300:15	588:25 605:11
530:8,23 531:9	470:22 482:13,23	function 496:6	Germany 417:23	609:20 610:14
534:4,21 535:12	483:7 523:18	622:1	422:15	611:20 612:1
536:9 537:7,16,23	526:2 536:1	functionality	getting 662:8,12,14	617:18 620:19
538:7,15 540:11	537:13,21 538:4	427:19 462:17	662:25 663:5	623:5 624:19
540:20 541:19	576:22 590:22	477:19 488:9	Gillespie 371:9	626:4,24 628:11
545:21 546:8,20	595:12,14 628:15	further 354:14	381:5,6	635:9 644:1 645:4
549:8,16 553:25	638:8 639:7	425:17 500:10	give 359:3 360:10	645:9 659:5
554:14 555:10,23	658:13 659:18	592:6 597:16	377:16 402:20	662:19,23,23
556:12 602:21	660:17	598:13 618:25	410:5 446:3,16	goal 578:16
606:19 607:20	foundation 563:14	624:7 629:25	461:18 471:8	gobble 544:23
631:4,22 632:14	four 540:4 574:3,4	630:3	508:5 511:15	Godoin 652:13,13
633:10,21 634:14	fourth 362:19	future 426:1,3	531:12 551:16	Godoin's 652:14,15
635:5 637:19,24	fragment 379:18	477:23	553:10 591:10	goes 327:15,17
638:12 639:10	379:21 385:25		620:15 643:17	412:3 414:21
641:22 644:23	386:15 387:13	G	645:17	418:25 424:13
646:17 649:18	393:13 581:17,19	G 296:11	given 438:25	428:11 460:22
651:7,14 652:18	582:11,24	gain 530:21	446:15 540:22	464:17 473:9
657:25 658:14,19	fragmentation	gathered 558:4	664:7 667:7	478:4 486:18,24
659:3 660:3,7,19	387:3 393:9,13	599:13	gives 620:7 633:25	486:25 487:8
667:9	fragments 374:16	gathering 440:3	glad 329:19 429:24	489:19,20 495:17
format 516:6	375:10 378:15,18	Gel 622:5	GLP 322:6,11,14	534:25 612:16
formed 310:14	380:3 385:22	Gene 627:1	322:15,19 574:9	going 319:12
340:24 341:20	386:11,21 453:20	general 346:9,11	574:11,12,18	326:24 327:3,18
			<u> </u>	I

225 1 2 22 15 12	12 0.0		1	
337:1 360:17,18	628:9	handy 445:24	helped 548:23	hour 663:6,8
361:9 366:2 372:7	greater 336:2	Hang 479:13	helps 496:3	hours 482:8
376:14,17 377:15	431:12 432:19,20	happen 459:13	hernia 442:8	human 346:17
377:16,20 388:7,8	441:17,17 467:4	536:4 584:16	470:16 575:7	347:18 349:10
404:13 406:11,13	467:11 468:3	happened 515:17	hey 529:9 649:6	352:14 353:19
409:15 415:6	469:14 474:25	527:20 532:4	he'll 475:2 543:20	358:22 363:13
433:5 438:19	492:9 503:10	609:19 620:10	high 354:2 359:17	364:2 420:1,2,6,7
446:21 461:17,18	646:24	640:5	424:2,3 479:16,23	449:7 520:13,14
464:4,18 469:3	gross 337:18	happening 423:24	480:18 481:11	522:11 528:9
474:16,23 487:21	group 354:9,11	happens 463:13,14	620:4	531:7 536:8
488:4 491:12,18	372:19 381:7	496:10 536:3	higher 378:17	humans 420:22
491:25 494:25	410:18 415:3,21	hard 378:13	480:18 620:2	526:25 580:22
508:7 510:5	417:24 419:1,3,5	HARVEY 296:7	highlighted 353:14	hundred 476:7
512:13 517:15,20	419:10 433:21	head 360:8 417:24	447:2 466:4	653:8
542:5 548:7	476:19 477:17	headed 622:5	Highly 536:11	hydrolysis 353:16
551:15 552:6	478:2	Headquarters	540:22	353:18
553:10 557:3	grow 305:24 603:3	294:21	histo 594:6	Hyland 294:20
564:18 571:14	growth 335:17	healing 459:9,10,17	histologic 593:11	hypochlorite 363:1
577:18 581:5	393:13	460:14 504:20,23	histological 323:22	363:2
582:8 594:11	guess 505:8 524:2	505:5,7,24 506:2	523:7 588:1	hypothesis 434:16
608:8 609:24	587:4 617:15	506:12 571:21	589:14,17 593:2,7	481:10 503:23
613:18 617:23,24	guidance 429:12	573:4,10 614:18	histologists 613:5	H&E 657:6
624:20 626:15	guidelines 455:25	614:22 616:14	613:10	
630:8 645:7,21,22	guy 522:14	health 295:12	histology 327:24	I
650:18 656:20	Gynecare 295:12	516:10	590:10,15 591:3	identical 634:3
662:18 663:16	333:24 381:7	Healthcare 296:10	591:18 612:16,22	identification
GOLKOW 294:24	513:14 514:18,21	hear 470:13 515:4	histomorphologi	307:8,10 350:5
good 304:18,19,21	514:22 515:1,7,8	656:4 663:15	569:3 593:22	378:4 391:19
322:8 350:19	577:20 578:11	heard 640:23	594:7 610:1	403:15 466:6
425:7 462:22	579:8	Heartland 296:10	history 456:15	508:10 510:25
553:6 574:13	G95 429:12	heat 479:17,20	458:11 485:20	512:24 513:9
602:18 640:7,10		480:6,7,12,13,17	490:18 556:5	514:5 531:19
640:11,14,18	Н	480:17,19 481:1,2	578:4	541:5 548:12
goods 470:2	H 297:10 298:2	481:12 496:3	hold 370:23 374:10	617:6 618:3
gotten 591:6,21	299:2 300:2 301:2	503:16,25	392:3 474:5	649:23
GPC 622:5,6,8	302:2	heavier 620:1	527:22 578:21	identified 306:5,22
655:11 657:23	hair 591:10	heavily 462:18	613:11 614:8,11	343:15 457:25
grade 379:24 380:3	half 410:5	heavyweight 331:2	641:6 643:6	558:2 564:20
380:10	hamsters 353:25	335:25 442:10	holding 313:3	565:11 566:19
grading 608:22	hand 446:21	443:16	Holste 328:9	599:19 602:6,14
609:5	472:21	held 306:16,25	homopolymer	607:16 616:22
gradually 323:19	handed 370:2	409:25 512:22	362:4	617:2 618:19
Gray 294:18	446:17 465:24	547:21	honest 607:13	identifies 566:16
664:17	510:23	help 357:14 395:16	hope 553:7	identify 306:14
great 374:10 415:5	hands 469:20 618:1	431:18 489:14	hopefully 552:21	332:12 565:12
550:1 560:14	handwriting	498:8 539:10	hoping 662:4	590:11 606:17
614:21 615:16	547:15	548:20 635:11	host 394:3	617:1 660:14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>

identity 344:15	569:2 571:20	605:9 608:24	importantly 355:19	349:16 362:23
345:3 602:19	573:4,9,11 589:22	623:10 624:11	improve 387:2	363:21
IFU 304:25 305:1,3	590:21 619:3,4,13	implanted 318:4	428:12 497:13	inconsistent 451:24
305:6,18 306:7	619:20 628:21	320:5 322:24	inadvertently	incorporating
307:14 310:15	impacts 421:12	323:17 353:24	386:16 536:19	305:25 603:5
317:21 320:21	imperative 665:10	355:8 372:25	inappropriate	incorrect 543:16
321:1,3 322:4	implant 361:5	373:7 386:16	406:21 450:22	increase 375:12,12
339:4 340:25	367:7 368:19	389:4 412:4	inch 527:25 528:2	375:22 385:25
341:14,21 342:16	389:21 420:18	413:17 432:19	529:4	386:21 389:13
342:21 343:7	441:24 458:21	433:1 438:10	include 339:15	421:11 452:24
344:7 345:15,21	459:3 516:6 521:1	439:20 440:10	390:5 411:13,13	458:22 459:3
346:14 352:6,12	521:22 525:3	500:15 516:7	419:1 421:14,19	478:12 504:7,10
352:19 354:23	528:11 529:22,23	520:11,15,20,21	421:20 422:3	505:23 519:17
388:17,24 390:17	530:6,18,19,20	524:13,18,20	426:6 427:8	540:18 545:12,13
403:9 404:25	531:7 533:17	525:2 528:2	487:15 560:10	545:15,18,19
435:22 436:9,19	535:18 540:17	536:14,19 539:22	563:24 569:17	546:3,4,18,18
438:3,4 439:25	541:1 557:2	540:23 544:15	579:9 581:6	647:14
440:1 450:15	566:13 569:12	545:17 546:14	588:16 603:7	increased 321:5
451:22 459:19	573:5 580:14	556:7 565:18	649:12,12 657:3	372:23 387:9,24
460:1,22 505:20	588:14,16 593:23	566:22 568:25	included 320:21	410:23 411:19
553:23 554:13,17	593:24 594:2	569:14 581:20	327:24 334:15	421:10 432:24
554:19 555:4,7,17	596:11 657:17	583:9 622:12	338:21 345:6	459:11,16 460:12
555:22 556:22,25	implantation	655:20 656:17	371:8 376:24	468:22 503:1,4,6
563:9 598:25	305:20 307:15	implanting 527:12	397:4 401:23	504:15,19,22
604:6,13	320:23 324:7,12	529:2,5	402:25 422:17,18	544:16 551:1
IFU-1 297:15,20	354:12 367:3,7	implants 331:7	422:25 424:19	564:24 569:13
II 294:6	368:5,18 372:18	368:6 517:20	426:13 433:20	573:13 577:14
Illinois 296:9	375:20 381:17	521:3,24 524:14	477:18 514:25	583:9 614:18
image 378:14,15	410:18 414:25	627:11 657:9	547:9 582:1 600:9	615:17,23 616:2,6
400:8 543:5	415:1 426:16	implementation	602:22 630:13	617:3
images 399:20	441:25 458:18	344:20 602:25	642:21,23,24	increases 374:18
454:3	466:17 500:24	importance 628:23	644:6 653:15	379:23 380:3,10
imagine 443:1	504:12 520:24	629:3	655:5,5	380:13,20 512:6
immediate 533:17	521:15 522:8	important 373:12	includes 337:11	647:9
535:17	526:12 528:7,8,14	384:17 390:12	541:10	increasing 407:21
impact 355:23	528:24 529:12	404:19 413:3	including 354:19	432:25 452:1
373:16 382:13	530:5 533:25	415:2,10 431:19	385:16,23 392:22	638:7 639:6
383:9 389:12	539:19 540:2	436:4,9,10 438:11	393:25 418:11	incubated 568:1
422:15 435:18	541:4 542:10,23	439:17 440:12	419:10 445:7	independent
436:5,25 437:4	550:5 556:18	441:8 449:6 452:5	497:5 501:15	301:12 453:20
449:13 451:19,20	566:20 568:24	452:9 462:16	507:15 554:7,22	463:9,14 574:19
452:9,18,18	572:9,10,20	477:22 491:10	556:2 559:8 566:9	index 303:2 445:16
453:17 460:13	576:18 580:14	511:10 528:21	580:7 595:25	445:16
467:25 468:2,24	582:10,12 583:15	533:15 540:8	inclusion 496:1	indicate 331:10
480:6 493:25 504:23 505:7,24	583:22 584:10 587:16 588:19	562:7 587:10 619:19 621:14	incompatibility 362:22	332:10,24 333:1 352:3 357:18
555:13 568:4		633:23 648:24		425:2 440:24
333.13 308.4	590:5,17,23,25	033.43 046.24	incompatible	440.44

				Page 686
493:3 494:2	340:6 341:2,22	inherent 512:3	589:21 614:22	intraperitoneal
622:15 625:20	342:18,23 344:21	642:11,16,17	integrity 462:22	324:12
626:19 627:14	363:13 374:18	643:15	621:16	intrinsic 420:17
633:8	375:12 387:9,15	inherently 456:17	intend 551:19	introduce 534:13
indicated 392:14	387:16 431:12	458:14	intended 335:20	introduced 397:7
433:24 442:23	432:20 441:18	initial 323:18 533:2	388:24 436:15	introduction
449:4 460:8	442:11 443:17	556:15 611:11	622:1	397:17
461:12 468:19,20	447:17 452:25	initially 536:1	intention 421:11	invasive 327:14
478:7 527:8,17	453:21 458:22	613:5	496:1 524:13,24	inventor 465:7
529:25 554:18	501:13 518:16	initiated 387:5	549:11 610:23	investigated 408:3
indicates 343:20	535:24 536:7	initiation 354:4	INTERCEED	413:14 420:3
493:5 625:23	540:19 544:12,17	injected 576:17	324:14	618:25
628:6	544:17,19,21	injured 590:5	interest 357:10	investigational
indicating 434:10	545:3,14,19 546:4	input 440:3 554:21	465:6	328:23 335:15
468:23 485:8	546:12,19 551:2	inquire 326:6,12	interface 433:1	investigator 319:20
indication 634:22	553:24 555:18,21	inquired 595:2	569:11 583:3,6	397:3 399:16
individual 387:13	556:3,10,16,17,23	inquiring 369:8	Interim 623:8	407:20,25 635:3
470:24 479:3	556:24 557:4	inquiry 328:8,13	internal 418:12	636:12,20 637:11
481:21 544:12,18	564:24 581:9	insert 308:19	448:21 451:5	642:7 648:2
544:20 559:3,5	589:24 591:8,24	390:24	516:22 520:6	investigators 359:9
574:22 609:15	592:5 596:12	insertion 520:22	550:10,17 552:3	382:15 442:5
612:9 654:6	603:1 606:8	inside 354:20	598:7	532:18 635:11
individuals 460:21	615:17,24 657:16	434:16 588:11	interpret 634:19	636:9 642:4 649:6
induce 431:11	information 306:21	insignificant	interpretation	invited 543:17
industry 611:16,18	341:13 388:25	546:14 635:4	610:6 635:12	involved 330:22,25
612:8	390:6,12,15,17,17	insofar 524:4	interpreted 634:17	334:10,10 340:19
inert 372:18 381:12	436:4,10,16,19,25	580:25 612:16,23	634:20	576:10,11 611:24
381:17 398:12	437:2 438:8	instance 549:13	interprets 633:25	involves 580:21
400:15 401:4	439:16,18 440:6,9	550:10 616:13	interrupt 656:2	involving 330:11
410:17 430:20	440:13,18 441:9	institution 598:3	interruption	340:11 563:21
515:23,25 516:9	449:21 450:17,19	institutional 598:3	336:25	in-depth 485:20
inexpensive 571:6	450:23 460:10,15	institutions 597:13	interstices 305:24	486:19
infected 420:15	461:1,3,4 465:22	Instron 585:16,19	603:4	in-house 407:23
infiltrate 556:18	477:7 480:20	585:20,24 586:3	interval 397:25	421:1,14 423:4,21
infiltrates 589:24	507:10 520:7	646:11	398:2 405:5	638:9 639:8
591:24	526:8 528:21	instruction 604:21	intestinal 606:3	IR 357:9 405:7,10
inflammation	531:1,13 540:8	instructions 344:19	intracutaneous	405:22 406:5
310:6,11 323:18	554:12 555:7,13	345:7 390:13	321:8 567:1	407:2,8 659:18
501:4 554:9	555:17 558:4	436:2 602:23	575:21 576:2,5,16	irritancy 575:25
582:18 614:19	560:3 571:7,8,17	665:1	intramuscular	576:4
616:3,7 617:3	593:20 600:12	insufficient 480:8	315:14 316:2,22	irritant 468:11
inflammatory	603:7 607:19	642:4,10,12,13	320:17 324:17	ISO 321:8 429:11
305:21 307:16	643:17 651:20	643:12 645:11,14	426:16 500:23	455:25 472:1,2
316:6,12 320:24	653:2,4	645:16,17 646:3,7	572:13 574:25	473:16 481:24
321:6 323:2 334:6	informational	648:6,10	608:2,7	578:12
334:22 336:2,20	594:20 619:19	integration 317:4	intramuscularly	isolation 651:20
338:3 339:5,10,21	628:20	328:25 576:23	322:24	issue 298:8 380:2
, ,				

Page 687 393:3 458:7 640:25 knew 371:25 343:6 344:6 555:21 556:22 **judgment** 440:17 425:10,19 614:10 563:8,17 598:25 463:14 464:15 345:14 429:4 461:2 609:17 knitting 431:1 604:6,13,20,24 503:15 512:1 431:8 457:21 525:7 529:11 648:3 know 312:24 572:13 574:24 605:18 647:8 judgments 441:14 601:8 602:2 608:2 658:23 551:24 566:19 319:19 321:11 605:12 607:17 July 418:3 627:18 331:18,22 332:3 649:10 large 321:17 325:2 649:11 jump 594:10 336:8 348:9 known 351:13,25 333:11,11 334:11 issues 431:1 447:11 **jumping** 592:21 350:18,20 351:8 353:18 354:14 338:17 339:22 493:23 508:15 iury 319:7,13 361:3 354:7 355:24 375:25 409:10 488:7 636:10 373:25 389:23 562:24 567:20 518:8 521:13 356:21 358:3,3 **larger** 321:19 396:12 404:20 325:3 336:17 552:7 565:13,22 362:11 364:21 606:3 568:15 580:25 406:5 438:8 369:18,25 370:8 knows 319:6 337:15 338:1,4 618:24 619:8,9 527:23 544:11 376:10 380:18 376:20 441:17 529:13 iterations 567:5 566:11 607:9,11 382:14 384:22 **KREIS** 295:3 laser 301:20 541:11 598:16 612:7 619:22 654:8 389:2,5 398:10 296:2 541:25 IV 622:5 642:5,13 656:7 660:15 404:16 406:23,24 **lasted** 354:5 L 642:15 643:4,8,9 justification 597:14 413:23 420:3 late 559:24 662:12 L 294:17 296:13 643:12,14,24 **iustify** 597:13 424:1 427:14,20 launch 510:10 664:17 644:9 645:12,15 429:17 435:9 lauryl 499:24 K LA 498:8 503:6 645:16,17 646:4,7 444:1,2 445:12 **LAWYER'S** 668:1 K 539:3 **lab** 302:16 415:4 648:11,14,20,23 446:13,14 451:19 laver 305:23 **Karl** 485:4,5,19 650:6 648:24 649:7,15 458:5 463:25 347:20 348:6 486:7 569:21 **label** 320:21 402:14 373:20 375:9 **IVs** 648:7 465:3,5,14 473:22 402:24 Karl's 485:3,8 IV/DLG 643:9 474:6 477:7,12,14 382:20 388:18 keep 388:8 487:21 **labeled** 354:23 **IX-7** 585:18 480:10 484:4,7 389:3,7 392:9,10 589:4 613:5 614:8 **labeling** 308:19 485:4 486:16 **I-Stop** 539:17 394:11 396:4,18 labels 309:4 618:2 i.e 397:8 462:17 487:14 492:2 396:19 402:1 **keeping** 340:10 laboratories 497:4 503:12,24 425:11,20 517:22 J **Keller** 296:15 318:10 514:16 516:21 603:3 **J** 295:8 296:3 485:3 kept 611:11 laboratory 310:20 **LA-10** 478:18,22 517:8 521:10 485:4,8 key 355:15 373:13 320:15 322:8 522:20 525:6,15 483:21 484:8 **Janice** 508:14 374:6 435:18 477:19 567:21 525:18 527:2 488:25 489:6 509:21 459:15 515:6 574:13 528:13 529:9 502:21 503:9 **January** 294:11 laboratory-made 606:12 619:17 535:2 537:4 539:4 **LCM** 301:19 304:4 486:5 kind 357:12 358:1 592:3 539:18,21,25 541:25 543:5 664:18 398:21 437:2 lack 549:14 563:13 551:3 553:5 557:2 leach 360:4,22 **Jersey** 294:22 504:24 509:14 ladies 313:2 361:2 563:12 580:19 361:3 394:1 443:7 304:22 512:1 518:4 373:24 389:22 587:5 607:11 466:24 467:8 iob 598:4 530:25 541:2 396:12 438:8 630:24 634:2 468:15,17,24 **Joerg** 328:8 565:6 582:4,14,23 527:22 544:10 635:19,23 640:6 469:5,10 481:3 **John** 371:9 372:3 586:24 595:23 656:6 660:15 497:9 500:15 643:19,20 646:6,8 372:11 381:5,6,15 630:10 laminated 324:13 648:16 650:24 leachables 472:13 485:5,19 486:6 kinds 443:2 551:22 **language** 306:21 663:8,15 493:24 567:10 487:20 569:21 565:4 610:21 321:1,2 322:3 **knowing** 487:20 569:1,15,16 570:9 **Johnson** 295:12,12 Klinge 419:2,10 340:25 455:21 knowledge 422:16 573:12 575:23 jordi 553:2 Klosterhalfen 465:21 460:22 549:18 615:18,25 judge 406:14,15 419:2,10,19 553:22 554:11,12 knowledgeable leachables/extrac...

567:11	311:25 312:3	668:2	little 304:24 380:18	348:16 350:1
leaching 359:24	313:9 365:12	lines 549:25	444:6 472:1	352:1 355:17
361:4,10,12 394:7	371:7 394:22	Linsky 461:20	514:17 535:24	357:23 358:10,11
445:7 446:10	403:13 406:25	list 306:6 307:24	564:19 592:21	360:6 364:16
447:10 457:11,25	415:25 437:14	308:3 311:13	617:19 648:23	368:18 370:13,21
467:22 474:19	444:5,8 445:19,19	317:22 334:5,15	662:10,12	382:25 383:23,23
481:8 493:17,20	446:20 499:6	336:17 337:15.15	lives 439:21 521:4	384:17 385:13
493:21 501:15	523:10 531:17	338:1,4,14,18,21	521:25	394:15 395:12
507:17 559:10	547:19 557:10	339:1,4,8,12,15	LLP 294:21	396:25 400:17
566:7,10,11,12,15	561:8 592:20	340:5 341:18,19	load 384:25 467:23	402:17 415:25
566:17,19,22	613:17 631:6,9	342:13,21 350:2	528:6 539:4,14,18	416:3 420:21
567:7 568:8,15,22	640:4 641:6	352:11 359:4,5	539:21 586:6	429:24 430:7,10
568:23 569:5,8	662:23,23 663:13	363:9,25 376:24	loads 527:12 529:3	470:24 471:3
570:24 577:17	level 390:23 458:21	421:15 422:4	529:12 530:4	474:2,20 479:14
579:9,12,20,24	482:24,25 529:2	426:9 547:10,12	538:20 540:1,2	485:2 507:2
lead 372:9 449:14	557:3 574:19	548:8,15,21,23,25	local 493:24 575:25	524:23 525:20
506:12	593:10 596:12	548:25 549:1	localized 479:16	532:2,5,10 533:12
leader 461:21	649:14 657:6	558:19 560:3	locate 328:2 476:23	533:18 539:9
478:1	levels 490:2 495:14	577:3 606:25	located 477:13	542:21,22 550:13
leads 424:3 583:9	502:5	654:24 655:4	589:11 592:9	550:14,14,16
leak 443:12	leveraged 579:3	659:25 660:16	location 344:16	566:23,25 568:7
lean 594:16	599:18	listed 308:23 311:1	345:3 602:19	575:24 584:9
learn 605:12	leveraging 341:10	312:17 313:13	locations 629:13	586:4,16 587:13
learned 386:24	LIABILITY 294:6	314:3 322:21	long 327:3 329:6	587:19,24 588:22
leave 524:24	Liebert 353:22	328:23 330:16	376:14 388:7	590:10 591:7,23
lecture 388:10,13	359:1,1,8,8,20	334:4 336:19	452:17 456:15	592:6 605:16
led 579:24	life 349:17 454:19	339:4 350:2	458:11 488:14	609:9,15,20 612:3
Lee 296:19	524:15 557:4	363:25 375:4,19	513:21 561:18	623:21 631:6
left 363:4 523:18	lifetime 561:20,25	547:8 558:12	610:24 611:23,25	635:14 636:2,15
626:2	light 368:22 397:5	559:12 564:7	630:7	637:5 640:4
left-hand 378:20	397:10 436:25	596:4,4 601:12	longer 489:8 618:2	641:13 647:16
legs 522:9	578:11 649:2	657:20 658:5	619:25	651:21 659:6
length 361:20	656:23 657:6	659:16,24 661:18	long-term 298:12	looked 314:4 316:5
386:14 457:7,15	662:7	listen 384:23 661:1	325:15 329:4	322:25 334:18,21
570:13 576:18	lightweight 333:11	661:2	334:8,9 341:5,11	335:8,24 338:15
585:11,16 595:2	333:18 334:11	listeners 374:5	342:4 375:20	355:3 358:12
595:11 597:6	338:16 339:22	lists 337:17,20	377:7 387:4	360:3 372:19
599:1 606:10	442:10 443:16	489:20 558:8	399:11 488:12	378:9 404:25
615:16 619:25	likewise 616:25	654:6	497:13 561:23	408:14 410:19
620:13 628:9	limitations 597:10	literature 308:25	562:1 596:22,24	424:10 438:3
651:9	limited 445:7	337:9,10,13 338:6	605:8,9	501:12 509:16
lengths 581:19	507:15 559:8	422:11 549:2	look 308:7 310:9	520:10 532:16
584:10	566:9	597:19,20,23	316:24 317:3	533:14 540:9
lesser 503:10	Lindemann 638:16	598:6 605:16	318:21 321:5	553:23 570:9
letter 300:10,14,19	line 303:6,9,11,14	litigation 294:6	323:14 325:18,19	582:2 657:22
511:8,17 513:5,13	366:21 494:8	381:22 382:9	325:22 330:10,11	660:17
let's 306:9 308:7	661:24 666:3	613:11 614:7,11	330:23 343:14	looking 313:23,25
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

		3		
				Page 689
316:21 317:6,7,25	losses 586:13	macroscopic	391:18 403:14	443:16 447:18,21
321:16 326:3,17	lost 326:6 328:15	592:25 593:14,19	484:16 508:5,7	448:15 450:9
326:21 332:15	336:24 537:13,21	magistrate 552:12	523:10 531:17	452:22 456:17
341:7 344:23	538:1,5,13 540:17	640:25	548:7 617:25	458:13 459:7,8
348:10 357:4	544:6	Main 295:4 296:4	618:5 624:5	464:12 469:24
359:3 365:17	lot 329:16 335:10	maintain 454:18	649:21	478:6 479:8
366:24 367:6	448:25 494:11	488:6 610:24	marked 303:13	481:20 489:10
370:18 395:17,18	532:16 549:24	Major 510:6	305:6 307:8,10	539:14 540:23
415:4 426:14	611:25 662:15	majority 308:1,4	350:5 378:4	556:1 560:12
427:16 532:13,18	lots 654:11	312:15,16 313:4	391:19 403:15,18	562:8 569:14
536:14 539:5,7	low 479:19,24	334:4 432:4	461:24 465:25	583:9,17,19
561:16 569:11	480:7,17 481:11	653:24 654:4	466:6 508:10	604:17 655:20
572:9 575:22	502:5 516:11	657:21	510:23,25 512:24	656:17 657:10
581:23 585:2	539:12 557:3	making 347:23	513:2,9,12 514:5	materials 362:23
588:20 589:20	596:12	408:11 413:3	514:8 523:1,12,13	363:14 420:9,18
635:18 637:3	lower 441:18	427:22 441:13	531:19 541:5,8	442:8 444:1
640:1 641:3 642:8	482:20 585:14	471:5 504:14	547:10,12 548:11	477:20 482:1
655:19	626:2 632:17,19	597:14 607:9	548:12 573:16	536:15 568:25
looks 370:14	646:16	640:15	617:6,9,22,22	578:5 603:7
393:15 447:8	lowered 482:24	Manager 513:14	618:3,6 649:23	607:17
455:20 486:22,23	lubricant 498:8	manner 322:15	655:1	matter 294:15
510:2 511:21	Lubrol 314:5 360:4	483:2 520:21	market 562:23	301:23 354:24
532:8 539:13	393:18 394:1	577:16 579:2	563:7 575:19	380:3 436:4
564:13 569:9	466:20 467:3	609:23	576:7,14	457:10 487:24
586:2,5 590:2	468:15 469:4,14	manufacture 478:7	marketed 351:17	535:23 557:25
593:23 626:8	470:5 478:11,14	479:5,20,25	595:15	558:12 563:6
Los 296:14	478:16 483:23	487:16 488:23	marketing 402:10	613:12,15 614:7
lose 374:16	489:1,7,7,10,15	496:24 560:13	517:9 519:24	max 528:3
loss 355:20,21	497:5	manufacturer	masked 407:9,13	maximize 567:10
382:16,17 417:10	Lunch 409:14,17	386:24	mass 540:23 646:9	maximum 481:25
435:17 454:14,15		manufacturers	646:12	483:14
507:16,21 508:15	lysed 475:6	391:10 392:22	material 315:21	MCM 541:16
508:21 509:9,22		420:3	343:8,20 344:8	543:5
512:15 516:15	M	manufacturer's	345:8 347:11	MDL 294:5
520:10 527:5	M 378:13,14,14,15	416:21	351:4 354:13,24	mean 318:25 330:7
528:22 532:19	476:14	manufacturing	355:16 361:16	346:11 355:24
533:13,21 534:20	machine 431:1	393:19 416:19	364:8 372:18,22	358:4 361:3
537:13 538:13,21	585:19,20,25	440:3 485:21	373:1,9 381:17	370:17 372:6
540:10 542:8,21	586:3	494:5 496:15,19	386:7 399:6	411:18 456:19
559:9 580:7,10,13	macro 453:24	498:23 500:14	401:14 402:14,25	458:16 473:18
580:25 582:1,5	macroparticle	504:6	404:25 409:11	538:1 541:16
583:25 585:11	518:4	March 570:5,7,8	410:17,22 411:12	550:22 551:21
586:9,20 606:19	macroparticles	584:25	412:6,21 413:19	571:9,13 573:6
616:4,8 619:1,16	518:22 519:10	Marian 477:11	421:21 424:5	574:11 592:16
621:13,19,23	535:15 536:16,18	mark 306:7,9,11	426:2,3 430:20	611:17 622:10
630:20 631:2	macrophages	311:25 350:3	433:1 435:22	632:7 635:1 641:1
639:21 643:18	518:21	377:11,15,20	442:10,11 443:16	656:2 657:8,13
		ĺ	ĺ	·

Page	690

	l		 	l
meaningful 449:16	melting 357:24	346:16 347:5,12	535:20 539:23	423:6 471:25
means 354:7 361:4	358:2,4,12,19	347:19,20 348:6	540:18,25 541:4	472:1,2,10
539:4 635:2	367:17	358:13 372:20	541:11,11,16,25	Michael 296:22
642:15 664:23	melts 358:8	388:19 389:3	545:8 549:15,21	Michelle 294:17
meant 317:24	membranes 502:23	394:1 410:20	550:4,6,12 551:1	664:17
413:24	memo 382:1 413:2	414:24 415:1,5,12	560:13,24 562:15	micrographs
measure 528:5	485:3,8,18 486:2	415:12,13 418:10	564:23 565:8,13	420:24
542:9 587:11	486:4,4,10 508:20	421:2,17 423:5,23	565:14,24 567:5	microns 592:11
619:24 620:7	511:14	426:2,3 428:12	567:13 568:16,19	microparticles
621:5,6,15,16	memorandum	432:16 434:11	570:24 572:11,14	518:5,21 519:13
627:25 632:8	569:21,25	436:20 442:8	572:21 573:1,3,7	microparticulates
642:18 643:16	memorized 394:21	451:7 452:13	573:19 575:1,1,2	581:23
measured 539:3,24	memory 326:20	453:13 454:6	575:3,4,4,7,7,10	microscope 368:22
586:9,13 592:10	416:6 474:6	455:4 456:1,4,6	575:12,17 576:12	397:10 657:6
592:10	MENDILLO 296:7	456:16 458:12	576:14,20 577:5,7	microscopic 421:2
measurement	Menneret 511:24	459:7,8 460:3	577:8,12,12,16	421:16 423:5,22
642:20 643:13,14	512:14 513:4	466:25 467:9	582:5 586:2 588:2	593:10
648:25	mentioned 335:5	468:6,7,13,15,18	588:2,5,10,23	microscopical
measurements	427:5 429:24	468:21,21 469:5	589:11,25 590:3,8	397:5
440:21	540:4 581:15	469:24 470:2,3,7	590:22,23 591:25	microscopy 298:21
measures 374:8,10	583:13 593:21	470:8,11,12,14,15	591:25 592:1,2,2	357:18 397:11,22
440:22	mesh 299:18 300:8	470:18,19 471:7	592:9 594:2 595:1	398:3 405:7
mechanical 301:21	301:9,20,21	471:21,22 472:3	595:7,13,15 596:1	410:20 618:16
323:23 356:24	305:20,24,25	472:24 473:11	596:6,14 597:7	628:11,16 638:15
398:22 424:6	307:15,21 309:25	479:2,5,10,19	598:14,16 602:25	638:16 656:24
430:17 435:19	313:23 316:4	480:2,11 481:4,14	603:4,5,13,18	657:23,23 658:7
437:5 452:9	318:3,7 320:4,11	481:23 482:5,7,21	606:18 614:24	659:18 660:1,17
510:15 523:7	320:19,24 321:9	483:2 486:14	615:9,25 616:8,18	microslides 613:2
541:10,16 585:15	321:17,25 322:23	491:16,21 492:3	616:23 617:4	microspectroscopy
588:1 592:1	322:24 323:17,24	498:24 499:8	618:21,24 621:24	357:9
mechanism 354:1	323:24 324:13,15	500:25 501:1,2,6	621:25 625:8	mid 334:9 431:25
359:17 424:14	324:19,22 327:15	501:14 502:8,20	634:13 639:18	556:6 560:15
425:16 476:10	328:11,24 329:6	503:8,17 506:1	652:3	middle 590:1
499:7 502:19	329:22 330:10,12	507:4 510:15,17	meshes 328:9 333:2	627:21
610:11	330:22,25 331:7,8	511:11,11,18	334:10,11 408:21	mid-duration
mechanisms	331:8,9,11,12,16	512:4,5,6,16	419:6,12,20	342:24
472:23 473:1	331:19,23 332:1,5	515:4,5,7,8,10,21	420:13,22 424:22	mid-term 325:14
medical 370:12	332:13,16,23	516:8,23 517:6	427:20 494:6	329:3
378:8 432:19	333:5,5,10,12,17	518:3 520:21,21	497:7 506:12	migrate 535:10
437:23 439:20	333:18,21 334:5,8	522:23 523:8	576:21 579:5	536:1,25
440:2 441:16	334:21 335:16	524:17,18,19,21	586:1,5 652:2	migration 536:6
450:17 472:5	338:17,17,22,23	524:22,25 525:2	mesh-related	mil 331:12,14,23
514:18,20 554:20	339:22 340:12,16	525:10,11 526:3	312:25	332:12,16 336:3
599:8	340:16,19 341:1,9	526:12 527:18,20	message 370:14,19	338:17 577:4,12
mediums 567:12	341:20 342:2,15	528:2,11 529:4,13	met 350:21 369:24	596:1
meet 596:6	342:20,22 344:21	529:15,24 533:10	481:18	mild 316:11 323:6
melt 650:24,25	345:16,21 346:6	533:24 535:16,17	method 314:1,2	323:8,13,18
	<u> </u>		l	ı

453:13 473:17	449:21 450:23	molecules 634:11	multi-center	540:14 552:11
475:8 501:3	Missouri 369:23	641:21 644:22	463:19 464:25	557:1 665:4
573:15 596:9	372:12 381:15	moment 311:24	465:17	necrosis 317:8
Miller 605:11	410:16	325:23 359:4	multi-component	need 348:23 349:1
milligrams 482:16	misspoke 335:18	383:24 391:9	415:12	349:23 357:14
483:6,9,10 499:13	misspoken 629:2	398:9 444:21	multi-page 558:7	404:14,16 425:16
499:14,17,18	MN 631:20 632:3,7	532:5 645:23	muscle 318:5 320:5	441:9 444:8,10,14
500:3	632:22	656:16,21	520:23 522:23	445:13,14 460:14
milliliter 482:16	model 323:24	money 464:22	528:3 588:3 590:4	462:21 463:23
483:7,10,11	325:8 420:19	465:5 663:5	590:8	471:1 473:24
499:14,15,17,19	523:9	monitor 564:12	muscles 466:16	486:19 488:6
500:3	models 328:24	monofilament	musculature 525:1	494:9 551:3
millimeters 524:20	335:16	561:10 606:1	527:19	552:14 571:18
mimic 467:22	moderate 316:6,12	655:10	Muse 626:2 627:1	573:24 583:14
482:8 526:10	318:17 323:1,6,8	monofilaments	MW 632:3	605:16 618:25
528:8 529:3,14,23	323:18,20 456:1	540:24	M.D 296:15	628:11 634:17
530:6 531:7	470:23 473:13,17	Mont 487:5		646:8 662:19
mimicked 540:1	475:4,13 573:15	Montecatini	N	663:3
mind 306:13 587:7	moderately 473:19	486:14,22 487:7	N 297:2 624:5	needed 393:3 530:5
587:15 628:20	molecular 355:18	month 510:22	name 335:13	needs 341:3 354:14
minds 440:5	355:20 373:14,17	months 325:9	350:17 367:5	441:24 510:7
mine 547:15	374:7 382:13,16	341:6 378:16	417:20 525:25	562:7 564:12
minimal 305:21	383:9 384:18	379:16,16 426:17	532:3,15	609:25 648:3
307:16 320:24	389:12 413:1,4	moon 583:2,4	names 638:24	650:17
323:13,19 334:6	417:10 435:18	morning 304:18,19	NAMSA 455:24	negative 334:22
336:21 338:3	436:5,25 440:21	304:20 327:4	462:8 470:20	467:9 470:16,19
339:6,10 340:6	440:23 449:9,12	581:9,15	476:6	576:3 608:11
341:1,22 342:17	451:19 452:6	Morristown 294:22	nanoparticles	negligible 576:4
342:22 344:21	454:15 555:14	move 319:15	518:20	neither 641:18
389:15 447:16	606:11,19,23	326:15 341:15	nature 459:15	never 371:16 395:9
573:14 592:5,19	607:2 619:4,8,13	342:6 376:3,12	479:1	437:25 438:3
603:1	619:22,24 620:3,5	388:5,12 406:10	NDA 308:18,20	634:3 654:5
minor 493:6	620:9,24 621:1	406:11,25 426:2	309:14 342:5	Nevertheless 412:3
minute 319:10,11	622:16 625:13,14	440:25 444:12	386:11 536:16	new 294:22 304:22
319:11 370:24	625:19,21 626:17	445:14 449:17	556:4 560:18,19	325:7 391:15
371:17 377:14	626:21 627:6,14	454:25 469:1	561:6 562:5,10,25	486:22,23 560:19
379:21 380:3	627:25 628:16,22	472:18 493:10	563:18 565:16	560:22 600:9,18
385:25 453:20	630:19 631:1,20	494:22 515:3	570:4 578:24	604:1 606:9 652:5
463:24 546:25	632:4,9,9,11,23	557:6 563:1 607:6	581:8 582:7,14,17	Newton 539:3
minutes 662:19	634:2,4,10,11	663:13	584:7 603:21,21	Newtons 528:5,6
663:2	635:20 639:22	movement 361:4	605:1 NDAg 241:4	NGP 315:11
mismatched	641:20 642:11,19	550:4 566:12	NDAs 341:4	NICHOLS 296:12
549:15,21	644:3,6,10,16,18	567:13 648:3	necessarily 445:13 655:18	nine 402:9,9,9,24
mismatching 550:6	644:20 645:20	MSDS 362:21		403:8
550:12 551:2	646:14 647:6,9,11	363:20 364:7	necessary 392:23 429:18 432:13	nitric 362:25 363:2
misreading 638:2	647:13,17,23	MUELLER 296:12	440:4 482:2	363:7
misrepresent	648:1,21	multiple 558:23	11 0.4 402.2	non 552:2
L	1	1	1	•

		624.10.644.27	100 6 111 1 1 7 6 7	521 5 522 1
Nonabsorbable	November 511:4	634:19 644:25	409:6 411:4,15,23	521:5 522:1
298:13	511:24,25	645:18	412:8,15,23	523:25 525:4,13
nonpolar 483:13	Novo 487:5	numerous 617:2	413:10,21 414:3,9	526:5,15 527:6,15
nonresponsive	Novofil 405:8	nylon 309:8 379:25	414:14 415:17,22	528:15 529:17
341:16 342:7	407:6 623:10	380:11,17	416:9 417:1,14	530:8,23 531:9
441:1 449:18	624:7	n=5 585:16	418:14 419:13,22	534:4,21 535:12
455:1 472:19	nowadays 567:25		420:10 421:7,23	536:9 537:7,16,23
493:11	number 304:6	0	422:5,19 424:23	538:7,15 540:11
nonsterile 481:17	305:6,14 306:12	O 354:8	425:5,13,22	540:20 541:19
non-absorbable	308:9 315:3	object 309:17	426:11 427:1,12	545:21 546:8,20
314:15 352:21	325:10 340:15	310:16 313:6	428:7,23 429:6	549:8,16 553:25
368:5 377:8	352:2,24 353:9	316:14 317:1,10	430:5,12,21 431:3	554:14 555:10,23
564:10,12 657:11	362:1 363:25	318:12 321:13	431:13,21 432:6	556:12 606:23
non-colored 561:16	367:5 370:3,4	323:3,10 326:1,8	432:21 434:1,21	631:4,22 632:14
non-cytotoxic	377:9,25 381:4	328:3,16 329:8,13	435:14,24 436:11	633:10,21 634:14
464:10 471:22	391:18 397:2	329:24 330:13,17	436:22 437:19	635:5 636:19
472:9 478:8	399:19 409:24	331:20 332:7,17	438:19 439:4,8,12	637:19,24 638:12
482:13 483:7	418:9 433:12	333:13 334:12	439:23 440:14	639:10 641:22
499:14 501:1	446:7,7,22 451:16	335:2 336:4,10,22	442:13 443:9,20	644:23 646:17
non-GLP 322:13	455:14 473:6,19	339:16,24 341:24	444:8,8 448:1,23	649:18 651:7,14
non-in 573:2	474:8,18 495:3,8	343:1,12 344:12	449:23 450:4,12	652:18 657:25
non-ionic 478:19	508:8,14 510:24	345:23 346:7,24	450:25 451:8	658:14,19 659:3
502:21	513:3,12 514:8	347:7,21 348:7	452:2,15 453:2,22	660:3,7,19
normal 471:6	515:6,7,9,9	349:12,21 352:7	454:8 455:7	objection 310:23
normally 407:10	516:14,21 522:5,9	355:5 356:6,14	456:20 458:23	312:18 316:8
Notary 294:19	522:15 523:13	357:6 358:5,16	460:5,23 461:9	317:13 400:25
664:18 667:21	525:7 534:15	360:23 361:18	462:9 463:4,20	457:5 498:18
note 415:11 423:11	541:8 548:3 549:6	362:6 363:16	465:1,9,19 467:12	512:19 560:25
notebook 302:16	553:16 563:19	364:18 365:19	469:7 474:10	562:16 563:1,10
309:13 650:6	568:8,9,20,21	367:1,18,25 368:9	475:17 476:2	563:13 564:25
652:7,11	569:4,18 570:4,4	368:15 369:1,11	480:3,14 483:24	566:3 570:16
notebooks 361:10	570:22 577:18,24	371:11,14,22	484:5 487:17	572:2 578:25
445:11 474:12	584:15,18,19,20	373:3,10 374:3,20	488:1,16 490:9,22	579:15 580:1,11
559:13,17 600:15	589:6 597:10	374:25 375:15	491:5 492:11	584:5 591:9,14,22
652:21 653:6	613:20 614:1,16	378:25 380:7,15	493:1 494:17	594:5 595:16
658:6	617:21 618:14	381:23 382:10,23	495:23 496:12,16	597:3 598:1 599:2
noted 414:11	624:19 630:12	383:16 384:4,11	496:25 497:21	600:20 603:10
489:25 582:3,6	632:8,16,22 633:1	385:5,18 386:3	498:3,12 499:1,16	604:3,8 605:2
667:9	633:9 635:24	387:11 388:20	500:17 501:21	607:6,23 609:10
notes 611:6,10	636:17 637:5	389:8,24 390:9,19	502:13 504:8	613:9 614:6,12,25
612:12 613:5	641:21,24 644:22	392:12,25 393:6	505:14 506:3,13	615:20 616:10
614:8 625:3,7	645:2 647:1 655:9	394:4,13 395:22	506:21 507:6	619:11 620:11
630:14 662:10	655:16,17 663:20	396:6,20 398:14	508:17 509:12,24	621:2 622:21
668:1	numbered 307:25	398:18,25 400:10	510:18 511:19	624:15 625:17
notice 306:20	627:17	400:19 401:6,19	512:9 514:2	629:5,15
457:25	numbers 625:3	403:10 405:25	516:17,25 517:25	objections 406:12
Novafil 624:4	633:3 634:3,16,17	407:15 408:6,22	518:17 520:2,16	406:20,20
	1			

objective 327:23	occasions 451:16	449:20 458:3	566:21 611:20	overrule 442:1
487:8	occur 354:3 387:3	463:1 464:3	664:11	oversight 322:16
objectives 587:25	388:1 408:15,20	467:18 470:7	opposed 590:19	322:20 598:4,5
588:18	409:5 459:14	475:23 480:10	opposite 465:25	overwhelming
objects 363:14	483:18 591:1	485:8 486:18	option 552:9	312:16 657:20
observable 580:17	611:23	489:14,17,19	order 294:9 560:7	over-tensioning
observation 354:12	occurring 408:4	495:12 503:15	560:23 562:23	512:2
384:17 387:22,23	521:2,23	509:1,4,7 510:9	563:6 646:9	owe 553:2
393:15 401:24	occurs 414:25	515:15 516:14,21	ordered 319:21	Owens 514:14,16
402:18 407:6	496:18 512:6	517:17 521:12	organize 549:3	owl 571:16
413:13 593:14,19	October 352:21	523:15 525:2	organs 439:22	oxidation 353:17
594:4 609:19	404:23 445:20	532:8,17 551:9	440:12	353:23 354:20
629:17	447:25 448:5,10	553:8,9,12 557:23	orientation 424:4	357:18 358:15
observations	618:10 622:3	562:22 567:23	original 338:20	359:9,17 367:23
383:18 384:6	623:7 626:1,3	574:1,4 580:21	360:18 362:9	368:2 372:24
385:12 386:11	627:1,10	586:15 587:10	448:8 461:22	392:24 393:2,20
393:10 397:5	ocular 313:10	589:8 591:3 594:3	483:2 486:21	405:13,24 406:7
418:23 437:3	Oddly 370:21	594:10 599:22	487:4,10,21 488:6	407:3,9 410:24
440:20 449:6,6	offending 473:21	612:12,15,22	489:2,23 540:6	411:20 415:2
589:14,18,19	475:15,25	622:15 624:22	550:5 568:19	434:18 435:8
593:1 609:16	offensive 510:7	626:5 627:19	570:18 604:21	442:7,9 443:1,4
612:2,10	offer 356:9 520:23	630:9,17,24 637:8	610:18 611:20	443:15 490:4
observe 610:4	527:20 551:19	637:10 640:1	612:2 665:11	491:3 492:10
observed 347:24	552:6	641:12 643:10	ought 464:14	494:5 495:19,21
354:11 373:19,22	offering 357:1	644:12,18 645:10	547:14	496:3,5,23 497:19
386:12 387:23,24	551:25	647:2 654:21,25	outer 347:20	498:23 658:18
397:9 398:5	offices 294:20	655:4 656:21,22	358:13 373:25	659:1,14,20
399:22 407:10	486:23	657:2 659:8	392:9 394:11	660:18 661:22
408:17 412:11	oh 340:17 370:17	old 335:25 336:2	395:7 402:1 424:5	oxidative 353:17
414:6,8,13 437:3	446:14 523:15,18	once 350:21 576:5	outlined 347:10	354:1
456:18 458:15	547:13 558:16	ones 578:15 607:13	outlining 578:5	oxide 313:20
501:5 518:7 519:9	642:3 644:8 647:2	one-month 315:10	outside 496:10	503:14
533:17 536:20	Ohio 318:10	one-third 639:12	536:2 542:3	oxidizer 349:11
555:19 573:10	oil 362:16,18	639:15	562:17 563:14	353:21 358:23
581:7 584:11	okay 307:13,23	open 308:24 511:12	571:11 574:19	364:3
590:16 593:1	308:7 309:1 312:3	511:13 605:15	620:11	oxidizers 362:24
612:5 618:20	315:24 344:25	operation 513:17	overall 320:2	363:15,19 364:6,9
634:12 647:6	350:22 353:14	513:17	434:15 473:5	oxygen 363:1
obtained 338:7	361:7 365:12	ophthalmic 315:6	545:1	O'KEEFE 296:12
405:11 406:5	372:6,8 381:14	426:17 638:15	OVERHOLTZ	O2 349:10 353:20
486:14 598:11	384:24 391:16	opinion 364:5	295:3 296:2	364:2
659:18	392:4,20 393:12	537:9	overlap 550:2	
obviously 376:17	394:20 406:16,22	opinions 549:6	654:12	<u>P</u>
480:21 514:18	407:5 409:14	551:12,25	overlapped 514:17	P 296:8
540:13 558:8	410:2 416:4,4	opportunity 355:8	overlay 471:21	package 308:19
586:17 588:15	441:5 446:4,4,20	376:18 403:20	472:8	390:24 393:21
occasional 379:17	447:3,14 448:7	464:14 552:2	overlying 505:9	416:18,23 417:10
	I	l	l	I

				Page 694
125.6.9.112.25		527.12.529.12.21	(12.25 (12.1	
435:6,8 442:25	paragraph 345:3	537:12 538:13,21	612:25 613:1	perception 372:13 372:17 381:16
467:24 479:4	353:4,6,9 378:20	540:10 542:7,21	pathologists 608:23 610:3 611:19	
481:6,22 489:22	394:21 502:6	544:18,20 545:1,4		410:17
544:3 569:17	622:5	546:12 559:9	pathology 325:25	perchlorates
570:13 622:14	parameter 587:14	580:7,10,13,24	328:2 335:21	362:25
623:22	parameters 355:18	582:1,4,14,16,17	592:12 610:13	perform 622:1
packages 417:5	384:18,19 408:25	583:25 585:10	patient 383:14	performance
443:2 444:2	413:4,14 449:14	586:9,13,20 616:4	415:1 454:19	477:20
packed 309:4	521:11	616:8	521:12 557:5	performed 355:13
page 297:13 298:5	parenthetically	particles 387:21	587:17 594:17	405:4 455:24
299:5 300:5 301:5	387:6	510:16 515:10	patients 437:25	473:2 585:15
302:5 303:6,9,11	Pariente 301:16	518:3 521:17	438:5 439:19	perimeter 657:17
303:14 312:4	525:20,23 526:1	523:23 525:11	441:10,14 513:16	period 310:7
352:23 353:9	526:12 527:4,9	526:3 532:25	520:22 528:24	328:25 335:17
362:20 370:5	531:18 532:17	533:16 535:9,15	621:21	354:4 458:18
378:11 386:20,22	538:25 584:14	536:6 537:2,14,22	pause 305:9	493:21 582:2
397:1,20 399:24	585:1,10,24	538:6 540:17	pcombs@tcspllc	590:25 611:22,24
400:3 401:12	594:11,19	541:4 544:5,8,13	295:11	612:1 656:4,5
410:6 446:12	part 307:20,25	545:5,7 546:11	PDS 324:14	permanent 389:21
455:22 459:20	315:21 330:7	581:7,13,13 584:3	peak 539:4 586:6	517:19 557:2
471:24 477:4	346:15 348:17,18	584:7,9 585:25	peel 374:1 382:22	permanently 389:4
486:12 524:23	367:7 379:3	588:11 590:8,11	383:13 452:24	438:10 440:11
543:2 550:4	389:10 412:17	590:14,16,22	peeling 383:20	permanganates
570:22 585:13	424:19 429:12	particular 331:19	452:22 453:9,15	363:5,7
587:25 588:25	430:19 455:19	338:13 351:13	PELVIC 294:5	permeable 309:4
589:6,7,13 618:7	456:23 457:11	420:14 550:15	pelvises 389:5,22	permeation 622:6
623:1 625:11	464:5,7 473:4	588:18 649:11	438:10	permit 450:23
626:4,24 627:16	514:20 515:20	particulars 583:14	pending 365:14	peroxide 362:25
635:17,18,20,24	519:7 531:2,14	particulate 591:2	366:11 388:9	Perretti 294:20
636:14,15,15	540:23 542:16	particulates 538:2	394:24 453:5	person 343:6 344:6
639:5 642:9 644:4	544:2 545:8 564:1	540:25 580:16	493:14 535:5	345:14 385:15
648:8 652:7,11	575:11,20 597:14	588:16,20,24	Pensacola 295:4	408:13 429:3
659:9 666:3 668:2	597:25 598:5	parts 600:10	296:4	431:7,8 457:21
pages 302:15 623:5	599:13 600:23	pass 557:8 663:16	people 450:18	458:8 477:1,9,15
624:19,21 667:5	601:9,18,23	passage 498:9	460:10 649:10	491:9,24 493:16
paid 419:11 465:15	603:21 604:20	520:22 522:23	perceived 428:12	507:19 517:10
465:16 488:13	606:7 636:10	passed 576:5	546:13	572:12 574:24
662:25 663:5	638:25	passes 596:10	percent 329:22	593:6 601:7 602:1
pale 544:14	participated	paste 455:18	474:25 475:5,10	608:1,14 610:1
pancreas 606:8	637:16 639:2	pasted 456:25	475:14,24 476:7	633:24 636:22
paper 359:20	particle 507:16,20	457:3	526:2 533:2,20	638:17
415:25 416:3	508:15,21 509:9	pathologist 569:9	537:22 538:1,5,12	personally 364:12
453:19,25 462:18	509:22 512:15	569:10 589:20	538:18 540:16	364:13 365:8,11
463:2,8 464:1	516:15 520:10	593:25 608:17	541:15 624:8	365:16,23,25
471:25 472:7,16	527:5 528:22	609:2 610:14,15	percentage 534:20	366:23 367:14,15
593:16 605:15	532:19 533:13,21	610:18 611:1,24	542:6,22	380:1 457:14
papers 606:17	534:20 536:22,25	612:1,6,16,19,19	perceptible 545:16	582:10
r	22200000.00,00	,0,10,17,17	F-1-1-F-10-10-10-10-10	

Page 695 personnel 548:24 536:8 578:14,15 581:8 375:21 378:10,14 556:1,8 548:25 613:11 614:8 **pointing** 650:24 378:15,18,22 **poor** 400:8 622:23 626:20 **points** 332:13 379:15 380:2 population 594:17 perspective 418:8 462:19 554:17,25 **placed** 472:6,7,15 515:6 381:12,16,20 **pore** 321:12,17 555:4 582:20 606:7 **policies** 322:18 324:25 325:2 382:7,16,21 594:14,22 597:1 plaintiff 599:1 574:17 385:17,23,24 331:3,18 333:11

606:21 607:21	plaintiffs 295:6	poly 579:3	386:11 387:1,7,14	334:11 338:17
petri 567:24	296:6 306:20	polyethylene	387:15 390:8	339:22 552:1
petro 362:16	345:2 558:3	353:12	392:10 394:12	pores 321:19 325:3
ph 294:24	600:11	polyglactin 575:6	395:7 396:13,18	porosity 330:8,9
phagocytes 544:23	plaintiff's 337:11	polymer 353:16	400:8,16,17 401:5	336:16 507:16
phase 327:21	plant 486:25 487:5	355:13 356:16,18	402:7 408:15,20	551:23,24 552:5
596:10	plates 567:25	356:23 357:25	410:17,19 415:13	559:9 594:25,25
phases 574:20	platform 388:8	372:14 383:13	415:16 416:7,14	595:3 596:3 597:6
PHILIP 295:8	426:3	384:3,10 385:4,16	416:15,16,21,22	598:20
photo 420:23	plays 434:18 442:7	389:20 398:17,21	418:10 419:12	portion 337:5
photograph 541:9	Plaza 294:21	400:16 401:4,5	424:21 425:11,20	portions 379:19
541:10 544:9	please 304:9 319:2	402:2 424:4	432:15 433:22	posed 519:3
photographs	327:2,9 331:6	454:14 485:7	434:13,19,24,25	position 346:4,19
301:19 420:23	342:9 377:23	487:9 494:8,10	435:2,5,12,19	346:21 347:4,10
physical 440:4	384:25 388:10,13	496:8 619:14,15	442:8,21 443:1,7	360:20 376:8
623:9 624:3	391:25 406:2	619:25,25 620:3,8	444:4 453:12	381:21 382:8,12
physicians 388:18	423:17 437:12	620:10,14 621:7	456:1,4,6,15	382:20 383:25
389:18 390:18	446:24 459:21	622:23 624:17	458:12 472:23	384:2,9,23 409:2
436:10,19 460:2	484:14 509:17	625:18 632:25	473:11 478:6	409:4 438:14,22
505:21 517:6,19	511:2,7,14 513:15	633:9 638:21	479:2,4,10,19	438:24 439:2,15
554:13	513:24 514:10	642:18 643:16,18	480:2 481:22,23	439:22 462:18
Ph.D 294:14 297:4	557:11,22 584:14	649:1 656:9	482:3,7,21 487:2	463:2,8 464:13
304:11 664:11	607:10 622:25	polymers 353:19	489:1 491:3 497:7	485:6 543:14,15
667:14	629:19 634:6	620:2	499:8 500:25	positive 465:17
pick 557:21 563:18	638:23 660:20	polyoxyethylene	501:1 502:20	571:12,23 572:6
581:3	661:10 665:3,7	499:23	503:2,5,8,12,21	576:11 608:5
Picture 378:14	plenty 494:20	polypropylene	504:18 506:11	positively 332:12
pictures 511:8	PLLC 295:3,7	311:2 314:14,21	519:11 560:13,21	possession 653:1
piece 519:20 528:2	296:2	316:3,25 318:3,7	560:24 561:10	possibility 479:15
529:4,13,15	point 310:12	320:4,18 322:23	562:4,13,24 564:3	534:7 658:24
535:24 539:23	341:12 351:14	322:24 324:19,22	565:5,7,23 572:14	possible 405:12
585:20 593:16	353:3 357:24	341:7,8 347:12	575:5 578:23	406:6 407:2 440:6
599:10	358:2,4,7,13,19	349:20 352:17,20	579:4,20 603:12	468:17 487:10
pieces 358:13	367:17 386:17	353:5,12,23	603:13,13,18	534:12 535:2
376:23 453:16	392:22 434:13	354:13 357:23	604:22 605:13,19	536:6 537:3
515:5	475:8 565:15	358:15 359:10,12	637:18 652:16	610:24 659:13,19
pigment 498:15	586:8,12 611:22	360:21 362:12,21	655:10,15	661:22 662:2
pigmented 561:10	612:5 650:25	363:21 364:8,8	polypropylenes	possibly 372:24
655:10	658:4,10	372:13,14,18,20	416:16 442:24,24	410:24 411:20
pipetted 472:16	pointed 434:23	373:20 374:1,15	polypropylene-b	post 466:16 533:4,8
place 462:19 530:1	486:10 533:22,24	374:17 375:6,11	321:25 362:13	590:24
	<u> </u>	I	I	ı
Golkow Technologies, Inc 1.877.370.DEPS				

Page 696 **Postlethwait** 542:20 544:2 653:5 489:8 491:4 499:22 500:2,6,11 298:15 308:22 **preparing** 363:12 494:12 497:4 500:13 501:15 551:6,25 554:3,6 **presence** 349:10 502:3,21 503:6,9 311:10,13,22 554:16,19,23,25 503:24 523:14 350:16 377:2,8 555:1,2,4,19 353:20 364:2 532:14 599:18 504:2,5 378:7 379:15 559:5 565:25 **produce** 423:12 502:21 606:6 651:24 380:1 386:18 575:9 579:25 621:16 **pristine** 372:22 **produced** 307:5 **present** 584:10 391:9 392:21 580:9,12,25 582:4 400:18 410:22 404:11 423:16 453:19,25 582:7 582:20 583:20 588:17 591:2 411:11 producing 423:25 Postlethwait's 586:19,23 587:2,5 596:25 proactive 516:2 **product** 333:24 probability 512:7 presently 387:3 334:1 354:6,10 378:21 380:6 587:7 594:14,18 **probable** 502:19 potent 502:21 594:21 596:25 **preserve** 610:21 362:24 391:15 potential 364:3 597:9 599:8,10 press 351:11 **probably** 483:12 416:12 436:3 389:19 424:21 602:3,12 606:12 presumably 392:21 485:2 520:20 442:16 469:24 456:8,18 458:14 606:21 607:21 **pretty** 424:14 541:2 585:6 470:2,3,22 476:6 461:7,14 468:11 614:17 618:24 425:16 522:16 **problem** 510:16 476:16,17,18,25 478:23 479:2,7 619:9,18 628:20 565:2 595:5 620:4 513:21 547:14 477:8,15,19 500:11 559:21 633:24 **prevent** 392:24 **problems** 506:2,12 488:10 497:19 566:21 preclinically 509:3 393:19 417:12 509:9,21 511:18 509:23 512:4 potentially 349:11 519:23 494:5 496:3 512:15 520:7 525:8 526:4 353:20 358:22 preclinician 620:6 497:18 498:23 procedure 294:17 554:22 559:6 potentiation 620:25 621:14 prevented 417:10 512:3 521:15 560:16 569:25 381:20 622:20 624:13 **previous** 414:17 530:20 533:1,4 570:18 576:7 **power** 378:17 625:16 628:15 463:11 598:8 539:6 540:18 577:21 579:8,11 **powerful** 349:11,16 629:3 602:14 647:9 procedures 322:18 587:6,16 592:14 349:16,19 353:21 **predate** 494:14 previously 304:12 574:17 594:15 601:10,24 361:17 372:24 **proceed** 304:9 358:22 364:3 **predated** 560:11 production 303:8 333:16 practical 533:9 **premarked** 361:25 373:7 412:4 318:6 331:25 practice 294:17 370:2 446:6,21 413:17 466:24 **Proceed's** 333:10 332:4 423:4 611:16,18 612:9 461:18 462:1 522:25 540:5 **process** 386:13 468:13 471:7 practices 322:8 472:21 484:18 597:16 387:2 393:19 490:20 574:14 preparation 397:8 Pre-implant 533:6 416:19 430:25 products 294:5 pre 533:4 397:18 434:2 533:8 480:12 481:12 344:20 345:7 preclinical 325:6 **prepare** 341:14 primary 325:17,21 485:21 496:15,19 362:13 389:1 327:14 341:13 457:19 550:18 326:18,25 327:23 496:24 500:15 420:4,14 440:10 343:6 344:6 355:2 **prepared** 329:11 355:3 364:17 504:6 525:3 528:7 442:20,22 445:6 330:4 357:16 365:17 366:24 528:14 536:7,17 456:16 458:13 420:16 438:6 440:1,4,19 441:12 358:1 434:5 367:22 368:4 563:4 581:18 468:13 477:25 450:16,16 460:9 457:18,22 464:5 369:9 464:24 586:8 590:5 592:4 478:10 502:4,8 460:10 463:14 464:17 465:15 569:6 603:22 606:8 507:14,20 509:11 principle 432:18 processes 493:7 523:23 546:7 476:19 488:8 474:11 543:11,19 506:25 508:21 543:20,22 544:1 441:16,21,23 processing 478:19 558:21,23 559:2,4 509:6 517:14 549:6,14 550:9 442:1 545:24 **Procol** 314:4 559:21 566:8 546:1,2,2,17,23 567:4,4 578:3,5 520:5 524:6 551:5,12 552:4,18 393:18 394:1

402:10,13 403:8

403:21 404:24

470:5 478:17,18

484:8 488:25

478:22 483:21,22

489:6,9,15 498:8

578:11,19 580:6

587:8,11 595:1

599:9 601:18

602:4,23

583:13

prior 361:21

526:19 528:23

530:13,17,22

529:10,14 530:7

531:5 542:11,17

568:13 601:2,15

650:9,10,16,18,21

651:8,19 652:22

608:18 617:11

i i			 	1
professional	362:4,5 372:25	556:3 560:13,20	428:18,22 429:9	667:21
294:19 661:12,15	373:7 374:11	561:6 562:15,24	429:19,23 430:3	publication 311:9
661:15 664:17	375:6,20,25	563:7,9 564:3,11	430:16,19 431:12	359:6 391:9,12
professionals 440:2	376:25 382:15	564:23,23 565:5,7	proper 406:8	392:21
Professor 652:13	386:10 387:14	565:16,17,24,24	properties 435:19	publications
652:13,14,15	388:19 390:7	568:10,16 570:18	493:8 550:25	420:24
Professors 419:1	391:14 392:23	570:24,24 573:3,7	551:1 624:3	pull 317:19 360:9
profile 462:20	393:22 394:10	577:4,11 579:3,5	proposed 553:6	414:16 473:22
556:7 595:12,14	395:6,19 396:3,3	581:8 582:9 588:2	proposition 583:10	474:23
595:22 596:6,8	396:13 398:3,24	588:2,5 591:5,20	propounded 667:8	pulled 586:3,5
598:21,23	399:8,12,17 400:6	592:1,1,2 595:7	protect 491:3 492:9	pulling 585:22
program 578:18	400:8,16 401:5,24	595:13,14 596:5	495:21 496:4	pullout 323:23
585:18	402:8 403:23	597:7 598:14,16	protective 294:9	325:18,22 523:8
progressive 458:17	405:5,9,11 406:5	599:18 602:25	443:3	purchase 486:21
project 299:12	407:20 408:3,5,15	603:11,12,13,17	protects 490:3	purchasing 517:19
301:19 324:18	408:20 412:4	603:25 604:7,22	495:18 496:22	pure 353:25 359:16
461:21	413:9,17 414:19	604:25 605:19	protocol 318:1,1	purpose 317:20
Prolene 299:8	415:13 416:20,24	606:2,18 614:24	320:2 429:11	318:1,2,2,23
302:11 305:20	417:6 422:9	615:3,9,12,19,25	540:14	319:23 320:1,3,12
307:15,21 309:9	424:21 425:11,20	616:4,8,15,17,23	prototype 324:18	321:4 562:3
309:25 311:2	426:4,8,15,22	617:4 618:12,21	332:5,10 427:19	purposes 429:17
313:10,20 314:11	427:6,11,17 428:6	618:24 622:4,6,9	prove 593:11	496:4 562:6
314:14,21 315:2	428:17,18 429:10	622:17 623:9	proven 515:23,24	565:16 653:17
315:19,25 317:8	430:20 431:11,11	624:4,9,14 625:12	516:6	pursuant 294:16
318:7 320:24	432:15 434:24	625:12,23 626:9	provide 388:24	push 535:25
321:9,12,20	435:12 436:20	626:12,13,18	416:19 420:7	put 351:11 361:13
322:22,23 323:17	438:9 448:21	627:2,4,14,20	428:2 429:22	390:13,16,16
323:23,24 324:13	451:6 452:1,13,23	628:6 629:7	440:5 450:16	440:2 450:19
324:19,22 329:22	453:12 454:2,6	630:21 631:2,17	454:11 460:10	460:14 462:23
331:8,8,11,15,16	455:4 460:3	631:19 632:12,12	476:24,25 571:7	472:3,16 479:13
331:19 332:1,5,16	466:13,24 467:19	632:19 633:5,6	599:7 600:11	488:3 512:13
332:22,24 333:1,2	468:6,6,7,7,21	637:18 638:6	653:2	517:15,20 521:3
333:5,17 334:5,21	469:17,23 470:1	639:6,18,20 642:5	provided 306:19	521:24 542:5
335:1 336:1,3	470:11,14,15,18	642:12,13 643:13	329:18 336:13	544:3 586:2
338:17 339:9	471:7,20 478:7	643:23 644:5,21	337:11,16,18	593:15 618:1
340:15,19,25	481:4 485:10,14	645:12,15,16,17	339:2 341:13	653:20
341:4,8,8,20,21	485:21 486:14,20	645:18 646:4,7,15	352:16 367:6	PVDF 397:22,24
342:2,4,5,15,17	486:21 487:15	648:7,15,20 649:7	378:23 379:2	398:10,10 399:5
342:22 344:21	488:19,23 490:13	650:1 651:6,13	390:23 440:18	400:15,24 401:4
345:16,21 346:6	490:18 491:3	657:12 659:19	447:24 455:19	401:14 407:21
346:16,22 347:5	492:8 494:6,15	promote 498:1,9	460:25 477:8	430:18 431:10,12
347:12,18 348:6	498:24 501:1,6	pronounce 486:15	543:10,13 609:3	623:9 624:5,9
348:10,13 352:12	505:12 506:1,11	pronouncing	651:3,12	638:7 639:7
352:16,20 354:18	506:18 515:23	350:17 417:19	provides 436:9	PX 466:20 467:3
355:4,25 356:4,13	516:9,23 517:6,22	Pronova 426:2,3,7	PSE 572:15	P.C 296:7
357:5,19,23	518:7 523:8,8	426:14,21 427:10	public 294:19	p.m 495:7 629:24
358:13 360:4,21	524:21 536:15	427:17 428:4,11	598:6,7 664:18	663:24
l l		I	I .	I

Q

quality 322:16,20

431:1 513:23

355:17 374:8,9

384:17 413:3

621:4 626:21

question 309:18

310:17 313:7

316:15 317:2

318:13 319:2,4

321:14 323:4,11

326:25 327:10

331:6 332:8,18

333:14 334:13

345:24 346:8,25

349:13,22 352:8

355:6 356:20,23

357:7 358:6,17

360:14,18,24

361:19 362:7

365:5,7,9,13,20

366:21 367:2,19

371:15,23 373:4

380:8,16 381:24

383:17 384:5,12

474:16 475:18

382:2,4,11,24

369:4,12,25

347:8,22 348:8

315:23,24 316:9

quantitative

522:17

Page 698 384:24 385:1,6,19 658:1,15,20 659:4 594:12 595:6 476:3 480:4,15 386:4 387:12 483:25 484:6 660:4,8,20 598:12 quadrupeds 522:6 questioned 597:5 388:9,21 389:9,11 487:18 488:2,17 **rabbits** 310:1,7,11 389:25 390:2,10 490:10,23 491:6 questioning 494:8 315:7 321:9 341:7 qualitative 565:4,6 390:20 392:13 492:12,19 493:2 608:21 426:16 520:10,11 393:1,7 394:5,14 493:13,15 494:18 questions 303:13 522:5,16 524:18 394:24 395:2,3,10 495:24 496:13,17 319:14 331:5 526:13,13 527:14 395:16,21,23 497:1,22 498:4,13 361:9,12 376:18 528:1,22 529:5 396:7,21 398:15 499:2 500:18 431:19,19 437:19 539:23 575:24 398:19 399:1 501:22 502:14 437:20 464:19 590:15 440:21,22 449:8,9 400:11,20 401:7 503:2 504:9,24 **radical** 496:5 469:19 492:17 401:20 402:21 505:15 506:4,14 506:25 544:2,4 **Ragland** 626:8 558:5 569:5,18 raise 552:20 618:24 403:11 406:1,21 506:22 507:7 407:16 408:7,23 508:18 509:13,18 592:23 614:16 619:9 409:7 411:5,16,24 509:25 510:19 617:15 618:15 **Ramshaw** 369:18 412:9,16,24 511:20 512:10 620:15 630:1,8 369:20,22 372:11 413:11,22 414:4 516:18 517:1 644:3 645:4 381:15 410:15 414:10,15 415:18 518:1,18,23 519:2 650:19 661:16 414:23 415:21 326:2,9,14,16,23 415:23 416:10,14 519:12 520:3,17 662:15 663:12,13 433:17 416:25 417:1,2,15 521:6,20 522:2,3 667:7 **Ramshaw's** 433:21 328:4,17 329:9,12 418:15 419:14,23 524:1 525:5,14 quick 308:8 349:2 ran 482:19 500:5 329:14,25 330:18 420:11 421:8,21 526:6,16 527:7,16 360:15 571:5 608:14 421:24 422:6,20 528:16,18 529:18 **quickly** 323:14 range 405:14,15,17 422:24 424:24 530:9,24 531:10 592:21 618:1 533:1 634:4 335:3 336:5,11,23 630:9 425:6,14,23 533:23 534:5,22 **ranging** 539:16 339:17.25 341:25 426:12 427:2,13 535:4,13 536:10 quit 640:16,17 **RANSOM** 296:8 342:9,11,13 343:2 427:21,25 428:8 537:8,17,24 538:8 quite 621:5 655:19 rat 318:4 320:5 343:13,25 344:13 428:24 429:7 538:16 540:12,21 quote 343:20,23 341:6 466:16 430:1,6,13,22 541:20 542:14,19 522:25 561:11,19 R 431:4,14,22 432:7 561:20,25 573:18 542:19 545:22 **R** 360:3 393:18,25 574:7 595:25 432:22 434:2,22 546:9,21 549:9,17 466:21 467:3 435:15,25 436:12 554:15 555:11,24 597:18 468:15 469:5 436:23 438:20 556:13 571:14 rate 353:22 359:9 470:5 478:13,15 439:6,24 440:15 583:7 586:11 rates 516:11 478:22 482:15 442:14,18 443:10 591:17 609:11,13 rats 310:6 315:15 363:17 364:19,23 483:4 491:2 494:3 316:3 320:18 443:21 448:2,24 609:14 612:21 494:4 496:1 449:24 450:5,13 615:3 620:18 321:25 324:17 365:25 366:10,16 497:25 499:12 426:17,18 500:24 451:1,9,15 452:3 630:23,25 631:5 569:20 666:1,1 572:9,14,20 452:16 453:3,5,7 632:15 633:11,22 368:1,10,16 369:2 **rabbit** 310:13 raw 469:24 478:6 453:23 454:9 634:6,15 635:6 323:24 520:9 455:8 456:21 637:20,25 638:13 574:21 610:12,24 522:24 523:9 458:24 460:6,24 638:23 639:11 612:10,12,15,24 374:4,21 375:1,16 524:9 528:3 461:10 462:10 640:10,12,15,19 rbaggett@awkol... 376:5,7,19 378:25 561:11,22 576:17 463:5,21 465:2,10 641:23 643:3 296:5 584:15,25 587:20 644:24 646:18 **RDCS** 337:13 465:20 467:13 587:23 588:3,9,11 649:19 651:8,11 reach 609:5 627:9 469:4,8,11 474:11

651:15 652:19

590:12 591:4,19

reached 628:3

Page 699 reaction 305:21 596:5,9,11,13,13 318:20 319:8,8,25 349:4,7 366:2,7 512:21 652:4 307:16 310:22 596:15,18,22,25 400:4 420:14 377:11 391:3,6 **refers** 464:7 409:16,19 410:1 598:14,17,21,23 425:8 598:13 **reflect** 395:13 313:21 315:7,11 315:14,18 316:3 603:1,16,20 608:3 610:20 663:9 433:6,11 434:9,14 574:22 655:9,19 656:17 reflected 359:14 316:23 317:4 665:5 666:5,7,9 444:7,16,17,20,23 318:3,6 320:4,18 reactions 545:17 666:11,13,15,17 445:1 461:23 375:23 396:16 320:25 321:23,24 567:1 573:15,16 666:19,21,23,25 495:1,7,13 507:23 451:21 454:14 592:5 596:19,20 322:22 323:16,20 reasonings 451:15 507:25 508:3 619:16 657:16 334:6 375:20 598:15 reasons 462:16,21 512:23 542:22 reflecting 408:25 reactive 575:22 reflects 351:24 379:23,24 380:4 Reassure 515:19 546:24 547:2,5,20 547:22,24 548:2 524:5 613:1 380:10,13,18,20 reactivity 321:8 515:22 386:1,22 387:16 567:2 576:16 recall 305:3,16 553:15 557:13,16 **refresh** 326:20 387:16,24 388:2 reactor 489:2,3 306:1 318:8 610:3 613:6,19,25 509:5 regarding 305:17 389:14,15,16 **read** 317:20 318:23 323:12,13 335:7,7 629:21,24 636:6 426:4,8,17,22 335:13 337:4 335:23 360:7 664:7 334:5 336:19 427:6,11,16 428:5 344:3 353:2 359:1 369:6,8 371:8,21 recorded 528:11 338:2 343:6 344:6 428:17,22 429:5 371:18 372:2,4,7 375:2,4 377:3 609:23 611:5,12 345:14 352:12 429:11 430:4 378:13 391:12 381:18 383:18,20 628:17 379:14 391:9 records 601:9,17 441:24 447:17 406:2 411:17 395:12 415:9,24 397:21 407:6 462:11 467:16 470:1 505:1,6,9 601:23 421:16 422:8 452:19 453:12 **reduce** 498:9 458:19 459:4,11 485:22 487:5,12 506:8 520:18 424:20 442:4 459:16 460:13 508:22 514:1 525:23 526:1,7 reduced 426:4,7,21 445:5 446:10,23 467:25 468:2,3,22 516:13 532:4 532:13,22 566:23 427:10 428:5,22 447:6,16 449:22 493:24 501:2,5 591:17 592:9 593:4 597:21 442:11 443:17 450:3 493:17 502:11 504:15,20 631:23 634:21 599:11 605:18 490:2,7,25 500:9 507:19 509:11 504:23 505:23 638:10 660:20,21 608:25 618:12,17 **reduces** 453:13 511:11,11,16,18 519:10,17,19 660:23 664:11 631:7 658:23 reducing 500:6 513:5 543:8 536:21,23 541:2 665:3 667:5 **recalled** 659:12 reduction 443:18 550:11 551:24 542:21 544:15 **reading** 396:22 receipt 665:12 494:15 495:14 552:1 553:23 406:9 464:1,2 545:1,6,8,9,15 **received** 371:20 621:24 632:12 555:8,18,21 572:13 602:4 546:12,13 555:25 509:15 624:7 507:3 511:5 512:1 633:9 634:10,11 556:3,7,15,16 646:25 660:22 513:3 514:23 641:8,20 644:20 608:2 557:4 561:9,14,16 reads 344:14 560:16 644:21 647:15 **regions** 355:25 recipient 371:13 reevaluate 612:6 356:4,25 357:1,2 562:4,8,10,12,19 585:15 624:2 recipients 514:14 564:2,7,15,16 ready 410:7 550:23 **referee** 350:16 Registered 294:18 565:2,4 569:11,13 551:3,4 reclassification reference 347:23 664:17 314:14 352:20 572:10,14 573:1 real 308:7 360:14 408:11 413:3 regulations 322:12 573:13 576:4,20 442:2 522:11 375:24 388:4 471:5 477:23 574:14 597:12 576:22,24 577:1 realized 513:22 reclassified 352:16 519:13 520:19 regulatory 440:2 577:14 579:25 realizing 578:13 recognize 510:14 521:11 527:9 450:17 451:17 really 400:17 581:10,10 582:14 recommendation 550:15 460:17 520:6 582:15,19,23,25 402:21 427:24 460:16,19,20,21 referenced 373:16 554:21

reconcile 628:14

307:1 310:10

record 304:3 305:8

305:11 306:17,18

337:2,5,7 348:19

583:1,2,5,10

590:3,4 591:1

595:14,22,23

588:15,23 589:20

592:25 595:8,12

485:20 486:18

489:15 495:25

609:19 651:19

519:23 524:3

reason 312:22

399:16

references 352:15

referred 572:19

referring 428:21

469:23 471:23

reinterpret 609:22

relate 307:21 509:3

568:15 578:22

related 309:1,23

580:25

311:10 314:8,16	494:11 531:6	660:23	reschedule 663:4	342:18,23 363:13
320:10 325:25	636:8	reported 386:12	research 597:20	374:19 375:13
326:25 333:25	relying 439:17	402:3 404:4	598:2	387:10 429:4
336:19 376:25	remain 524:14	408:18 449:12	researcher 397:15	431:12 432:20
386:13 421:21	remained 393:23	595:22	464:24	441:18 442:11
423:24 445:5	remaining 313:3	reporter 294:18,19	researchers 648:14	443:17 452:8,25
446:22 448:11	379:22	337:4 397:15	reserve 552:7,10	458:19,22 459:17
493:23 494:13	remains 406:21	444:18 664:17,18	557:8	466:15 467:10
507:14,20 558:20	remember 423:12	664:24	reserved 628:10	469:15 475:5,9
559:21 566:8	433:17 441:19	reports 392:15	reside 535:17	478:12 501:13
580:6 581:18	457:8 474:12	418:10,16 453:11	resin 314:6 362:13	518:16 535:24
599:9 649:16	495:15 569:21	471:2 506:6 507:3	362:21 393:18	540:19 544:12,17
relates 294:7	570:1	635:11	443:2 479:4	544:17,20,21
508:20	remind 326:25	represent 554:2	481:22 482:1,4	545:14,19 546:4
relating 440:19	423:8,12,14	612:23 617:20	485:10 487:2,9,15	546:19 551:2
relationship 432:24	441:22 516:4,7,9	representation	488:23 490:3,7,13	553:24 555:18,21
459:12	516:10 517:6,18	606:23 607:8,13	495:19 496:22	556:11,17,23,25
relative 363:18	Reminder 301:8	representations	497:14	560:4 564:24
relatively 325:1	RENÉE 296:3	404:20 406:4	resistant 353:16	566:17,19 572:6
341:12 424:6	repair 294:5	representative	398:20,21 407:22	583:20 584:2
453:13 483:13	426:15 454:18	464:4 491:20	435:8 605:20	588:1 591:1,5,20
571:6 592:19	470:16 621:22		638:9 639:8	592:18 595:21
596:9		represented 653:13 654:5		
	repeat 309:14	' -	resources 477:19 487:7	603:8 607:16
relax 663:12 relaxed 541:15	337:24 366:17	representing 295:6		608:24 614:5
	390:1 509:17	296:6,10,15 379:7	respect 372:22	615:17,24
relay 436:3,15,18	586:11 620:21	404:6 406:18 607:1	378:21 383:25	responses 453:21 467:4
released 375:11	repeated 598:9 report 298:21		410:22 411:11	
391:15 535:1,10 537:3 545:3	_	represents 595:23 612:24 643:15	417:5 468:14	responsibility 440:1 450:15
	325:25 328:2		554:3 562:15	
releasing 387:21	333:10,24 335:21	reproduction 664:22	567:19 582:15	477:14 506:24
relevance 468:12	394:16 395:12,13		592:24 596:5	554:19,20
480:21	396:16,22 397:3,4	reproductive	607:15 616:20,25	responsible 460:11
relevant 338:8,11	402:12 404:8,8,14	439:22 440:12	627:11 661:11	responsive 307:3
338:12,25 339:14	408:18,25 412:18	reps 510:7	respectively 503:11	558:4 602:5,8,13
339:20 340:2	413:12 414:11	request 303:8	respond 423:9	rest 439:20 521:3
432:17 468:6	527:9,18 529:25	578:2	427:20 544:1	521:24 524:15
469:11 500:23	549:7,19,25 550:3	requested 337:5	responded 542:14	644:3
530:14 547:9	550:14 552:1	664:9	responding 599:10	restate 660:11
548:9,17 571:18	574:21 592:11	requesting 425:3	responds 418:4	restroom 391:1
579:4 587:17	611:7 612:11,16	require 597:12	response 306:20	result 354:19,19
599:21 603:15,16	612:18,22 613:7	required 416:18	311:3 313:11	363:13 374:17
622:13 648:22	617:25 618:6,11	424:2 429:11	316:7,12 317:4	377:1 401:25
reliable 420:8	618:19 622:3	528:10 530:19	320:12 321:6	415:1 435:17
621:5	623:8 634:21	621:11	323:2 334:23	443:18 454:11,12
relied 438:4 461:12	636:16,23 637:2	requirement	335:24 336:1,2,20	462:7 480:24
relies 307:6 462:19	637:11,12,14,23	646:13	338:3 339:6,11,22	503:8,10 518:6
rely 442:2 454:18	638:25 659:2,6	requires 598:6	340:6 341:2,22	519:14 523:23
	1	1	1	1

536:7 550:5 551:2	507:9 562:9,14	441:21,22 447:19	658:10 660:6,10	481:21 485:13
569:14 573:12	568:10,13 603:21	448:10 450:11	660:15 661:23	488:9 594:15
577:11 593:18	611:1 614:23	452:1 453:21	right-hand 585:14	610:11
594:8 608:11	reviewing 609:2	458:22 463:7,19	590:6,7	sale 603:25
609:6,24 643:9	reviews 298:6	467:22 470:10	rigidity 550:7	Salthouse 311:17
resulted 479:10	350:8 351:7,9	473:7 474:6,24	Riker 294:20	312:1,2,5
481:18 482:16,20	352:23	475:1 476:8,11	rings 532:15	sample 397:7,18
493:8 500:3 581:9	revisit 612:2	478:17,24 480:12	Rippy 476:14	532:25 533:4
resulting 355:10	re-read 610:15	482:9,10,11,13,17	477:1,9	585:16 642:5,10
536:17	rid 545:4	482:21 483:7,11	rises 648:1	642:13 643:12
results 337:9 342:2	ridiculous 640:20	485:23 486:9	risk 333:9,24	645:11,15 646:4
342:4 396:25	right 306:3 307:17	487:25 490:21	444:17 504:7,11	646:13,15 647:6
417:7,8 429:23	308:1,5,23 309:2	491:4,17 492:15	577:20 578:20	647:13 648:6,11
434:15 441:25	309:15 311:15	493:17,20 494:16	579:7 596:25	samples 524:21
451:18 455:15	312:1,6,25 313:5	495:22 496:11,24	600:24 605:23	527:13 528:11
458:9 462:7 465:7	313:11,14,25	499:15 502:5,24	risk/benefit 438:4	624:4,8 627:12
465:17 473:10	314:9,16,19,22,25	503:17 508:16	439:18 441:10	634:3 643:23
475:9 554:4	315:4,8,12,15	509:23 510:17	Robin 626:8	646:7
570:25 571:2,3	316:7,25 317:12	511:18 514:20	role 434:18 438:6	San 296:16
578:9 592:12	317:19 318:11	515:25 517:24	442:7 477:22	Santonox 360:3
598:11 602:3	319:21,25 320:21	521:8 522:6,19	room 403:21	393:18,25 466:20
609:23 610:6	320:25 321:6,24	524:10 525:12	roughly 354:21	467:3 468:15
612:25 622:15	322:1,4 323:25	528:3 534:3,11	roughness 372:24	469:5,14 470:5
626:19 628:17	325:8,21 326:22	536:8 537:22	410:24 411:20	478:11,13,15,22
633:25 639:13,16	327:8,12 329:4,23	538:14 540:3	rounded 475:6	482:15,20 483:4,6
643:4,8 652:12	334:1 339:6 341:2	541:17 543:9	rule 479:22 601:8	483:9,10 490:1,2
retain 387:7 611:10	345:1 346:16	545:10,19 546:19	rules 294:16	490:6 491:2 494:3
retention 605:9	349:20 350:11	549:15 559:24,25	376:20 406:24,24	494:4 495:14,18
623:16,18,19	351:4,15 353:1,5	560:5,8 577:1	run 487:9 622:6	495:21 496:1,22
retrieve 565:22	354:7 357:20,24	585:8 590:1 611:7	646:12 648:14,19	497:5,25 498:22
retropubic 448:9	358:23 359:3,23	631:17,21 633:9	running 444:6	499:12 569:20
return 665:10	359:25 361:25	633:16 635:4,10	662:5 663:3	save 617:24
revealed 320:11	364:21 376:12	635:16 636:9	runs 560:7	saw 453:19 564:23
373:1,8 395:20	377:2 379:13	637:2,7,12,18	rwuller@freeark	saying 332:25
396:8 399:8 412:5	381:12 383:11	638:3,21 639:3,9	296:10	371:21 382:19
413:18 569:2	384:10 386:2,20	639:18,22 641:21	R&D 338:7,20	411:19 470:13,17
review 351:11	390:8 391:13	642:13,22,24	417:24 422:14	487:20 504:25
353:1 359:15	392:6,15,24 393:5	643:24 644:7,10	485:9 549:2	538:10 589:4
403:22 422:23	393:20 394:3,9	644:14 645:12,15	S	628:5 643:11
506:9,17 543:18	397:18 400:9,18	645:20 646:2,3	S 297:10 298:2	says 307:14 308:18
549:1 555:1	401:9,18 405:19	647:1,7,13,18,19	299:2 300:2 301:2	325:9 345:25
565:22 574:20,20	407:25 408:5	647:21,24,25	302:2	347:2 359:15
593:2,7,11 609:3	410:11 413:9	648:7,20 649:3,17	safe 456:15 458:12	372:3,11 380:9
612:23	416:6 417:23	651:17 652:25	516:6	386:21,22 395:19
reviewed 358:18	418:4 419:2	653:17,22 654:4	safety 361:17	396:23 397:19
359:6 363:11	431:20 434:16	654:11,16,22	431:19 477:24	399:14 410:15
423:1 433:25	435:13 436:14	655:17 656:3,12	731.17 711.27	411:10,18 414:22
			•	

420:25 425:15	620:14 628:21	414:17	400:7,12 405:14	466:11 478:11
446:12 450:9,11	633:24 636:8	scrutiny 574:19	405:19 407:13	484:11,20 485:11
462:15 463:23	scientists 450:16	sealed 516:4	408:9,10,12 411:8	485:24 486:9,10
473:4 474:7 478:3	638:20,21,22,24	search 337:9,13	411:25 415:7	486:11 492:7
478:5 485:12	scope 329:9,25	338:20 422:13	418:5 419:7 420:4	505:25 508:19
489:6,22 490:24	330:18 335:3	597:20,23 598:6	424:8,16 427:21	509:22 516:15,22
497:2,12 498:6	341:25 343:16	searched 558:25	428:13,14 431:1	523:24 531:25
500:21 510:4	349:13 356:7,14	searches 337:10,18	434:20 442:12	541:12 565:5,7
511:7 515:2,6,12	357:7 362:7	337:19 338:6	448:17 459:7	573:15 582:9
517:18 532:24	364:19 369:12	422:11 549:2	460:7 461:1	592:11 595:23
		sec 547:20		
541:14 543:1,24	371:15 373:4,10		462:13,24 466:17	639:22 647:13
589:24 602:11	388:21 389:9,25	second 370:23	467:5,24 473:14	650:13,14,22
604:16 618:11	390:20 393:1	410:6 423:1	479:12 485:16	651:18 653:4
622:5 623:8 624:7	398:19 399:1	453:10 471:24	489:4,12 490:5,8	657:6 659:1
625:12 633:7,13	401:7 416:10	474:5 483:16	490:11 497:15,23	selected 622:13
639:5,19 642:14	418:15 420:11	508:6 517:12	498:10,17 499:25	self-limiting 456:18
643:25 645:16,16	426:12 427:13	519:12,20 591:11	501:7 504:18	456:19 458:15,16
646:3 659:13	428:24 429:7	641:6 643:6	505:3 506:5	464:11
660:24	430:6,22 431:4	section 336:19	509:15 510:8,11	SEM 367:10 368:8
scales 608:22 609:5	436:12 439:24	338:2 353:15	511:7 512:8 513:7	368:14 397:10,21
scalloping 657:17	440:15 443:21	386:20 388:16	513:18,25 515:4	399:20 408:25
Scandinavian	448:2 454:9 455:8	390:6 397:1,21	515:12,13,15,24	638:17 655:11,11
463:19 464:24	456:21 460:6	455:11 458:9	516:1,12,16,19	655:23,23 656:7
465:17 488:13	462:10 463:5,21	459:18,25 460:1	526:21 532:4	656:24
scanning 298:20	465:2,12,20	460:15 467:17	535:7,8 539:11	sense 346:9,12
340:14 397:11,22	467:13 484:6	559:9 561:5	541:14,25 542:2	sensitive 456:9
398:3 618:16	487:18 488:2,17	563:25 565:12	543:1,24 544:5,6	567:16
628:10,16 638:15	490:23 491:6	566:6,15 567:7,8	544:6,8 558:11	sensor 585:17
657:22,23	493:2 494:18	569:3 570:3	578:19 581:15	sent 370:13
scavenger 496:6	510:19 511:20	573:24 579:13	589:9 593:14,17	sentence 307:20,20
schedule 577:18	512:10,13 514:3	580:4 582:1	593:19,25 594:1	343:15 406:2
662:19	516:18 518:1	583:25 594:24,25	610:17 614:21	separate 379:20
scheme 475:20	520:3,17 521:9	594:25 597:19	617:12 623:12	484:25 646:2
568:6 609:21	522:2 524:1 525:5	599:7 601:20	626:9 628:1 636:4	separately 617:25
schemes 592:12	526:6,16 527:7	605:6 627:13	639:21 640:5	September 321:10
Scherer 294:20	528:16 536:10	638:16 639:23	644:5 645:15	462:7 626:7
science 310:21	537:8,17,24 538:8	644:6 645:3 655:6	650:15 656:4	Series 585:17
450:16 597:10	538:16 540:21	657:7 658:6	seeing 414:23	serious 621:20
648:5 649:1	541:20 551:20	661:19	415:3 506:9	set 470:21 597:23
sciences 476:19	552:23 554:1	see 311:12 313:3	seen 310:6 350:9	610:16
scientific 320:15	561:1 562:17	321:5 338:14	351:9 361:16	setup 585:18
418:8,21 432:18	563:14 620:12	339:1 340:14	367:4 371:16	seven 299:7 302:6
441:16,21,23	scores 609:18	354:15 359:5	374:23 397:6	302:10 395:19
442:1 546:17,23	scoring 473:17	370:17,17 371:24	407:12 412:21	399:8 404:4 417:6
551:22	474:7 568:6	392:18 393:9	414:2 427:3	515:18 583:8
scientist 431:17	SCP 498:15	394:18 398:6	428:20 453:9	622:7 623:24
441:12 517:12	screen 336:24	399:17,22 400:5,6	454:2 462:12	624:10 629:7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

	l		l	1
seven-year 347:24	349:5 366:5 391:4	652:2,9,12,14,16	similar 354:2	622:11 624:4
348:11,13,24	433:9 444:24	652:24 659:13,19	359:17 411:1	sizes 331:18
373:15 383:1,19	495:5 496:7	660:1 661:21	470:21 472:12,17	skeletal 522:23
384:7,13 385:12	501:11 508:1	662:2	512:1 519:11	588:2 590:4
386:6 403:14,18	547:3,25 551:8	showing 319:24	524:22 536:23	skin 515:16 536:5
403:22,25 404:10	557:14 613:23	378:15 386:8	562:14,20 577:16	575:24 576:17
405:5 407:19	629:22	402:7 406:4	581:10 583:5	slide 611:21 612:7
411:2 413:8 417:7	shorten 507:17	625:19	623:25	slides 326:6 328:14
418:18,19 435:11	Shorthand 664:18	shown 347:17	simplify 330:24	610:12,16,21
554:7 564:18	short-term 310:15	401:1 426:5,24	simply 335:21	611:2 612:6,17,23
581:24 617:12,14	310:21 320:14,16	608:22	459:1 629:16	slight 405:12 406:6
618:11 622:3,17	323:1 324:8	shows 362:21	simulate 528:24	407:3 473:17
623:10 624:5	325:11 329:1	378:13 399:16	592:3 594:17	481:18 659:13,20
625:22 627:13	334:8 340:22	400:17 418:10	simulates 587:17	662:2
628:7 629:11	342:14,24 501:9	539:12 544:5	single 343:15	slightly 472:11,11
630:11 633:13	show 305:20	564:2 624:2	454:16 479:6	472:19 490:2,25
634:25 656:13,25	307:15 320:23	shrink 329:22	648:19	sling 538:5,12
658:8,12	344:20 348:5	479:17,20,23,25	sir 402:19 411:22	Slings 301:15
severe 318:18	357:17 359:21	480:7,13,17,17,19	419:25 427:11	sloughing 387:20
470:23 473:13,17	374:15 379:6	481:2 504:1	449:20 451:23	slow 327:9 444:5,9
475:23 479:11	383:1 389:14	Sibylle 513:4	452:21 454:21,22	small 331:2 379:19
480:1 482:17	399:7,10,21	Siddighi 296:16	457:18 458:5	515:4
500:3,5,10 504:13	401:15,25 405:22	side 583:4	466:5 468:14	smaller 641:24
505:8 573:11	427:5,10 428:4,21	side-by-side 541:9	536:13 545:14	645:1
severely 318:9	469:13 494:14	541:10,14 543:5	660:10	smeared 469:16
456:1 470:23	505:25 506:18	sign 664:11 665:7	sit 506:9	Smith 508:13
499:13 500:7	526:17 543:15	signature 626:7	site 398:6 420:15	509:21 510:4
502:4,9	602:25 617:9	signed 476:23	550:5 588:14	514:24
severity 453:14	624:8,9 661:21	612:9,24 627:1	590:17 593:23	sodium 363:1
473:13 609:17	showed 310:5	637:2,11,12	598:19 625:12,12	soft 323:24 324:13
Sewell 609:21	316:6 318:16,17	638:19,25	626:9,13 627:3,23	324:19 331:8
sexual 439:21	318:18 323:1	significance 384:15	631:16 632:21	332:22,25 333:2,2
440:11	328:10 329:21	500:22 612:18	641:7 645:1	333:17 523:8
sfrancisco@bon	358:14 360:3	622:19 624:12	sitting 332:3	524:21 533:1
296:15	361:22 390:7	625:15 649:1	364:21 416:6	535:1,10 537:3
shards 452:23	392:9 399:12	significant 374:12	474:6 527:2	539:6 588:2 592:1
shed 518:3,5 586:1	405:12,23 406:6	376:2 437:4	539:25	softer 515:21
588:10 649:2	407:2 416:8 419:7	454:12 605:25	situation 522:21	software 585:17
shedding 532:25	419:21 421:6	606:14 622:16	six 378:12 426:16	sold 487:2
sheep 325:7	423:4 426:7 430:3	624:10 625:19,24	625:12	solely 489:7
sheet 361:17 456:5	451:6 455:25	628:7 630:22	sixth 376:21 542:13	solubilized 483:15
481:21 665:6,8,11	461:7 491:16	633:13,18 634:25	six-month 315:17	solve 513:21
667:10	492:8 505:12,18	635:4 641:25	590:20	somebody 543:7
sheets 558:13	506:11 507:3	648:5 657:5	size 313:19 315:3	649:12 655:5
shipment 386:25	508:23 554:8	significantly 467:4	321:12 324:25	soon 510:5 662:5
shit 510:9	598:17 644:19	467:10 468:3	325:2 331:15	SOPs 322:18
short 337:3 341:12	651:4,5,13,23	signs 401:25	524:18 552:1	574:16
	ı	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	_	_	_	_
sorry 306:7 316:20	507:13 558:20	563:21 589:12	365:12 437:12,12	stretching 423:25
336:22 340:17	566:7 580:5 599:8	started 379:18	437:13 439:9	512:6 521:17
344:22 350:23	631:7	490:6 559:25	492:20 640:9,9,13	530:19
353:8 370:25,25	specimen 406:6	Starting 539:15	661:3,10	strike 319:16
377:22 392:3	specimens 405:11	starts 329:7	storage 497:14,19	334:19 339:2
399:25 404:2	659:19	state 594:22 665:5	498:24	341:15 342:6
446:11,15 448:5	spectra 405:11,23	stated 320:2 358:24	straight 437:20,21	350:24,25 364:13
461:25 484:15	406:5 407:2	401:21 448:15	straightforward	369:7 371:1,1,5
509:14 514:11	659:18	496:2 499:3	319:7	376:3,13 388:5,12
518:13 523:4,13	speeches 607:10	626:20	strains 520:12	406:10,11 440:25
523:17 538:4	Speedwell 294:21	statement 304:25	526:11	444:12 449:17
547:13 549:20	spent 602:18	305:3 312:20	strand 387:14	454:25 464:22
584:21 589:5	spirit 322:14	320:20,22,23	454:17 646:10	469:1 472:18
591:9,12 594:10	spite 577:15	334:5 336:20	strands 386:14	490:16 493:10
621:3 623:2 625:1	splinter 535:22,25	346:13,14,15	647:10	494:22 502:17
629:1 642:12	535:25	354:23 373:6,9	straw 619:3	557:6 563:2,17
643:10 644:12	spoken 552:12	376:25 380:6,23	streamline 360:18	579:21 583:17
656:1	spokesperson	391:8 409:11	Street 295:4,9	586:22 589:11
sort 332:5 518:6	383:12 385:3,15	412:7,20 413:16	296:4,8	607:7 629:2 636:4
582:11	409:3 439:15	413:20,24 420:5	strength 323:23	string 370:7 424:19
sounds 350:19	458:7	422:9 426:21	355:19,22 356:10	514:13
525:25	spreadsheet 611:5	435:21 441:15,19	357:1 373:14,17	strong 362:24
source 362:12	stability 315:3	447:15,21 450:9	374:8 382:14,17	363:15,18,19
SOUTHERN 294:2	498:1 620:7	451:23 488:5	383:9 384:19	364:6 407:9,13
space 665:5	624:14 629:7	490:11 597:15	387:7 389:13	622:22 624:16
SPANN 295:7	stabilization	602:24 626:22	413:2,5 417:11	strongly 456:16
speak 413:24 529:6	416:19	659:13	424:3 435:18	458:13
580:19	stabilize 481:23	states 294:1 345:3	436:6 440:22,23	studied 331:8,19
speaking 388:8	stable 356:12,18,22	602:4 603:25	449:10,13 451:20	354:14 364:13,13
406:12,19,20	398:12 430:20	steam 662:5	452:7,10,18	380:2 381:19
457:5	stack 585:6 637:6	stearate 497:12	454:15,19 523:8	434:12
species 561:15	staff 548:24	steps 328:1,6	555:14 565:19	studies 297:15,20
specific 315:24	stages 445:7 507:15	sterile 397:13	585:21,23 588:1	305:19 307:3,15
317:7 329:17	559:8 566:9 580:7	456:5,7 473:11	605:10 606:11,20	307:21 308:1,2,5
357:10 423:25	stand 343:17	sterility 314:1	619:2,5,8,16	312:16,17 313:4,5
506:6 558:12	standalone 382:2,4	sterilization 313:21	620:4 621:9,10,13	313:5 317:23
587:14 588:8	standard 427:15	314:2	621:15,20,23,25	318:16 320:23
590:8	429:10 568:5	sterilize 503:16	623:16,17,18,19	322:13 329:17,21
specifically 427:21	574:16 611:16,17	sterilized 313:20	623:23 624:9	330:8,9,11 334:7
496:8 505:3,6,10	612:8	503:14	628:17,22	334:8,8,9,9,10,14
512:16 556:24	standards 578:12	Steve 515:1	stress 424:7	335:11 336:16,18
584:9 590:10	578:13,14,17	stiffer 550:7	stresses 520:12	336:19 337:12
604:24	stands 420:5	stimulate 386:1	526:11	338:2,7,10,11
specifications	623:15	stimulates 379:22	stretch 424:1	339:4,4 340:4,7,7
485:9	stapled 531:22	Stipulations 303:10	513:19	340:11,18 341:5
specifics 310:9	start 345:1 365:13	stomach 606:7	stretched 515:11	341:11,19,19
442:2 445:5 494:9	492:18 510:7	stop 319:10,11	515:13 516:15	342:4,14,14,20,21
	I	l	I	ı

342:25 343:7,19	543:8,10,14,15	311:6,7 312:8,11	416:8 426:16	588:25 589:19
344:7,17,20 345:4	547:7 548:8,15,16	312:25 313:14,16	429:11,23 433:25	590:18,19,20,20
345:14 346:5	551:6,25 552:15	313:17,19,24	435:10,11,11	590:21 591:4,19
347:11,17,18	552:17 554:3,6,8	314:3,4,11,12,12	440:19 441:25	592:25 594:11,13
348:5 354:17	555:2,20 556:5,19	314:18,19,22,24	442:3,4,6 451:18	594:13,19 595:6,7
355:2 358:11	558:8,9 559:5,20	315:3,4,7,8,11,11	461:7 466:19	595:10,18,22,25
359:11 360:2	560:10 561:4,5,13	315:15,15,18	467:2,18 468:10	597:7,18,21,24
364:16 365:17,18	561:14,18,24	316:3,5,17,23,24	469:4,18,22,23	598:18 605:12
366:24,25 367:4	562:10 563:18,19	317:7,15,17,20	471:2,5,24 472:8	608:3,5,6,7,16,17
367:23,24 368:3,4	563:24 564:1,5,15	318:2,2,8,14,21	473:23 474:2,17	609:21 610:6,13
368:25 369:9,14	564:20,21 565:3	319:21 320:1,3,9	474:23 479:12,19	610:15,18 611:1
374:14,23 375:3,3	565:10,11,21	320:12,13,14,18	483:19 490:6,14	612:1,10,20,25
375:4,18 383:24	566:23 567:3,9,19	321:4,11,22,23,24	490:19,20 492:7	613:1 617:12,14
387:4,25 389:14	568:9,14,21,22,25	322:6,9,11,15,19	500:24 501:4,9,10	617:18 622:4
390:6 405:4	569:7 570:8,10,21	322:21,22,25,25	501:12 520:19	623:14 624:6
408:14 415:15	571:10,18 574:15	323:1,9 324:3,6,8	521:14 522:25	629:10,11 630:12
418:12,20 420:9	575:12 579:11	324:12,17 325:8	523:5 524:10,11	636:9,12 637:16
421:4,15,15,21	581:25 582:4,10	325:12,14,15,18	525:20,24 526:2	639:3 642:5
422:3,14,23 423:4	583:25 584:1,3,6	325:25 327:1,14	526:12,18,19,23	643:24 649:11
424:10 425:4,17	587:8 592:8	328:10,20,23	527:4,9,10,18	651:4,12,24,25
426:5,6,8,14,18	594:16 595:3,24	329:2,3,4 331:11	528:1,23 531:18	652:12,25 653:6
426:24 427:4,4,10	596:3,17,17 597:7	334:17,18,20	531:25 532:9,10	655:9,9 656:14
427:15,16,18,19	597:11,16 598:5,8	335:4,8,9,14,15	532:13,17,22	657:1 658:8,12
427:21 428:3,4,16	598:14,19,24	335:19,19,22,23	533:15 534:16,25	659:16,24,25
428:20 429:2,8,16	602:3,12,13,20,25	336:8,13,13	538:13,23,24,25	660:14 661:2,18
429:20,23 430:3,8	603:15,20 605:5,9	338:15,17 339:1,8	539:23 542:24	Studying 327:20
430:10 431:24,25	606:24,25 607:2	339:13,14,20	543:20 554:8	stuff 524:4 553:15
432:5 433:20	608:24 609:16	340:2,15,21,22,24	561:9,19,21,22,25	subacute 323:18
434:6,7 446:10	610:22 611:23,25	341:3,11,20 342:2	562:1,2,4 564:7,9	subchronic 324:11
448:21 451:6	614:20,23 615:6,8	342:14,15 347:25	564:17,19 565:17	325:13
459:14 462:17	615:12,15 616:13	348:3,10,11,13,18	566:20 569:9	subcutaneous
463:10 470:14,21	616:21 617:2	348:24 355:7	570:4 572:8,14,18	623:10
470:25 471:6,20	649:8 651:5	358:14 359:1,1,8	572:20,25 573:18	subcutaneously
473:6 478:3,25	652:15 653:6,15	359:11 364:22	574:6,7,9,11,12	353:24
480:1 488:15	653:20,21 654:3,6	367:7 368:5,13,18	574:12,18,20,25	subject 294:9
491:10 494:11,13	654:10,10,14,24	373:12,15,21	575:13,22 576:2	299:18 300:8
494:20 504:12	655:4,12,16	377:2,3,7 378:8	576:18,20,24	301:8,23 343:8,21
505:25 506:9,10	657:19,21,21	379:13,14 382:6	577:1,11,15	344:8 345:8,17
506:17 516:22	658:5 659:17	383:1,19 384:7,14	579:25 580:14	346:1,22 347:13
519:18,24,24	660:1,16 661:19	385:12 386:6,18	581:24 582:7,12	354:24,25 381:11
520:5,9 522:13	study 298:13,20	391:10,11,13,22	582:14,17 583:15	402:15 403:1
524:7 526:10	299:8 302:7,11	392:5,8,17 394:9	583:21,23 584:8	405:1 409:12
527:3,5 529:14	308:10,12,14,16	395:5 396:4 399:9	584:14,15 585:1	447:18,22 448:16
530:4,7,17,22	308:22,23,24	399:10,16 401:17	585:10,11 586:5	448:22 450:10
531:6 532:16	309:1,5,5 310:2,5	402:6,7,23,23	586:19,21,23	454:7 455:5 457:9
533:3,21 534:15	310:7,8,13,15,18	403:23 411:2,6	587:15,20,23,25	457:22 557:25
540:9 542:7,11,20	310:21,22 311:1,3	413:14 414:2	588:9,9,13,19,21	558:12 604:17
				I

Page 706 607:18 614:11 456:16 458:13 364:1 379:13 467:21 469:16 308:14,16,20 492:15 504:12 481:4,7,13 491:16 309:4,5,10,16 subjective 374:8 559:4 449:6 492:8 497:6 620:3 621:5 supposed 447:2 311:2,3,6,14,15 submission 445:20 suggesting 396:11 sure 312:3 321:23 500:14 503:21 312:8,11,17 313:5 396:15 406:13 504:3,6 516:24 446:23 447:5,24 326:16 348:21 313:11,14,16,24 448:8,11,14 456:8 544:10 404:5 446:17 517:7,22,22,23,24 314:4,12,12,18,21 449:22 455:6,20 645:25 648:1 461:2 475:3 518:6 519:14,15 314:22,24 315:2,4 462:23 463:11,12 **suggests** 502:18 486:13 508:20 536:5 545:12,18 315:8,11,15 334:7 463:18 467:8 579:19 517:13 532:7 546:3,18 555:8 340:7 341:5 342:4 **Suite** 295:4,9 296:4 520:6 556:4 580:18 598:7 593:20,25 618:20 342:5 348:10 sum 451:17 568:19 581:8 654:8 618:23 619:7 351:4 352:17 582:7 **summaries** 470:25 surface 347:19,24 628:9,19 629:12 354:13 372:25 submitted 307:24 348:6,10 358:13 638:8 639:8,18 373:7 375:25 635:10 307:24 448:11 summarize 351:13 368:21 372:21,23 656:8,24 657:3,16 378:18 379:18,18 561:5 627:17 summarized 373:19,20,22,25 surfactant 478:19 386:11,14,15 submitting 463:17 374:2,9,16,17 502:22 387:17 391:14 405:10 Subscribed 667:17 summarizes 397:4 375:9 382:20,22 surgeon 450:20 392:24 393:14,23 394:2 397:10,12 subsequent 391:11 summarizing 661:1 383:2,12,19,20 555:13 Subsequently **summary** 299:13 384:1,3,14 385:16 surgeons 388:25 399:5 401:14 323:9,16 471:5 407:23 412:4 386:7,8 387:20,20 389:2 436:4,16 387:1 473:1 502:18 substance 344:16 388:18 389:3,7,20 437:2 454:11,18 413:17 426:14,15 345:4 358:8 516:2 517:5 390:8 392:9,10 510:8 557:2 606:9 427:6,17 428:18 504:13 566:13 625:21 635:10,14 393:5,10,14,15 surgical 321:25 428:19 429:9,10 571:14 583:6 635:15 637:3 394:11,11 395:7,7 333:10 427:18 429:19 432:4,15 602:20 604:7 **Summers** 295:9 396:4,17,19 399:7 477:18 535:1 449:8 453:12,16 667:9 Sun 362:18 400:9,23 401:15 537:2 454:16,17 456:16 substances 361:5 **Sunoco** 361:16 402:1,8 405:22 surrounded 535:19 458:13 466:13 416:17 566:13 362:16 407:22 408:10,12 surrounding 361:5 467:19,23 468:6,7 567:13 **Superior** 294:16 408:14.17.19 383:14 394:2 468:13 469:17 296:16 409:4 410:21,23 488:19 493:6,8 substantiate 379:1 431:24 433:1 substitute 315:20 supervision 664:24 459:2 468:24 494:15 503:2,5,18 411:7,10,14,20,22 substituted 489:6 supplemented 412:12,14 414:5 521:18 550:8 519:9 536:15,16 substituting 488:25 348:20 416:8 417:12 566:14 567:14 536:18,19,21 substitution 489:9 **supplier** 362:9,10 418:11,21,24 569:2,12 580:15 560:21,24 561:6 **subtle** 393:14 478:15 487:4 421:2,6,17 423:5 588:24 589:22 561:10,16 562:4,6 **Suburethral** suppliers 362:9 423:23 424:5 657:10 562:13,24,24 **support** 303:2 301:15 425:11,12,20,21 SUSAN 296:13 563:7,7,9 564:11 success 515:20,22 307:6 339:5 340:5 susceptible 353:17 564:12,13,23 432:19,24 435:12 suffer 347:19 342:21 343:7 435:17,23 436:5,6 424:6 425:12,21 565:6,16,17,20,23 sufficient 332:12 344:7 345:15 436:20 438:9 430:17 435:6,12 565:24 566:1 471:1 480:23 346:5 354:23 439:16 440:9,20 435:16,23 436:20 568:16 570:12,18

441:8,17,18 442:4

443:18 448:22

449:7,11,11,22

452:13 454:2,7

455:5 466:14

450:3 451:6

442:25 516:23

517:7,23

suspect 521:12

suture 298:19

sustained 596:15

308:1,2,5,10,12

570:18,24 575:22

579:4 581:8,11,17

582:9,24 603:12

603:16,25 604:7

604:22 605:1,20

581:19,19,20

590:25 648:4

suggest 331:12

374:10 375:5,19

424:15 453:11,16

387:19 406:22

656:8

429:8,18 434:15

464:10 519:20

543:14 595:3

supported 378:9

supporting 352:11

626:21

Page 707 tape 304:6 366:3,8 383:9 384:18 606:1,2,2,5,18 **system** 294:5 348:3,23 357:17 446:23 456:9 365:22 404:13 433:4,7,12 494:24 387:7 389:13 615:12,19 616:4 495:2,8 512:2,17 616:15 621:18,19 473:17 474:7,24 431:7,9 434:5 413:1,4 417:11 622:9,11,11,13,17 500:23 567:17,18 442:19 445:19 512:17 513:6,15 435:18 436:6 623:22,24 625:8 567:19 629:8 464:17 469:18 513:18,19,22,23 437:1,1 440:22,23 626:12,13,17,18 systems 420:19 482:11 486:20 513:23,25 516:4 449:10,13 451:20 627:3,11 628:6 502:23 567:16 491:9 509:5 516:15 520:10,13 452:6,10,18 629:7 631:17,19 517:14 524:6 521:17 524:19 454:15,19 555:14 $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ 632:13 638:9 539:6 543:8 549:6 540:23 544:15 565:18 585:21 T 297:10 298:2 639:9,20 643:13 549:14 550:9,24 545:2,17 546:14 606:11,20 619:1,5 299:2 300:2 301:2 644:17 551:5 552:18 548:3 584:11 619:8,16 620:4 302:2 409:24 **sutures** 298:14 561:8 568:14 592:4 613:20 621:9,10,13,15,20 666:1 581:12 584:7 309:2,23 311:10 614:1 663:20 623:18,20,22,25 tab 308:9,12,14,16 314:8,15,16 592:20 600:17 **target** 516:3 628:17,22 308:18 309:13 352:21 353:12 601:2,15 608:18 task 610:2 **tension** 512:5 311:12,14,14,17 377:8 378:10,22 640:6,8 641:6 technical 578:1 520:23 311:19,21,22,23 379:5 386:24 642:3,7 645:21,22 **TECHNICIAN** tensioning 512:16 312:1,5,11,24 387:4 392:10 649:3 650:11,17 296:18,21 512:17 313:10 317:21,22 394:11 395:6 650:18,21 651:8 TECHNOLOGI... **tensions** 528:12 323:15 324:5 396:3,4 397:7,24 651:19 652:21,22 294:24 ten-year 348:13 325:10 330:20 398:5 399:13 653:5 **Telefax** 300:13 391:22 392:5 335:12,20,21 400:9 402:8 405:5 talked 304:24 **TELEPHONE** 403:22 411:2 340:9,9 348:13,20 405:21 407:10 336:15,16 433:16 296:1 412:22 417:7,7 352:6,19 377:19 408:3 424:1 448:25 519:8 tell 327:18 332:15 418:20 435:9,10 565:15 577:2,2,24 552:5 568:18 332:21 437:17 490:6,14,19 431:10,11,11 577:25 578:1 490:15,18 492:8 569:16 570:13 561:12 580:8 491:14 492:7,24 584:8 603:6 492:23 497:7 581:13 582:13 586:9 609:8 554:8 590:20 605:11,17 556:3 560:21 598:25 606:10 611:17 619:22 618:11 624:6 table 397:4 399:15 564:11 565:13 608:4 609:22 620:6,25 643:2 term 334:9 341:12 539:11 586:4 578:23 579:21 610:25 611:4 645:7 650:17 358:1 363:19 589:14 624:2 582:5 599:18 628:8 655:8 501:11 tabs 297:17,22 605:14,22 615:4 **talking** 310:2 **telling** 373:24 terms 327:5 344:15 306:24 307:5 622:7 624:5,14 335:10 337:20 374:5 389:22 374:11 407:23 574:5 625:23 626:9 438:7 656:6 449:11,12 473:19 353:5,7,11 377:4 take 305:5 325:19 629:13 630:21 382:1 387:19 temperature 354:2 493:24 519:12 328:1,5 329:6 631:2 644:17 415:11 417:4 358:8 359:18 530:19 550:7 349:2 360:6 648:15 655:11 421:9 444:2,3 421:11 479:16,20 553:22 573:6 371:17 395:11 479:24 480:7,17 638:9 639:9 **swaging** 386:13 453:9 461:14 437:14 471:3 536:17 581:18 484:21 485:25 480:18,22 terrible 444:7,16 532:5 551:16 **Swine** 328:23 486:1,3 495:13 temporary 556:11 444:17 513:23 557:10 613:17 335:15 506:6 522:7,10,11 ten 298:19 299:7 test 318:15 321:9 629:18 640:4 455:15 456:8,9,11 Switzerland 511:10 523:2 525:8 302:11 354:4 taken 294:16 513:15 530:10 576:25 373:1,8,16 412:5 470:20,21 472:8 438:25 530:1 sworn 304:12 664:6 613:10 413:18 622:4 473:10 482:19 625:7 626:20 talks 384:14 388:17 tensile 355:19,21 500:6,10 529:10 667:17 takes 609:25 **symptoms 459**:6 356:10 373:14,17 538:20 567:2 486:13,24,25 talk 329:17,19 504:18 614:17 605:19 635:20 374:7 382:14,17 571:13,24 575:9

575:16 576:6,12	423:21 460:2	449:20,25 450:6	333:13 334:12	411:15,23 412:8
576:16 584:18,25	473:2 480:10	451:2 452:12,12	335:2 336:4,10,22	412:15,23 413:10
585:25 598:12,12	571:3,4,5 577:4	457:2 461:21	337:17,23 339:16	413:21 414:3,9,14
608:15,19 643:22	578:6 591:5,20	468:18 478:13,16	339:24 341:24	415:17,22 416:9
648:11,20 650:25	600:16 607:3	478:18 487:4,19	342:10 343:1,12	416:25 417:14
tested 318:9 467:9	614:17 624:1	487:20 488:4	344:1,12,25	418:14 419:13,22
470:7,16 482:12	655:17 657:24,24	491:10 497:11	345:23 346:7,24	420:10 421:7,23
482:16 483:20	text 539:5	504:22 508:23	347:7,21 348:7,19	422:5,19 423:7,13
492:9,23 499:13	Thank 378:3	512:20 514:17	349:1,12,21 352:7	423:17 424:23
500:2,7 537:12,14	406:24 410:7	522:16 523:18	353:6 355:5 356:6	425:5,13,22
567:15 586:1	441:5 447:1 466:9	531:11 532:2,15	356:14,19 357:6	426:11 427:1,12
testified 304:12	499:19 531:23	537:25,25 538:9	358:5,16 360:12	428:7,23 429:6
392:9 400:15	539:1 553:19	539:9 540:24,25	360:23 361:8,13	430:5,12,21 431:3
430:18 443:6	589:5 663:17	541:13 552:9,12	361:18 362:6	431:13,21 432:6
466:24 471:10	thanks 418:7	553:5 555:9 557:1	363:4,16 364:18	431.13,21 432.0
620:13 656:15	489:17 628:13	557:2 558:16	364:23 365:4,12	432.21 433.8,13
testify 445:4	663:18	560:1 576:19	365:19,24 366:4,9	435:24 436:11,22
465:15 502:17	theoretical 443:22	582:25 583:12,12	366:10,19 367:1	437:8,12,14,17
507:19 543:11	443:23 444:12	583:22 586:14,16	367:18,25 368:9	438:12,15,18,24
568:14 663:6	thereof 549:15	590:24 595:5	368:15 369:1,11	439:5,9,23 440:14
testimony 297:4	thermal 490:3	599:3 611:9 619:2	370:7,8,10,11,15	441:2,5 442:13
319:13 401:4	495:19 496:23	620:17 629:6	370:24 371:2,9,11	443:9,20 444:5,14
437:18 497:4	thickness 589:10	630:12,15 631:24	371:14,22 372:4	445:10,23 446:3
503:25 664:7	592:7	634:7 638:4	373:3,10 374:3,20	446:11,18,24
testing 429:13	thin 305:23 603:3	643:19 644:8	374:25 375:15	447:1 448:1,23
437:1 440:4,24	thing 379:10 478:9	649:20 654:17	376:9,20 377:4,10	449:23 450:4,12
445:5 447:10	489:16 562:7	659:21	377:14,23,25	450:25 451:8
455:23 457:20	658:24	thinking 316:16	378:3,24 379:4,9	452:2,15 453:2,6
458:9 473:16	things 330:9	488:19 524:3	380:7,15 381:1,23	453:22 454:8
476:5 478:5 479:9	440:19 487:24	third 353:9 397:21	382:10,23 383:4	455:7 456:20
481:17,24 502:3,8	504:17 534:13	500:10	383:16 384:4,11	457:2,6,13,24
507:14,16,20	537:14 543:18	thirty 665:12	384:25 385:5,18	458:23 459:20
519:22 529:24	think 316:11,16	Thomas 294:14	386:3 387:11	460:5,23 461:9,23
537:19 558:20	321:16 325:8,20	295:7,8 297:4,6	388:10,13,20	462:9 463:4,20,24
559:1,9 563:21	326:16 332:9	304:7,11 306:13	389:8,24 390:9,19	464:3,16 465:1,9
565:18 566:8	343:14 344:1	306:18 307:2	391:23,24 392:12	465:12,19 466:3,8
576:13 578:6,18	351:10,24 352:18	309:17 310:16,23	392:25 393:6	467:12 469:7
578:23 580:6	361:20,22 366:17	312:18 313:6	394:4,13,23 395:3	471:10,14 473:24
581:1 585:15	366:19 369:24	316:8,14 317:1,10	395:9,22 396:6,20	474:10,15,20
586:25 587:2,3	372:4 379:9 385:7	317:13 318:12	398:14,18,25	475:2,17 476:2
595:4 599:9,14,18	385:11 389:2,5,11	319:1,5,10,17	399:24 400:10,19	480:3,14 482:5
601:3,9,17,23	389:18 393:2	321:13 323:3,10	400:25 401:6,19	483:24 484:5,13
602:3 623:9,20,22	394:6 395:2	326:1,8,17,21	403:3,10 404:1,3	484:21,25 485:25
623:25 625:8	404:19 412:17	327:2,9,18 328:3	404:9 405:15,25	487:17 488:1,16
646:11 648:14	415:2 432:13	328:16 329:8,13	406:8,15,17,23	490:9,22 491:5,12
656:11	434:10 441:12	329:24 330:13,17	407:15 408:6,22	491:18,25 492:11
tests 355:12 421:1	443:11 445:25	331:4,20 332:7,17	409:6 410:5 411:4	492:16 493:1,18

		ı		
493:21 494:17	604:4,10 605:4	331:13,24 332:14	407:18 408:8	490:12 491:1,8,14
495:4,9,23 496:12	607:4,10,14,25	332:20 333:15	409:1,9,14,20	491:22 492:2,4,13
496:16,25 497:21	609:12 613:14,17	334:16 335:6	410:3,8 411:9,21	492:21 493:10,12
498:3,12,18 499:1	613:22 614:2,4,15	336:7,14 337:14	412:2,13,19 413:6	493:19 494:1,22
499:16 500:17	615:2,22 616:11	337:21,25 339:19	413:15,25 414:7	495:11 496:9,14
501:21 502:13	617:8 618:5,9	340:3 341:15,17	414:12,18 415:19	496:20 497:3,24
504:8 505:14	619:21 620:17,23	342:6,8,12 343:4	416:1,13 417:3,18	498:7,14,20 499:5
506:3,13,21 507:6	621:8 622:24	343:24 344:2,23	418:17 419:17,24	499:18,21 500:20
508:17,23 509:12	623:3,4 624:18	345:12 346:3,10	420:20 421:13	501:23 502:15
509:24 510:18	625:2,5,25 629:9	347:3,15 348:1,15	422:2,7,21 423:3	504:16 505:16
511:2,19 512:9,19	629:18,25 630:15	349:8,18,25 350:3	423:11,15,18,20	506:7,16 507:1,11
514:2,10 516:17	631:4,22 632:14	350:7 352:9 353:8	425:1,9,18,25	507:23 508:4,12
516:25 517:25	633:10,21 634:7	353:13 355:11	426:19 427:7,23	508:25 509:2,19
518:11,13,17,23	634:14 635:5,19	356:11,17 357:3	428:10 429:1,14	510:3,21 511:3,23
519:2,5 520:2,16	635:25 636:19,24	357:15 358:9,20	430:9,15,24 431:6	512:12 513:1,11
521:5,9 522:1,14	637:6,19,24 638:4	360:13 361:1,11	431:16 432:1,10	514:7,11,12
523:2,5,15,25	638:12 639:10	361:15,24 362:15	433:15 434:4	516:20 517:2
525:4,13 526:5,15	640:9,13,16,20,24	363:6,23 364:20	435:1,20 436:8,13	518:9,14,19,25
526:20 527:6,15	641:2,9,22 642:23	365:6,15 366:12	437:6,9,15,22	519:4,6,21 520:8
528:15 529:17	643:5 644:2,8,23	366:14,22 367:9	438:13,17,21	520:25 521:7,19
530:8,23 531:9,23	645:6,19,24	367:21 368:7,12	439:1,3,7,11,13	522:4,15,18 523:4
533:6 534:4,21	646:17,22,25	368:23 369:5,15	440:7,25 441:6	523:6,17,20 524:8
535:4,12 536:9	647:20 648:8	370:20,25 371:4,6	442:17 443:13,24	525:9,17 526:9,22
537:7,16,23 538:7	649:18 650:3,6,8	371:12,19 372:1,6	444:11,20 445:2	527:1,11,21
538:15,22,24	650:20 651:7,14	372:10 373:5,18	445:12,18,25	528:19 529:19
539:1 540:11,20	652:4,18 653:7,11	374:13,22 375:7	446:5,14,19,25	530:15 531:3,16
541:19 543:2,10	655:24 657:25	376:3,4,12,16,22	447:3,4 448:4	531:21,24 533:7
543:17 545:21	658:14,19 659:3,9	377:6,12,16,20,24	449:2,17,19 450:2	534:8,24 535:6,21
546:8,20 547:12	660:3,7,19,23	378:6 379:2,7,11	450:8,21 451:4,12	536:12 537:11,20
548:4 549:8,16,20	661:5,10,13 662:6	380:12,19 381:2	452:11,20 453:4	538:3,11,19,23,25
550:18 551:8,18	662:11,23 663:11	382:3,18 383:6,10	453:18 454:4,20	539:2 540:15
552:9,16,19,23	663:17,21 664:10	383:22 384:8,21	454:25 455:2,12	541:7,23,24 543:4
553:2,9,12,16,19	667:14	385:2,9,21 387:8	456:24 457:4,9,17	543:6,13,23
553:25 554:14,24	Thornburgh 295:3	388:5,6,12,15,22	458:3,4 459:5,22	545:25 546:16,24
555:10,23 556:12	297:5 304:17	389:17 390:3,14	459:24 460:18	547:6,13,17,19
557:10,20 561:3	305:12 306:15	390:21,25 391:7	461:5,16,25 462:2	548:6,14 549:12
562:21 563:5,11	307:12 309:21	391:17,21 392:1,2	462:14 463:6	549:18,22 550:20
563:16 565:9	310:19,25 312:23	392:16 393:4,11	464:7,20 465:4,13	550:21 551:9,10
566:5 570:20	313:8 316:10,19	394:8,17 395:1,4	465:23 466:1,5,10	551:15,21 552:14
572:4 579:6,17	317:5,11,16	395:14 396:1,10	467:14 469:1,2,12	552:17,20,25
580:3,20 584:12	318:19 319:3,6,15	396:24 398:16,23	471:17 472:18,20	553:4,10,14,18,20
584:16,20,23	319:18 321:18	399:3 400:1,2,13	474:4,14,18,22	554:5 555:6,16
591:16 592:15	323:7,21 326:5,11	400:22 401:2,8,22	475:12,22 476:4	556:9,20 557:6
594:9 595:19	326:19,24 327:7	403:7,13,17 404:2	480:9,25 482:6	560:25 562:16
597:4 598:10	327:16,25 328:7	404:7,12,22	484:3,9,15,17,23	563:1,10,13
599:4 600:22	328:18 329:10,20	405:16 406:3,10	485:1 486:3,8	564:25 566:3
601:22,25 603:19	330:3,14,21	406:16,19 407:1	487:23 488:11,21	570:16 572:2

578:25 579:15	Thread 299:16	663:3,4	535:10,19 536:21	432:12 434:8
580:1,11 584:5,18	300:6 301:6	times 439:6 531:12	537:3 541:2	445:22 453:7,11
584:22 591:9,13	threat 519:25	540:4 583:8	542:21 544:13,15	454:3 484:10,11
591:22 594:5	three 325:9 327:21	611:23 653:8	545:6,7,9,15,16	489:23 506:10,18
595:16 597:3	331:4,7 341:6,6	654:15 661:25	546:13 550:8,24	519:16 524:5
598:1 599:2	360:12 373:11,12	tiny 535:24	550:25 555:25	525:21 527:2
600:20 601:20	426:14 427:4	tired 630:7 662:13	556:7 561:9,14,16	530:18 532:11
603:10 604:3,8	428:16 429:23	662:14,16,17,21	562:4,8,9,12,19	539:25 543:22
605:2 606:22	439:6 440:19,22	663:16	564:2,6,15,16	544:2 550:17,19
607:6,12,23	449:5 466:16	tissue 305:23,25	565:1,3 569:11	551:13 553:8
609:10 613:9,15	492:17 531:12	310:21 311:3	572:9,14 573:1,13	558:5,9,15 564:22
614:6,12,25	561:15 574:2,23	313:11,21 315:7	576:20,21,23,24	568:18 579:14
615:20 616:10	623:5 626:9,13	315:10,14,17	577:1,14 578:7	583:8 600:17
619:11 620:11,20	627:3 636:20,24	316:3,22 317:3	580:15 582:15,18	601:15 602:9,15
621:2 622:21	637:1,1,10,12,15	318:3 320:4,18,25	582:25 583:1,2,9	608:19 609:24
623:1 624:15,25	638:20 639:2	321:6,23,24	583:19 584:2	617:16 618:6
625:4,17 629:5,15	three-dimensional	322:22 328:24	588:15,22,24	630:7 647:3
630:5,16,18 631:8	596:14	334:6 335:16,24	589:10,20 590:3,4	651:25 661:25
632:1,18 633:15	three-folder 352:6	336:1 343:9,22	590:25 591:5,20	663:1
634:5,8,18 635:8	three-month 325:6	344:9 345:9,18	592:7,25 593:15	told 368:24 369:6
635:23 636:1,22	time 310:11 312:14	346:2,17 347:14	595:8,12,14,22,23	369:13 423:7
636:25 637:9,21	323:19 332:4	355:1 361:6	596:5,11,15	424:18,20 464:16
638:1,5,18 639:14	351:14 388:3	364:11 375:19	598:13,15,17,21	650:8
640:11,14,18,21	389:16 391:3	377:1 379:24	598:23 603:3,5,16	Tom 371:2 403:4
641:1,5,13,16,19	418:11 419:4,18	380:4,10,13,20	603:20 604:18	418:7 641:10
642:2,24 643:1,6	448:7 453:14	383:14 386:22	605:13,20,24	tomorrow 553:8
643:7 644:5,11	461:12 468:20	387:24 388:2	606:6 607:19	tools 600:12
645:3,8,21 646:1	476:16 481:10	389:6,14,15 394:2	608:23 614:22	top 360:7 386:19
646:20,23 647:2,4	484:19 486:17	402:16 403:2	655:9,19 656:16	386:22 502:7
647:22 648:9,12	494:20 510:5	405:2 426:17	tissues 305:21	593:16,24 633:4
649:21,25 650:5,7	513:21 519:5,17	427:6,16 428:17	307:16 468:25	topic 307:4 338:13
650:10,12,16,23	519:19 525:24	429:4,4,10 432:25	566:14 567:14	343:14,19,20
651:10,16 652:6,8	542:13 552:8,10	433:2 441:24	569:2,12 589:22	351:13 354:24
652:23 653:9,12	552:11,21,21,23	447:17,23 452:19	603:1 657:11	457:25 458:2
655:25 658:3,16	552:25 557:9	452:25 453:12,17	title 350:22 351:1	topics 338:8,12
658:21 659:7,15	560:1,20 561:17	458:19 459:2,3,11	593:16	359:23 422:16
660:5,9,21,25	562:8 563:21	459:16 460:13	titled 297:14,19	431:9 446:10
661:7,11,14,17	564:4,13 565:2,4	466:15 467:3,10	302:6 557:24	458:1 547:8,9
662:9,16,21,24	565:20 567:4	467:25 468:2,22	559:6 576:25	548:9,17 549:24
663:15	573:4 578:20	469:14 478:12	577:19 622:3	549:25 558:3,12
Thorpe 296:15	590:25 596:18	493:24 498:9,9	623:6	654:13,18,20 total 451:18
thought 316:20	598:17 602:18	501:2,5,13 502:10	today 304:4 329:12	
366:12 383:6	605:23 606:1,4	504:15,19,22	330:5 332:3 353:1	totality 404:4,6,9 454:11
416:11 456:24 522:7 645:24	607:9 611:22,24 612:1,5,14 617:24	505:9,23 515:16	357:17 358:11 359:2 394:18	
656:1	618:16 641:10,10	519:10,17,19 521:18 533:10	426:10 428:3	totally 393:9 462:13,25
thousand 419:6,20	662:11,20,25	534:1,3,11 535:2	420:10 428:3	toxicity 324:11
110 usanu 417.0,20	004.11,40,43	JJ4.1,J,11 JJJ.4	+49.3 +30.3,11	toxicity 524.11

462:18 463:2,8	530:2,3 544:23	448:21 456:7	445:21 446:23	T-2242 576:25	
toxicology 351:10	545:4 590:10	460:4 461:22	448:12 529:2	592:24 597:18	
TR 300:8	610:20 617:24	462:6,15 464:12	TVT-S 315:22	611:1	
track 340:10	630:8 636:4	465:7 468:21	TVT-Secur 600:2	T-2247 595:6	
trained 610:1	660:12	469:24 470:3,12	TVT-X 325:7	T-2248 297:14	
training 613:3	trying 320:7	470:15,19,22	twice 453:7 646:5	307:9	
transcript 294:13	326:19 336:23	471:22 472:23	two 318:16 337:10	T-2249 297:19	
344:4 664:12,22	395:13 415:24	473:5,11 476:6	337:19 338:6	307:11	
665:13,14	436:3 454:10	479:21,25 480:11	341:5 347:10	T-2250 298:6 350:6	
transcription 667:6	477:5 528:21	481:17 487:16	354:22,22 365:2	T-2251 298:12	
transient 305:22	531:6 553:4,7,14	490:21 491:15	375:5 378:17	378:5	
307:17 320:25	627:20 662:13	492:10,25 499:8	379:17 384:19	T-2252 298:18	
334:7 336:21	Trzewik 550:11	500:25 501:2	395:19 396:3,8	391:20	
338:3 339:6 341:1	Trzewik's 550:16	502:20 503:13,25	399:8 440:20	T-2253 299:6	
341:22 342:23	tubing 479:17,20	504:3,19 505:4,12	447:16 459:15	403:16	
556:15 596:10	479:23,25 480:8	505:12 506:18	462:16,18 466:20	T-2254 299:11	
603:2	480:13,18,19	507:14,20 508:15	467:2 471:6	466:7	
transitions 596:12	481:2,12 504:1	509:11,23 510:15	480:10 489:24	T-2255 299:16	
transitory 340:6	turn 352:22 362:2	512:17 513:5,15	501:14 502:8	508:11	
342:17 447:17	362:19 378:11,19	515:3,7,8,18,20	515:6,9 516:21	T-2256 300:6 511:1	
translate 437:4	409:21 424:4	515:22 516:23	518:7 519:3	T-2257 300:13	
translated 513:13	447:11 455:13	517:23 523:22,24	561:19,21 590:12	512:25	
571:19 573:12	622:25 626:4	524:19 525:8	606:12 619:17,20	T-2258 300:18	
translation 300:18	630:11 631:9	526:3,3 537:13,21	625:3 627:3,23	513:10	
505:23 511:9	turned 338:10	538:5,12,20	631:16 634:16	T-2259 301:6 514:6	
trauma 414:25	TVT 316:4 317:8	539:16,19 540:2	641:7 645:1	T-2260 301:12	
treat 661:12,14	318:4,9,22 319:24	540:18 541:11,11	647:10 649:6	531:20 585:12,14	
treated 437:25	320:5,8,11 321:20	558:20,23 559:2,3	650:19 661:8	594:12	
treatment 503:7	324:15,20,23	559:6,21,22	two-week 587:20	T-2261 301:19	
trial 296:21 325:6	325:3 327:14	560:11,13,24	587:23 588:9	541:6	
463:19 464:25	330:24 331:16,23	563:22 566:8	590:18,19,24	T-2262 301:23	
465:18 553:1,11	333:6,21,24 334:1	567:5 568:19,19	591:4,18 594:12	548:13 564:7	
Triclosan 320:19	334:19,21,23	571:24 572:10,15	two-year 399:10	565:12 580:5	
321:5	338:22 339:22	572:21 573:1,19	561:25 562:2	T-2263 617:7	
trilaminate 324:18	340:5 341:1,21	577:16,21 578:3,4	565:17	T-2264 618:4	
trivial 452:8,8	342:17,22 344:19	578:18 579:5,8	type 520:12 549:3	T-2265 302:15	
true 373:2,9 412:7	345:7,16,21 346:6	580:6 584:11	types 355:12,12	649:24	
412:20 413:19	346:16,22 347:12	588:6 592:2,4	typical 323:17	T-263 302:6	
535:9 546:1	351:17,20 352:12	595:4,13 599:9,22	typically 420:13	T-264 302:9	
583:11 633:19	354:18 355:4,7	600:10,16,18	T-2017 446:7	T-305 484:18	
646:18 664:6	360:22 362:5	601:18 602:4,23	T-2111 362:1	T-3185 461:19	
truth 519:22	372:14 377:1	608:8	T-2130 587:19	T-4012 370:3	
640:23	385:17,24 388:19	TVT-A 600:7	588:9 589:1		
try 330:24 360:18	389:3,7 402:10	TVT-E 600:5	T-2132 472:22	<u>U</u>	
384:22 406:12	408:21 422:10	TVT-O 510:10	499:6	ulceration 459:12	
513:24 516:3	436:20 443:8	515:3 599:25	T-2133 523:1	504:24 505:9	
526:10 529:3,9,22	445:6 447:6 448:8	TVT-Retropubic	589:13 594:13	614:18 615:8,12	
Ĺ_ <u></u>	<u> </u>	I	I	1	

c1 c 20 22		1050 150 00		10 15 15	
616:20,22	understanding	user 436:3 450:20	366:2,7 391:2,5	575:13,17 577:15	
ulcerations 459:9	337:9 356:2,3	users 436:16 440:6	409:16,19 433:5	587:7,11	
504:20 505:5	357:13 362:3	460:8	433:11 444:22,25	vivo 298:19 318:21	
507:5	419:16 420:1	USP 473:16 474:7	495:1,7 507:24	319:25 320:8,10	
Ulmsten 318:4	477:23,24 489:13	474:24 481:18	508:2 547:1,4,24	347:17 354:20	
464:23 465:6,16	494:4 530:21	568:5	548:1 557:13,15	364:16 398:9	
572:15 573:19	632:25	usually 459:13	613:19,25 629:20	399:5 401:13	
608:6	understands 654:9	512:2	629:23	434:16 442:7	
ultimate 610:12	understood 365:21	V	VIDEOGRAPH	461:14 495:22	
ultimately 603:24	556:1,14	·	304:2 305:8,10	497:10 500:23	
604:5 613:6	underwent 606:18	vaginal 506:20	337:1,6 349:3,6	504:12,15 505:4	
ultra 516:11	unequivocally	517:21	366:1,6 391:2,5	526:22,24 535:17	
ULTRAPRO 331:9	397:6,16	valid 590:21 594:3	409:15,18 433:3	568:21,22 569:9	
334:25 335:24	uneventful 452:7	validated 489:9	433:10 444:22,25	570:3,8,10,21	
336:3 338:16	unimportant	493:7	494:25 495:6	571:3,15,18,20	
339:9,10	452:14	value 594:18,21	507:24 508:2	572:21 573:8,20	
ultrasonic 592:2	Union 578:3	values 533:1	547:1,4,23 548:1	576:6,13 579:24	
ultrasonically	unit 322:16,20	variant 324:21	557:12,15 613:18	587:8,11,15	
524:21	513:14,16	variation 567:4	613:24 629:20,23	594:16 608:9,13	
unacceptable	United 294:1	variety 608:22	663:19	629:8,8	
583:20 584:2	603:25	various 294:8	videotape 296:18	volume 294:6	
unappreciable	University 369:22	349:10 353:20	304:7 366:4,9	297:16,21 298:8	
546:15	372:12 378:8	364:3 468:11	433:8,13 495:3	304:6 306:18,23	
uncertain 480:22	381:15 410:16	485:9 487:3	613:21 614:2	307:2 317:24	
unchanged 393:23	updated 309:22	558:10 574:20	663:20	366:3,8 415:5	
uncracked 407:7	578:2	598:16 608:24	videotaped 294:14	433:7,12 482:20	
undeniable 662:3	upfront 510:8	609:5	495:9 548:4	495:2,8 500:6	
undergoes 503:25	upside 400:3	varying 443:2,3	Virginia 294:2	548:3 613:21	
520:13	Urology 295:12	vast 307:25 308:4	295:9 486:25	614:1	
undergoing 520:12	USDA 597:11	312:15 313:4,4	virtually 380:18	volumes 306:14	
understand 327:5	use 306:21 344:19	334:4 432:2,4	viscosity 642:11,16	volunteered 614:6	
369:3 383:25	345:7 388:25	653:24	642:17 643:15	vs 296:15 301:21	
404:12,13 415:3	390:13,25 436:2	vendor 489:11,16	visibility 498:16	Vypro 321:9,9,12	
415:20 417:1	439:18 456:15	verbatim 344:15	visible 564:14	575:1,2,3,4,7,9,12	
419:9,25 420:17	458:12 479:16,23	verge 556:15	visualized 368:21	575:15,17 576:20	
424:14 425:16	489:22 535:1	version 309:20,22	580:15	577:7,8,12,14	
468:11 477:6	537:2 539:23	309:23 331:11	vitally 462:15		
479:1 483:22	562:16 566:1	466:3 578:12	vitro 318:15,17,18	W	
489:15 491:11	585:24 597:13	versions 577:8	456:2,12 460:2	wait 370:24 377:14	
493:22 501:25	598:3 602:23	versus 314:5 489:2	461:7 470:12,12	394:23 463:24	
513:20 530:3,3	603:24 604:21	543:5 590:4 632:9	478:23 480:23	493:14	
542:3 558:22	605:23 647:8	633:5	483:5 502:23	waiting 463:17	
562:22 609:11	useful 334:24	viability 568:5	504:11,14 505:17	walked 429:3 430:2	
612:21 625:6	388:25 436:17,24	vicinity 379:22	505:19 532:8	430:11 530:17	
635:12 648:23	437:2 440:5	533:17 535:18	567:8,16,17,18,20	wall 506:20	
651:21 653:11	450:19 454:16	video 305:10 337:2	568:9 571:3,5,13	want 312:14 326:22	
662:6	533:25 555:12	337:6 349:4,6	571:19,24 573:2	329:17 335:9	

356:19 364:24	515:20 524:22	642:11,20 644:3,7	330:19 335:10	360:25 361:22
366:11 372:8	560:8 563:20	644:10,16,18,20	369:24 373:13	362:8 363:18
376:6 377:10	568:8,21 570:7,22	645:20 646:14	387:25 392:6	364:25 365:21
384:22 394:15,24	581:5 596:14	647:10,11,13,18	428:16 447:15	367:3,20 368:2,11
395:11 434:13	603:17 632:7,24	647:23 648:1,21	448:19,25 453:10	368:17 369:3,13
445:10 446:1,16	642:3 649:16	weights 625:13	454:2,3 464:16	370:18 371:16,24
447:11 464:6	660:13	627:7 634:4	471:2 478:9,11	370:18 371:10,24
469:20 473:25	weak 405:13	welfare 597:12	494:24 502:1	374:5 375:2,17
474:15,20 492:18	weak 403.13 weakening 343:9	wells 567:25	516:22 519:8	377:13,18 378:2
492:19 508:5	343:22 344:9	well-funded 415:4	550:1 551:6	380:9,17 381:25
518:2,24 519:3	345:9,17 346:2	went 342:2 461:2,3	569:16 574:25	382:12,25 383:5,8
526:20 529:9,11	347:14 355:1	461:3 483:20	579:2 592:11	383:18 384:6,13
532:5 543:20	402:15 403:2	495:12 558:25	595:5 615:6	385:7,20 386:5
550:22,23 552:1	405:2 447:23	563:9 595:10	616:13,22 617:2	387:13 388:11,14
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			630:6 644:19	· ·
573:25 606:22	604:18 607:18	615:16 628:8		389:10 390:1,11
612:1 617:18	wealth 516:10	weren't 368:25	650:8 651:9 653:7 655:1 661:24	392:14 393:2,8
618:1 628:12	weaved 513:24 week 611:21,21	381:9 404:5 434:12 501:19	white 399:21	394:6,15 395:11 395:24 396:8,22
630:10,11,24	′			,
640:6,16,21,22,23	weeks 324:2 590:12	570:14 649:7	whoa 378:24,24,24	398:20 399:2
640:24 644:2	weigh 533:4	657:21	663:11,11,11	400:12,21 401:1
645:7 652:21	weighed 532:25	West 294:2 295:9	wholly 387:18	401:21 403:5,12
654:8	534:9	296:8 486:25	width 524:19	406:13 407:17
wanted 404:5 510:9	weighing 533:24	we'll 306:11 348:2	Wilshire 296:13	408:24 409:8
543:18 645:4	weight 355:18,21	350:3 361:13	withdraw 360:17	410:2 411:6,17,25
wants 372:4	373:14,17 374:7	372:2 383:23,23	394:24 444:11	412:10,17,25
warn 388:18 454:5	374:10 382:14,16	404:17 416:2	WITKIN 295:3	413:12,23 414:5
455:3	383:9 384:18	423:9 437:19	296:2	414:11,16 415:24
warts 487:10,14	389:12 413:1,4	445:14 484:15	witness 303:5	416:11 417:16
488:23	417:10 435:18	485:2 507:17	305:2 309:19	418:16 419:15
Washington 296:8	436:6 437:1	509:5 552:10,13	310:18,24 312:19	420:12 421:9,25
wasn't 333:5 335:1	440:21,23 449:9	640:17 649:21	316:16 317:3,14	424:25 425:7,15
390:15 442:18	449:12 451:19	653:9 663:4	318:14 321:15	425:24 426:13
493:13 540:8	452:6 454:15	we're 304:2 312:3	323:5,12 326:3,10	427:3,14 428:9,25
552:18 630:21	533:2,11,12,18	313:25 315:2	327:4,10,13,20	429:8 430:7,14,23
631:3 633:2,18,20	534:1 538:1,5	326:3 337:1,20	328:5 329:15	431:5,15,23 432:8
634:23 635:1	555:14 606:11,19	366:1,6 388:7	330:19 331:7,22	432:23 434:23
640:2 644:5 647:6	606:24 607:2	404:13 409:15,18	332:9,19 334:14	435:16 436:1,24
647:11,12,15,16	619:4,9,13,23,24	433:10 438:18	335:4 336:6,12	437:18 438:16
660:22	620:1,3,6,9,25	469:3 479:14	337:8,22,24	439:25 440:16
waste 312:14	621:1 622:16	487:21 488:7	339:18 340:1	441:4 442:15
watch 571:16	625:14,19,21	494:25 495:6	342:1 343:3,17	443:11,22 445:15
water 397:13 482:4	626:17,22 627:15	522:10,10 529:15	345:25 346:9	446:1,4 448:3,25
482:7 483:13	627:25 628:17,22	548:7 613:24	347:1,9,23 348:9	449:25 450:6,14
way 310:13 325:24	630:20 631:1,20	630:7 645:21,22	348:21,23 349:14	451:2,10 452:4,17
356:10 357:4,8,22	632:4,9,11 634:2	650:9,20,20	349:23 353:11	453:8,24 454:10
371:7 390:4	634:10 635:20	663:20	355:7 356:8,15,21	455:10 458:25
420:16,16 442:5	639:22 641:21	we've 329:18	357:8 358:7,18	460:7,25 461:11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	

462:11 463:22	633:12,23 634:16	450:24 578:24	487:4 501:24	zero 313:20
464:2 465:3,11,21	635:7 637:8	613:12,15 614:7	508:25 509:20	zones 355:25 356:4
469:9 471:12,16	638:14 639:12	633:3 649:12	518:12 523:6,17	Zunes 333.23 330.4
474:1 475:4,19	641:3,12,15,18,24	wound 459:9,10,16	524:2 535:8 538:4	<u> </u>
480:5,16 484:1,7	644:9,25 646:19	460:14 504:20,23	550:13 568:17	\$225 663:8
487:19 488:3,18	647:21 648:10	505:4,7,24 506:1	584:4 585:6 590:2	\$400,000 488:13
490:11,24 491:19	649:20 650:22	506:12 571:21	591:7 593:13	
493:3,22 494:19	652:7,20 658:2	573:4,10 614:18	605:25 624:24	0
495:25 496:18	659:5,11 661:4,6	614:22 616:14	626:16 652:24	0 533:2
497:2,23 498:5,19	661:16 662:4,12	woven 540:25	656:13 660:12,12	012 539:16
499:3,20 500:19	662:14,18 663:7	wrap 662:4	662:9	041 539:16
504:10 506:5,15	663:16,22 664:5,7	write 353:15	year 298:19,21	05588123 370:4
506:23 507:8,13	664:10 665:1	358:21 370:7	299:7,8 302:7,10	09888218 624:20
508:19 509:1,14	witness's 319:13	412:3 424:13	302:11 304:4	09888222 631:12
510:1,20 511:21	woman 540:3	537:5	373:16 399:9	
510:1,20 511:21 512:11,20 514:4	woman's 500:15	writes 379:16	511:4 613:14	1
516:19 518:2,12	517:21 524:15	381:14 397:3	622:4	1 304:6 306:18,23
519:7 520:4,18	women 438:10	513:13	years 341:6,6 373:1	306:24 308:9
521:10 524:2	440:12 507:4,5	writing 505:1	373:8 378:17	312:24 340:9,14
525:6,15 526:7,18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	written 344:15	379:17 392:20	366:3 429:12
526:24 527:8,17	521.2,25 524.12 525:3 528:9,14	395:13 405:9	398:9 399:5	539:11 586:4
528:17 530:10,25	529:3,13,22 530:6	511:17 646:5	401:13 402:9,24	655:9 659:9
531:11 534:6,23	women's 295:12	662:3	403:8 404:4,24	1-centimeter
535:14 536:11	296:10 389:5,21	wrong 344:24	412:5 413:18	527:13 539:23
537:9,18,25 538:9	438:10	446:15 515:5	412:5 413:18	1-32 297:17
538:17 540:13,22		631:23	465:16 487:3	1.5 539:22
545:23 546:10,22	wondering 414:22 word 556:24 557:7	wrote 364:1 397:16	489:24 515:18	1:08 433:5
549:10,21 554:2	562:17	451:25 456:6,14	516:9 561:19,21	1:14 433:11
554:18 555:5,12	words 345:20	456:22 457:1	578:14 579:14,18	1:26 444:23
555:25 556:14	411:25 488:3	458:8,11 476:11	579:22 584:1	1:34 445:1
557:8 561:2	573:14	511:25 636:22	608:23 622:7	10 340:15 402:11
562:19 563:3,15	work 483:3 559:25	WULLER 296:8	623:24 624:10	403:5 524:20
565:1 566:4	560:14 580:22	WULLER 290.8	629:7	538:12 570:5,7
570:17 579:1,16	584:8 597:15	X	Yep 311:20 377:24	654:15
580:2,12 584:6	609:24,25 630:10	X 297:2,10 298:2	408:12 627:22	10th 296:13
591:12,15,23	633:25 646:10	299:2 300:2 301:2	yesterday 304:25	10/15/92 299:6
594:6 595:17	worked 381:7	302:2	305:7,17 306:3,7	302:9
598:2 599:3	510:13		310:3 316:6,18,23	10/18/04 300:19
600:21 603:11	working 415:21	Y	322:25 326:7	10:16 349:3
604:9 605:3	working 413.21 works 648:5	yarn 575:6	327:6 328:1,14	10:20 349:7
607:24 613:12	works 046.3 worldwide 516:7	yeah 309:19 313:12	336:15 423:7	10:44 366:1
614:14 615:1,21	worry 404:17	325:11 327:22	553:5 585:5	10:52 366:7
619:12 620:21	worry 404.17 worse 513:19	362:8 370:21	592:23 608:21	100 475:24
621:4 622:22	worst 485:15	381:25 390:4	609:22	100,000 516:7
624:16 625:18	worst 483.13 wouldn't 312:19	392:3 405:17	York 486:22,23	10575419 352:24
629:6,16 630:17	325:12 380:24	462:13,25 471:12	1 UI K +0U.22,23	10575759 377:9
631:6,24 632:16	411:14 424:15	473:22 480:5	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	108 354:5
031.0,24 032.10	711.17 727.13			

	1			 	
10993 429:12	1960 337:18	548:3 613:21	222 631:13 639:23	28 310:8 318:5	
578:12	1960s 431:25 556:4	614:1 627:18	642:9,10	320:6 342:3	
10993-5 455:25	1964 560:5 564:6	635:18 636:15	224,000 631:20	352:19 466:16	
11 395:3 570:8	570:5,7 578:24	639:5	2241 306:6 337:16	28-day 310:11,18	
654:15	581:4 582:2 591:6	2/27/04 299:17	547:11	316:2,22 322:22	
11/10/04 300:13	591:21 603:6,7	2:40 495:1	2242 611:12	342:14 468:21	
11/12/04 300:7	606:17	20 558:16,17	2246 305:6,14	469:3,18,22 501:4	
11:18 391:3	1965 488:20	653:14 654:2,3,9	2247 595:13 598:12	501:10 572:8,13	
11:24 391:6	1969 308:19 377:8	654:10 667:18	2248 306:12,23	573:17 574:7,25	
11:48 409:16	378:8 391:13	200 295:4 296:4	307:25 308:9	608:2	
115 296:8	1973 309:15,23	2000 321:2,10,10	2249 307:2,25	288 352:23 353:9	
12 342:23 352:21	310:2 340:15	337:19 613:14,14	2250 350:4 363:25	29 447:25 448:5,10	
550:4 595:2,3	341:3,11 342:2,15	2001 322:1	2251 378:2,3	294 667:5	
596:4 598:19,24	595:6,22 597:7	2002 323:25 584:8	386:19		
12th 511:25	598:12,18	2003 484:12 486:5	2252 391:18 397:2	3	
12-week 325:8	1980 337:19,19	584:25	2253 403:19	3 298:8 303:9	
12:43 409:19	1985 298:9 351:15	2004 508:9,15	2254 465:25 466:8	308:14 345:3	
13 340:11 342:20	351:24 353:19	511:4,25	2255 508:8	352:17,19 354:23	
13-week 328:25	392:17 393:16	2007 410:13 418:3	2256 510:24	433:12 446:12	
335:17	1987 651:4 652:1	447:25 448:3	2257 513:3	482:16 483:10	
1380 295:9	653:2	560:8 581:5 582:2	2258 513:12	495:3 499:13	
14 311:12,14,23,25	1990 352:21 402:11	2008 627:23 628:4	2259 514:8	524:24 527:23	
312:2,5 324:6	402:23,24 403:6	631:16 641:7	2260 531:18 584:13	586:17 587:25	
466:16 526:14	448:20 451:5	645:1	584:24,25 585:2	3-centimeter	
528:3 592:13	1991 490:1,5 491:4	2010 570:8	586:19 594:19	527:13 539:22	
624:8	494:14,14,21	2012 398:4	2261 541:8	3.5 332:16 333:18	
14-day 524:9	495:14	2013 577:19 578:15	2262 548:11,15	500:3	
15 311:14,19,21,24	1992 348:14 404:23	578:17 579:9	553:18 557:22,24	3/2/04 301:7	
312:1,11,24	409:13 448:20	606:17	566:6 654:24	3:00 495:7	
404:23 471:18	451:5 618:10	2014 294:11 304:4	655:6 660:16	3:18 507:25	
618:10 622:3	622:3 623:7 624:1	664:18	2263 617:10,11	3:24 508:3	
1560 405:14	626:1,3,7,25	2018 398:4	622:25 623:3,6	30 329:22 589:4	
1568 471:19	627:1,10,18 628:4	2019 627:3	630:15,16	665:12	
1569 471:19	1995 626:9,12	202-1010 295:5	2264 618:5,8,10	30(b)(6) 307:3	
16 311:17 313:10	641:4	296:5	622:2 630:12	363:12 385:15	
392:20 664:18	1997 445:20 448:5	21 626:7	635:21,25 636:2,3	457:19,23 507:13	
16104 299:12	448:10 578:14	2105 446:22 459:23	636:18 637:5	548:9 551:20	
1634 309:14	1998 560:2	471:15	2265 649:22	601:8	
16374 308:19	1999 402:14	213 296:14	23 366:21 486:5	30(b)6 663:7	
1650 405:15,17	2	2130 585:3	232 631:10,11	300 295:9	
17 295:4 296:4		2133 523:13,13,19	2327 294:5	304 295:10 297:5	
18 378:16 379:16	2 304:6 307:2	584:22,24,24,25	233-2686 296:9	307 297:14,19	
379:16 431:23	308:12 317:21,24	587:21 589:2	24 482:8	31 340:7,9,14,18,21	
558:16,17	352:17 366:3,8,8	591:4,19	24-week 324:17	3186 461:24 462:1	
182 315:14 320:17	397:1 433:7,7,12	218 625:3	25 321:10 516:9	32 306:24 317:22	
19 556:4 623:7	483:6 495:2,8	22 352:6	25301 295:9	322,000 625:13	
196 479:12	499:14,17,18	220 626:4,6	27 508:8,14 550:4	323,000 625:13	
	l .	I	I	I	

				Page 716
324,000 625:14	341:19 342:14	59 377:13 606:16	540:16	
631:25 632:2,4	604:11		8/10/90 298:18	
647:1	4466 585:16	6	80s 563:20	
32502 295:4 296:4	46 559:17,20	6 331:23 336:3	8220 648:9	
33 307:5 348:13	564:20 565:11,21	338:17 400:6	8221 626:25 644:1	
584:8 589:2	466 299:11	418:3 483:9	645:9,10	
33-44 297:22	49 347:11 354:22	577:18,25 578:1	8222 627:16,17	
331,000 646:24	375:4,18 387:25	605:11,17 614:1	83-477 299:11	
334,000 646:21	453:11 519:16	663:20	85-219 302:12	
34 307:5 568:9	579:14,18,22	6-mil 597:7	348:14	
35 568:20 570:4,4	606:16 607:15	6/21/07 331:12	850 295:5 296:5	
570:22,22 588:25		6:23 613:19	877.370.3377	
589:7,13	5	6:34 613:25	294:24	
350 298:6	5 308:18 331:12	6:55 629:21	895 378:11	
36 323:15	332:12 446:12	60s 556:6 560:15		
3699 296:13	548:3 565:15	60,000 631:20	9	
37 324:5 482:8	577:2,4,12 584:25	632:3 633:5	9 626:1,3 627:1	
378 298:12	596:1 613:20	617 302:6	9th 511:24	
38 581:4,25 584:1	5th 626:25	618 296:9 302:9	9/11/97 462:3	
391 298:18	5-0 313:19 399:5	62222 296:9	9/22/87 302:17	
	401:14	63 366:21	9:07 294:22 304:5	
4	5/0 624:4	630 297:5	9:10 305:11	
4 308:16 398:6	5:17 557:16	64 601:12,16	9:45 337:2	
400:14 474:18	50 329:22 474:25	6483 399:20	9:56 337:7	
495:8 573:24	475:10,21 476:6	649 302:15	90 426:18 561:22	
574:23 608:1	541:15	65 471:24	90s 559:24 563:20	
4/0 622:8,11,13,17	500-Newtons	668 667:6	90-day 324:11	
625:23 626:14	585:17	69 446:13	90010 296:14	
628:6 631:17	508 299:16		91 395:3 566:16	
4:14 547:2	510(k) 445:21	7	568:21 570:23	
4:25 547:5	446:22 447:24	7 568:8 592:12	579:18,23	
4:26 547:23	448:8,11 449:21	7-centimeter	91-day 315:6	
4:42 548:2	455:5,20 463:11	585:16	321:23 576:19	
4:53 557:12	463:12,17 467:7	7:00 629:24	577:1,11 592:24	
40 325:10,19	470:25 471:14	7:33 663:19,24	595:25 597:18	
559:19 606:24,25	560:2 563:4	70 475:5,14,20,21	610:25	
659:17	568:19	475:24	910 575:6	
4012 381:4 409:24	511 300:6	70s 563:19	917.951.5672	
403 299:6	513 300:13,18	73 595:18	294:24	
41 330:20 335:20	514 301:6	738-5842 296:14	96 567:25	
455:22	515 447:13	749 315:3	97 560:1	
414-1805 295:10	53,000 633:6		97-0197 572:15	
42 335:12,21	531 301:12	8	99 354:12	
423 303:9	541 301:19	8 294:11 304:4		
43 341:19 342:13	5419 353:10	577:19		
584:1	548 301:23	8.5 526:2 533:2		
44 338:14 340:4	557 297:6	537:22 538:1,5		
TT 330.1T 3TV.T	201271.0			